

Person Completing This Report:



Person/Entity Being Complained About:

Criminal Division

Civilian Crime Report

The U.S. Attorney's Office represents the Government in legal proceedings and works closely with investigative agencies including the FBI. The Criminal Division of the United States Attorney's Office is charged with enforcing the federal criminal laws within the Southern District of New York, which encompasses: the boroughs of Manhattan and the Bronx, as well as Dutchess. Orange, Putnam. Rockland. Sullivan, and Westchester Counties.

Terson Completing This report					
Forg Ruth Sasson	NYS Jovernor Andrew Chomo,				
Name Corver for	Name Temporary Sonade Acsident				
Judicial Accombability /	c Deah Stelos,				
Address BX 8101	Addr Assembly Speakershelder Silv				
Chite Plains	Chiefred & Sozathan Lippman				
Address (Line 2) York 10602	Address (Line 2) Genval Eric Schneider				
City. State Zip	City State Comptrollesin Thomas and!				
(south out 1/2 -1/3 2 3	+ of chotoutinal x				
County Phone	public of phonos their consul				
individually to every Report, be assured that we will car whether there is a matter for this Office to investigate.	rom concerned members of the public prevents us from responding efully consider the information you have provided us to determine If we determine that your Report raises a matter within the information from you is necessary for our investigation, you will be mer complaints.				
NATURE OF ALLEGED CRIMINAL VIOLATION(S):					
Healthcare/Medicare FraudTax Fraud	Terrorism/National SecurityInternet Fraud				
Public Corruption/Fraud/WasteOrganized Cri	meCorporate FraudDrugs				
	Crime Human Trafficking (for sex or forced labor)				
	k/Credit Card/ATM Fraud & Identity Theft				
Securities FraudOther (please	explain)				
Does this Report Pertain to an Ongoing Case?	Yes No Not Sure				
If Ves. Please Provide the Following Case Information	: Contral Nudicial Dech Das.1.15, Inc. Andrew Caomo, et al				
V.	Andrew Caomo, et al es				
Case Thie and Docket Number (ii known).	iminal laws that you would like to bring to our attention. Include as				
much information as possible, including the dates, place (do not send original documents):	s and nature of incident, and contact information for any witnesses				
Grand Corcens of	The Public FISC +				
Grand Corcens of the Public Fisc + oths Corrupt Dects See accompanying Deptil 15, 2013 Cetter					
Co. 0.000 0000	a Doci 15 2013 Catter				
see accompanying					
,	1				

Are You a Victim of this Alleged Crime?	A	Are You Aware of Any Other Victim(s)?				
Yes No Not Sure		Yes	No.	Not Sure		
If Yes, Please List Other Victim(s): The Are You Represented by an Attorney in the	2 People	of the	stade o	PNS,	erc.	
Are You Represented by an Attorney in th	is Matter?	Yes	No.			
If Yes, Please Provide Attorney Contact In	fo:					
Name:	P	hone:				
Address:						
Have You Filed a Lawsuit Concerning this	to distri	Yes	No.			
Case Title and Docket Number: Name and Address of Court:	nformation:	reigen	Jaan	as. laty.	he, etal	
Case Little and Docket Number:	caomo,	1000	1 10	A 750	10000/201	
Name and Address of Court:	e con /	10000	NC GN	wy - 7	01788/201	
Status of Court Case (pending, dismissed, s	ettled): Por	ding		/		
Have You Previously Filed a Report about	If Yes, Date Fil	ed: (1) NY	S Actorne	= Soneral		
Contact Person:	SOUTH A	gency:	29/11	1000	ean this this erica that all of	
Status of Previous Report: 3/1/12	Laterdon-	"Old	es nor wo	it thus of	me"	
the foregoing information is true, correct a						
Signature EGRA CuPL Vaxo	1025 E	xecuted on th	is Date: 🔑	n/15, 2	1013	
IMPORTANT NOTE REGAR Submitting a Report to this Office has no have. By submitting a Report to this Off	effect on any st ice you have not	atute of limi commenced	tation that mi a lawsuit or c	ght apply to a other legal pro	ry claim you may ceeding, and this	
Office has not initiated an investigation or rights have been violated and you seek to	r iawsuit regard sue for money c	ung the subj or other relie	ect of your Ro f. you should	eport. If you b contact a nriv	elieve that your	
	The second of the		and anything	contact a privi	acc accorney.	
Mail this completed report to:	United States A	Attorney's O	ffice			
	Southern Distr	ict of New Y	ork			
		Attn: Civilian Crime Reports Unit (Criminal Division)				
	One St. Andrew New York, XV					
	11.12 I III P	1 4 54 54 5 /				

CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.*

Post Office Box 8101 White Plains, New York 10602 Tel. (914)455-4373

E-Mail: Website: cja@judgewatch.org www.judgewatch.org

Elena Ruth Sassower, Director

U.S. ATTORNEY
SECURITY

BY HAND

April 15, 2013

Preet Bharara, U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York One Saint Andrews Plaza New York, New York 10007

ATT: Brendan McGuire, Chief, Public Corruption Unit

RE: Achieving "the Dream of Honest Government":

- (1) Criminal Complaint against NYS' Highest Constitutional Officers for Grand Larceny of the Public Fisc and Additional Corrupt Acts as, likewise, against NYS' Other Constitutional and Public Officers and their Taxpayer-paid Counsel and Professional Staffs;
- (2) Intervention in *Center for Judicial Accountability, et al. v. Andrew Cuomo, et al.* (NY Co. #401988/2012) & Transfer to the U.S. District Court, with Amendment of the Verified Complaint to Embody Additional Causes of Action and Supervening Facts, Including as to the Violations of Constitutional, Statutory, and Rule Provisions Underlying Passage of the NYS Budget for Fiscal Year 2013-2014 and Judiciary/Legislative Appropriations Bill S.2601-A/A.3001-A.

Dear Chief McGuire:

Following up my voice mail message for you on April 8th and our telephone conversation on April 9th, this is to reiterate that our nonpartisan, nonprofit citizens' organization, Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA), has been "step[ping] up to the plate" to achieve "the dream of honest government" for more than twenty years – and that, because of this,

(1) we have the EVIDENCE to back up U.S. Attorney Bharara's statements at his April 2nd and April 4th press conferences that governmental corruption in New York State is "pervasive" and "rampant" (4/2 prepared remarks, at p. 4; 4/4/ prepared remarks, at pp. 1, 4);

^{*} Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) is a national, non-partisan, non-profit citizens' organization, working to ensure that the processes of judicial selection and discipline are effective and meaningful.

- (2) we have the EVIDENCE to answer, by a resounding YES, the U.S. Attorney's question as to whether "items in the budget" were tainted by corruption (4/4 prepared remarks, at p. 5);
- (3) we have the EVIDENCE to establish that "the most powerful special interest in politics is self-interest" (4/2 prepared remarks, at p. 4);
- (4) we have the EVIDENCE to prove "the deafening silence of the many individuals...who learned of...criminal activity being conducted in the...Capitol and elsewhere, and...said nothing. No one made a call. No one blew the whistle. No one sounded the alarm." (4/4 prepared remarks, at p. 5);
- (5) we have the EVIDENCE to reinforce the necessity that the U.S. Attorney not back down from his pledge: "we will continue pursuing and punishing every corrupt official we find" (4/2 prepared remarks, at p. 4).

All this EVIDENCE is here presented in support of this criminal complaint against New York's highest constitutional officers in the state's three government branches – Governor Andrew Cuomo, Attorney General Eric Schneiderman, and Comptroller Thomas DiNapoli in the <u>executive branch</u>, Temporary Senate President Dean Skelos and Assembly Speaker Sheldon Silver in the <u>legislative branch</u>, and Chief Judge Jonathan Lippman in the <u>judicial branch</u>. Together with the government branches, these constitutional officers are each named defendants, sued for corruption and collusion against the People, in the lawsuit *Center for Judicial Accountability, et al. v. Andrew Cuomo, et al.*, which we have brought "on behalf of the People of the State of New York & the Public Interest".

The allegations of the verified complaint chronicle a complete breakdown of constitutional checks and balances by the constitutional and public officers of New York's three government branches with respect to EVIDENCE of systemic corruption of the processes of judicial selection, judicial discipline, and of the judicial process itself – culminating in their collusion in a scheme to raise judicial salaries through the artifice of a special commission on judicial compensation that would thereafter be the model for achieving legislative and executive salary raises.

The most important exhibit to the verified complaint is CJA's October 27, 2011 Opposition Report to the August 29, 2011 "Final Report" of the Special Commission on Judicial Compensation, demonstrating that its recommendation to raise judicial salaries 27% over three years was fraudulent, unconstitutional, and, *on its face*, flagrantly violative of the EXPRESS statutory prerequisites of Chapter 567 of the Law of 2010 for a judicial salary raise recommendation. Based thereon, the Opposition Report called upon Governor Cuomo, Temporary Senate President Skelos, Assembly Speaker Silver, and Chief Judge Lippman – the Commission's four appointing authorities to whom the Opposition Report was addressed – to take steps to protect the People of New York. These steps were identified, on the cover of the Opposition Report, as:

- (1) "Legislation Voiding the Commission's Judicial Pay Recommendations;
- (2) Repeal of the Statute Creating the Commission;
- (3) Referral of the Commissioners to Criminal Authorities for Prosecution;
- (4) Appointment of a Special Prosecutor, Task Force, and/or Inspector General to Investigate the Documentary and Testimonial Evidence of Systemic Judicial Corruption, Infesting Supervisory and Appellate Levels and the Commission on Judicial Conduct which the Commission on Judicial Compensation Unlawfully and Unconstitutionally Ignored, Without Findings, in Recommending Judicial Pay Raises."

That the Governor, Temporary Senate President, Assembly Speaker, and Chief Judge were <u>duty-bound</u> to take all these requested steps, possibly excepting repeal of the statute, is evident from the most cursory examination of CJA's October 27, 2011 Opposition Report, furnishing a devastating background history and virtual line-by-line analysis of the Commission's August 29, 2011 "Final Report". Yet, there was no response from any of these highest constitutional officers – lawyers all, each with ample lawyers on their taxpayer-paid staff. Likewise, four months later, they did not respond to our March 2, 2012 letter to them¹, requesting that they disclose their findings of fact and conclusions of law with respect to the Opposition Report and that they take action, consistent therewith, to protect the People of New York and the public purse from the statutorily-violative, fraudulent, and unconstitutional judicial pay raises, whose first phase was scheduled to take effect on April 1, 2012.

As for Attorney General Schneiderman, to whom we had furnished the Opposition Report on November 29, 2011, with a complaint based thereon to his "Public Integrity Bureau", he also did not respond to the March 2, 2012 letter, to which he was an indicated recipient. Nor was there any response from Comptroller DiNapoli, also an indicated recipient of the letter, and to whose "Investigations Unit" we had filed a complaint on March 1, 2012. Both complaints were against the Commission on Judicial Compensation for fraud:

"effectively stealing from the People of New York hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars, while depriving them of the means afforded by the New York State Constitution for securing judicial accountability."²

The March 2, 2012 is Exhibit Q in the compendium of exhibits to the verified complaint.

² Copies of these two complaints were annexed to our March 2, 2012 letter, with footnote 1 reciting the disposition of our complaint to Attorney General Schneiderman's "Public Integrity Bureau". As for our complaint to Comptroller DiNapoli's "Investigations Unit", we never received any notification of its disposition.

As a result, our unfunded citizens' organization was burdened with the effort and expense of bringing the lawsuit *CJA v. Cuomo*, which we did on March 30, 2012, in Supreme Court/Bronx County, accompanied by an order to show cause for a preliminary injunction, with TRO, to stay the first phase of the judicial pay raises, which would otherwise take effect on April 1, 2012.

The record of *CJA v. Cuomo* is posted on our website, <u>www.judgewatch.org</u>, from which you can see the corrupt course of what transpired both before and after the case was transferred to Supreme Court/New York County, where, as of this date, more than five-and-a-half months after we filed with New York County Clerk Norman Goodman a complaint of record tampering and official misconduct by court personnel and more than two months after filing with the Unified Court System Inspector General Sherrill Spatz a complaint against Clerk Goodman for obstructing justice and collusion with record tampering, we have yet to receive a written disposition of either complaint.

By reason thereof, the first phase of the judicial pay raises took effect on April 1, 2012. Its cost to New York taxpayers for fiscal year 2012-2013 was purported to be \$27.7 million for the judicial salary increases. This does not include the indeterminate millions of dollars for increases in district attorney salaries and county clerk salaries because of their statutory link to judicial salaries. Nor does it include increased costs of "fringe benefit" for the judges, district attorneys, and county clerks – these being pensions, social security, etc. This \$27.7 million, plus unknown millions more, is now replicated in fiscal year 2013-2014 – on top of which is the second phase of the judicial pay raise, which took effect on April 1, 2013, whose cost is purported to be another \$8.2 million for increased judicial salaries, again, not including the indeterminate millions in related costs. The total imposition on taxpayers for these two fiscal years is upwards of \$70 million and will exceed \$100 million by the end of fiscal year 2014-2015, if the third phase of the judicial salary increase takes effect on April 1, 2014. Because of the non-diminution clause of the New York State Constitution, Article VI, §25a, the cumulative cost of this three-phase judicial salary raise – with all its related costs – will be an annually recurring imposition on New York taxpayers, in perpetuity, unless voided by a court in a lawsuit, such as *CJA v. Cuomo*.

So that you can appreciate how many of New York's constitutional and public officers – and their taxpayer-paid counsel and professional staff – are complicit in this massive and perpetually recurring grand larceny of the public fisc, our website chronicles our exhaustive efforts, apart from the lawsuit, to "Securing Legislative Oversight & Override of the second and third phases of the judicial pay raises" by a webpage of that name, accessible *via* our top panel "Latest News". Among these other larcenous constitutional and public officers are Budget Director Robert Megna, Chief Administrative Judge Gail Prudenti, and Senators and Assembly Members in leadership positions: Senate Majority Coalition Leader Jeffrey Klein, Senate Minority Leader Andrea Stewart-Cousins, Assembly Minority Leader Brian Kolb, Senate Finance Committee Chair John DeFrancisco, Senate Finance Committee Ranking Member Liz Krueger, Assembly Ways and Means Committee Chair Herman Farrell, Jr., Assembly Ways and Means Committee Ranking Member Robert Oaks, Senate Judiciary Committee Chair John Bonacic, Senate Judiciary Committee Ranking Member John Sampson, Assembly Judiciary Committee Chair Helene Weinstein, and Assembly Judiciary Committee Ranking Member Tom McKevitt.

The "Securing Oversight & Override" webpage posts the primary-source materials evidencing what took place:

In the week and a half preceding the February 6th Senate and Assembly joint budget hearing on "public protection", I wrote Chief Judge Lippman (via Chief Administrative Judge Prudenti), Temporary Senate President Skelos and Assembly Speaker Silver, Governor Cuomo, and Attorney General Schneiderman and Comptroller DiNapoli, identifying that I would be testifying about CJA's Opposition Report and verified complaint and calling upon them to themselves testify about them and produce their findings of fact and conclusions of law with respect thereto. correspondence was sent to the chairs and ranking members of the four committees having direct oversight over the Judiciary budget – the Senate Finance Committee, the Assembly Ways and Means Committee, the Senate Judiciary Committee, and the Assembly Judiciary Committee – with a letter to them reiterating a request I had made in phone calls to them two weeks earlier, to wit, that their committees review the Opposition Report and verified complaint in advance of the February 6th hearing – as these were dispositive of the Legislature's duty to override the second phase of the judicial salary increase – and that they notify Chief Administrative Judge Prudenti "to come to the hearing prepared to discuss the particularized showing of unconstitutionality, statutory violations, and fraud presented by the Opposition Report – if not by the four causes of action of the CJA v. Cuomo verified complaint based thereon", as they would be interrogating her extensively with respect thereto, and that they would also invite Chief Judge Lippman to also be present at the hearing to address same.

There was no response from any of them to these letters – including at the February 6th hearing, where Chief Administrative Judge Prudenti, unaccompanied by Chief Judge Lippman, made no mention of the Opposition Report and verified complaint and was not questioned about them. Nor was I questioned about them when I testified, handing up CJA's Opposition Report, verified complaint, and that correspondence.

The video and witness list for the February 6th hearing are posted on the "Securing Oversight & Override" webpage. As reflected therein, Chief Administrative Judge Prudenti was scheduled to be the first witness. I was scheduled to be the last. By the time I testified, nearly 7-1/2 hours after the hearing had begun, most legislators were gone, the press was gone, and virtually no one remained in the audience. In the ten minutes that were permitted for my testimony, I presented opposition not only to the judicial pay raises, but to the whole of the Judiciary budget based on its lack of requisite itemizations, including with respect to the second phase of the judicial salary increase whose dollar amount was nowhere identified.

Thereafter, I endeavored to ascertain who at the fiscal and judiciary committees was reviewing my document-supported testimony and when their findings of fact and conclusions of law would be made public with respect thereto. There was no answer. Nor did these four committees ever render any committee report with respect to the February 6th hearing so that the votes of the Senators and Assembly Members not present at the hearing might be informed by what I had presented. Indeed, without the committees even voting on the Judiciary budget and its appropriations bill, the bill –

S.2601/A.3001 – combined in the same bill as appropriations for the Legislature, was passed onto the Senate and Assembly and embodied in resolutions establishing a Joint Budget Conference Committee.

As a result of this violation of any cognizable "process", I was burdened with contacting all members of the Joint Budget Conference Committee, its Subcommittee on "Public Protection", Criminal Justice, and Judiciary, and ultimately all members of the Senate and Assembly to alert them to the nature and significance of my February 6^{th} opposition testimony and the absence of "process" in the form of a committee report and vote.

These alerts, embodied by my correspondence, chronicle the flagrant nonfeasance and misfeasance by Senators, Assembly members, and their taxpayer-supported professional staff. Over and beyond their willful and deliberate disregard of CJA's Opposition Report and verified complaint — whose accuracy and dispositive nature they did not deny or dispute in any respect — and their equally willful and deliberate disregard of our showing that the Judiciary appropriations bill was a veritable "slush fund", they blithely trampled on a succession of constitutional, statutory, and rule provisions to achieve its passage and that of other budget appropriations bills.

On March 29th, with the budget passed, I wrote to the Governor's Chief of Staff, urging that the Governor NOT sign the Judiciary/Legislative appropriations bill, S.2601-A/A.3001-A. In pertinent part, I stated:

"it is essential that the Governor take steps to protect the public purse from judicial salary increases he KNOWS to be statutorily-violative, fraudulent, and unconstitutional, as would be evident were he to disgorge such findings of fact and conclusions of law as he made – or as were made on his behalf by...counsel – with respect to CJA's October 27, 2011 Opposition Report and the four causes of action of our public interest lawsuit based thereon – *CJA*, *et al. v. Cuomo, et al.*

Please be advised – <u>and I hereby give notice</u> – that the Legislature's passage of the budget for fiscal year 2013-2014 violated <u>express</u> constitutional and statutory safeguards and its <u>own</u> rules – particularly its passage of Judiciary appropriations bill S.2601-A/A.3001-A – the same bill as contains the Legislature's appropriations.

To the extent you are unaware of these violations, we have steadily chronicled them, since February 6th, by the primary-source materials posted on our website, www.judgewatch.org, on the webpage devoted to Securing Legislative Oversight & Override of the judicial pay raises...'. Increasingly, these have pertained to violations affecting not only S.2601-A/A.3001-A, but the entire budget. Our new webpage 'Holding Government Accountable for its Grand Larceny of the Public Fisc', which...I have been constructing since I got up this morning to aid the Governor in understanding the situation, showcases these violations no less prominently. Both webpages are accessible *via* the 'Latest News' top panel of our website. Here's the direct link: http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/cja/latest-news.htm." (March 29th letter, at pp. 1-2, capitalization & underlining in original).

This March 29th letter then identified posted materials from which the Governor could ascertain his "<u>duty to New York's citizens and taxpayers NOT to sign S.2601-A/A.3001-A</u>", further pointing out that among the "must-read' posted correspondence was "CJA's March 11th letter, summarizing and elaborating upon my testimony at the Legislature's February 6th budget hearing on 'public protection'", that this March 11th letter had been enclosed with our March 19th letter to the Governor to which we had received no response – and that the title of the March 19th letter had been:

"Assisting the Legislature in Discharging its Constitutional Duty: The People's Right to Know the Dollar Cost of the Judiciary Budget & of the Appropriations Bill for the Judiciary & to be Protected from 'Grand Larceny of the Public Fisc' by Unidentified, Unitemized Judicial Pay Raises, whose Fraudulence, Statutory-Violations, and Unconstitutionality are <u>Proven by Documentary Evidence in Your Possession & the Legislature's</u>" (underlining & italics in March 19th letter).

The March 29th letter concluded with a final request:

"In view of the serious and substantial nature of this letter and its political and other ramifications for the Governor, kindly furnish it to him, without delay." (at p. 3, underlining in the original).

Notwithstanding the March 29th letter was e-mailed to the Governor's Executive Chamber in the early morning hours of March 30th – and then, again, later in the day on March 30th – we received no response from the Governor's office. Instead, on April 2nd, Governor Cuomo went on an upstate tour to promote and ceremonially sign the budget, repeating his long-standing rhetoric that an on-time budget, the third in a row, shows that our state "government is working and is working for you".

Thereupon, with U.S. Attorney Bharara's April 2nd announcement of the charges against Senator Malcolm Smith and others, Governor Cuomo engaged in further deceit, proclaiming during his upstate budget tour to the press, "We have zero tolerance for any violation of the public integrity and the public trust". As the foregoing demonstrates, the truth is just the opposite. The Governor has 100% tolerance for the most flagrant corruption and abuse of the public trust, of which he himself, in collusion with other public officers, is an active participant.

CJA's newest webpage "Holding Government Accountable for its Grand Larceny of the Public Fisc" takes the EVIDENCE posted on our webpage "Securing Legislative Oversight & Override of the...judicial pay raises" and reformats it as EVIDENTIARY EXHIBITS for a criminal complaint.

That criminal complaint must begin with New York's highest constitutional officer, Governor Cuomo. Indeed, following the Governor's hypocritical "zero tolerance" claims – and the inspiring statements of U.S. Attorney Bharara at his April 2nd and 4th press conferences about cleaning up New York State government and his determination to investigate and prosecute corrupt public officials – I modified the "Holding Government Accountable for its Grand Larceny of the Public Fisc" webpage to be a presentation to the U.S. Attorney in support of this criminal complaint, stating:

"Here's the evidence, U.S. Attorney Bharara:

Let's start at the top — with Governor Cuomo, who colluded with the Legislature in rewarding a systemically corrupt Judiciary with a slush-fund budget whose unidentified, unitemized funding includes statutorily-violative, fraudulent & unconstitutional judicial salary increases"

So dispositive is the EVIDENCE posted on this webpage – and none more so than the documents I handed up at the February 6th budget hearing: (1) CJA's October 27, 2011 Opposition Report and its Executive Summary; (2) the March 30, 2012 verified complaint in *CJA v. Cuomo*; and (3) CJA's correspondence with the three government branches in the week and a half preceding the February 6th hearing – that there is no need for U.S. Attorney Bharara to embark upon any of the "aggressive and creative tool[s]" to which he referred at the April 4th press conference:

"wiretaps and confidential informants and undercover agents and stings. And, yes, seeking the cooperation of elected officials who can help us investigates and prosecute their own corrupt colleagues".

<u>Here presented is an open-and-shut case</u>. A simple subpoena to our highest constitutional officers for their records with respect to these documents and CJA's communications and correspondence with them thereafter will suffice to indict and convict them for grand larceny of the public fisc and other crimes against the People.

Similar subpoenas will also suffice to indict and convict a huge number of other constitutional and public officers and their counsel and professional staffs who were <u>duty-bound</u> to make findings of fact and conclusions of law with respect to the October 27, 2011 Opposition Report, and/or to take steps to secure the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Governor, Temporary Senate President, Assembly Speaker, Chief Judge, Attorney General, and Comptroller – but did not do so because, as they knew, it would require, at very minimum, that they protect the public purse from judicial pay raises that flagrantly violate Chapter 567 of the Laws of 2010 – <u>their only legal basis</u> – quite apart from being fraudulent and unconstitutional.

That is not to say that U.S. Attorney Bharara might not also use his referred-to "aggressive and creative tool[s]" – including offering immunity to the formerly high-ranking Senator Smith in exchange for his testimony against fellow legislators pertaining to the corruption chronicled by the *CJA v. Cuomo* verified complaint and by such subsequent correspondence as our December 7, 2012 letter to the Independent Democratic Conference, which Senator Smith had joined. Entitled "ACHIEVING A FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE, FULLY FUNCTIONAL SENATE", this December 7, 2012 letter called upon the Independent Democratic Conference members to repudiate their "historic partnership" with a Republican Conference under Senator Skelos based upon the documented allegations of the *CJA v. Cuomo* verified complaint, which we stated "would easily support a criminal prosecution of him for official misconduct and criminal fraud upon the taxpaying public" (at p. 2). In substantiation, we asked them to secure from Senator Skelos such findings of fact and conclusions of law as he or Senate counsel made with respect to our October 27, 2011

Opposition Report, stating, "This will give you all the evidence necessary to repudiate, as you must, any partnership with a Senate Republican conference having Senator Skelos as its head". The letter further requested that they initiate legislative override of the second and third phases of the judicial pay raises by referring the evidence of unconstitutionality, statutory violations, and fraudulence to all relevant Senate committees for discharge of their oversight responsibilities, consistent with Senate rules, further urging that they advance reform of Senate rules, consistent with the non-partisan, good-government recommendations of the 2009 Temporary Senate Committee on Rules and Administration Reform, a signal achievement of Senator Smith's tenure as Senate Majority Leader. ³

As discussed, it is ESSENTIAL that U.S. Attorney Bharara not back down from his pledge to "continue to pursue and to punish every corrupt official we can find". Only by so doing – and by bringing to justice corrupt officials at the highest levels who are the example for the rest – can "the dream of honest government" ever be realized.

I look forward to meeting with you and U.S. Attorney Bharara, to furnishing further substantiating documents, including fax and e-mail receipts, to answering your questions, testifying under oath – and to providing you names of the many, many victims of this state's systemically and pervasively corrupt judicial system, who can furnish you with documentary and testimonial evidence of their own. Meantime, I refer you to the testimony given by a succession of witnesses at the Senate Judiciary Committee's aborted 2009 hearings on the Commission on Judicial Conduct and court-controlled attorney disciplinary system, as to which, to date, there has been no investigation, no findings, no committee report. Such state of affairs – and its significance to the judicial pay raise issue – is focal to our Opposition Report (pp. 3-4, 11-12,19 (fn. 25) and verified complaint (¶¶31-50, 52-55, 62-67, 74-81, 86-88, 94, 98, 106-108, 133, 135(e),152-153,160-162), each identifying that the videos and transcripts of those hearings are accessible *via* the "Latest News" top panel of CJA's website.⁴

The December 7, 2012 letter is enclosed herewith, together with our follow-up December 21, 2012 letter to the Independent Democratic Conference, entitled "What is Your Response to CJA's December 7, 2012 Letter?" These two letters were, thereafter, furnished to all Senators. That correspondence and our comparable correspondence to Assembly members are accessible *via* our "Latest News" webpage, by the hyperlink entitled "CJA's championing of appropriate rules and leadership for the New York State Legislature".

As I further identified when we spoke, Senator Smith had been Ranking Member of the Senate Judiciary Committee during Senator DeFrancisco's chairmanship of the Senate Judiciary Committee years earlier. His participation at a March 17, 2003 meeting with Senator DeFrancisco, at which I provided each of them with the final two motions from CJA's public interest lawsuit against the Commission on Judicial Conduct, documenting how New York Courts, including the Court of Appeals, had corrupted the judicial process to protected a corrupt Commission on Judicial Conduct, is recounted at ¶39 of the CJA v. Cuomo verified complaint. These two final motions are the same as I handed up at the February 6th budget hearing because – like the October 27, 2011 Opposition Report – they are free-standing exhibits to the CJA v. Cuomo verified complaint.

As stated in footnote 7 of the Opposition Report (at p. 3):

In addition to the criminal complaint herein initiated, we also request the U.S. Attorney's intervention in *CJA v. Cuomo* and his transfer of the case to the U.S. District Court, with appropriate amendment of the verified complaint to include additional causes of action and supervening facts, such as the violations of constitutional, statutory, and rule provisions underlying passage of the state budget for fiscal year 2013-2014 and Judiciary/Legislative appropriations bill S.2601-A/A.3001-A.

Stong Kuge

Thank you.

See next page for enclosures & cc's

"These Senate Judiciary Committee hearings, held on June 8, 2009 and September 24, 2009, were each videoed and stenographically recorded by the Committee. CJA's website posts both the videos and stenographic transcripts, accessible *via* the top panel 'Latest News' and left side panel 'Judicial Discipline-State-NY'.

Most immediately germane to the judicial compensation issue is the testimony of Regina Felton, Esq. at the September 24, 2009 hearing, as the judge against whom she filed numerous judicial misconduct complaints with the Commission on Judicial Conduct, all dismissed, was a co-petitioner in one of the [judges'] judicial compensation lawsuits [Maron, et al. v. Silver, et al.].

Other important testimony involving the Commission on Judicial Conduct's dismissal of facially-meritorious, documented judicial misconduct complaints is that of James A. Montagnino, Esq. (at the June 8, 2009 hearing), Nora Drew Renzulli, Esq. (at the September 24, 2009 hearing), Pamela Carvel (at the June 8, 2009 hearing), and Catherine Wilson (at the September 24, 2009 hearing)." (underlining in the Opposition Report).

Additionally notable is the testimony (at the June 8, 2009 Senate Judiciary Committee hearing) of William Galison – and all the more so as he filed with you an April 3, 2013 criminal complaint of "Fraud in the Nomination and Confirmation of New York Chief Judge Jonathan Lippman by Members of the New York State Judiciary Committee". Such criminal complaint – and documents substantiating it – are accessible from CJA's website, including from our webpage for the Senate Judiciary Committee's 2009 hearings on the Commission on Judicial Conduct and attorney disciplinary system, containing a hyperlinked webpage for Mr. Galison. That hyperlinked webpage additionally posts the videos of the Senate Judiciary Committee's February 11, 2009 hearing on Chief Judge Lippman's confirmation, as well as its June 5, 2009 hearing on "merit selection" to the New York Court of Appeals – at which Mr. Galison and I both testified.

Mr. Galison also testified at the Commission on Judicial Compensation's July 20, 2011 hearing. However, that video is not available as the Commission removed it from its website shortly before it issued its August 29, 2011 "Final Report", presumably because of the significance of my testimony and the exchange between myself and the Commission's chairman, who refused to address the threshold issue of his disqualification, which I had raised.

The CJA v. Cuomo verified complaint references Mr. Galison at ¶¶63 and 86.

- Enclosures: (1) Documents handed up at February 6th budget hearing in support of testimony
 - -- March 30, 2012 verified complaint in *CJA v. Cuomo*, with compendium & free-standing exhibits, including:
 - CJA's October 27, 2011 Opposition Report, with Executive Summary
 - -- CJA's correspondence with three gov't branches: January 29th February 5th
 - (2) CJA's March 19, 2013 letter to Governor Cuomo, with enclosures
 - (3) CJA's March 29, 2013 letter to Governor Cuomo
 - (4) CJA's December 21, 2012 letter to Independent Democratic Conference, enclosing December 7, 2012 letter

cc: Senator Malcolm Smith

The Complained-Against Constitutional & Public Officers, Counsel & Professional Staff The Public & Press