

# 5

District of Columbia  
Court of Appeals

Expedited Review Requested  
for Release from Incarceration,  
including pursuant to  
Rule 8(a)(2)(D) for Interim  
by a Single Judge

Elena Ruth Sassower,  
Appellant,

No. 04-CM-760

v.

United States of America,  
Appellee

Consented To

Motion for Procedural  
Order Pursuant to  
Rule 27(b)(1)(B)

Elena Ruth Sassower, being duly sworn  
deposes and says:

- ① I am the incarcerated pro se criminal appellant and submit this affidavit in support of a motion for a procedural order pursuant to Rule 27(b)(1)(B) "to exceed the page limits" so as to permit the filing of my September 13<sup>th</sup> handwritten reply affidavit for sanctions against the U.S. Attorney, disclosure by him, and disciplinary and criminal referral.
- ② Such handwritten reply affidavit "exceeds the page limits" because the U.S. Attorney's 15-page opposition to my six branch motion for reargument and other relief is, from beginning to end, fraudulent and deceitful, requiring extensive expostion by me.
- ③ My reply affidavit correlates the U.S. Attorney's opposition to each of the motion's six branches. None of the separate reply sections, corresponding to each of the six branches, is more than 10 pages - the maximum length for a reply to a motion pursuant to

Rule 27(a)(2). A table of contents appears at page 29.

④ To the extent relevant, I incorporate by reference my motion for a procedural order made with respect to my G-branch request motion. Particularly germane are those paragraphs relating to handwritten as opposed to typed submissions (paras. 19, 20) and the fact that the various branches could have been renumbered to separate motions, as to which I would have a greater allotment of paper (paras. 21, 23). As I have received no decision or order by that motion, I have not had the benefit of the Court's view with respect to the arguments therein set forth. I assumed they were accepted, as my request motion was received for filing, with opposition papers submitted by the U.S. Attorney - to which my reply affidavit now responds.

⑤ Pursuant to Rule 27(b)(4), the U.S. Attorney was contacted and asked if he would consent to this procedural order. According to my attorney-mother who <sup>Ass't U.S. Attorney John F. Fisher</sup> telephoned ~~him~~ today and spoke with him directly, he gave his consent.

Elena Raby-Passman

Swear to before me this  
13<sup>th</sup> day of September 2004

Andrea Hargrove  
Notary Public, District of Columbia  
My Commission Expires 07-31-2006

