

**Elena Ruth Sassower**

16 Lake Street  
White Plains, New York 10603

E-Mail: [elenaruth@aol.com](mailto:elenaruth@aol.com)

Tel. (914) 949-2169  
Fax (914) 428-4994

BY FAX: 212-556-4634 (2 pages)

BY E-MAIL: [freemang@nytimes.com](mailto:freemang@nytimes.com)

March 9, 2006

George Freeman, Assistant General Counsel  
The New York Times Company  
229 West 43<sup>rd</sup> Street  
New York, New York 10036

RE: Your Notice of Appearance and Demand for Complaint  
Sassower, et al. v. The New York Times Company, et al.

Dear Mr. Freeman:

This responds to your Notice of Appearance and Demand for Complaint, dated March 1, 2006, which you sent to Eli Vigliano, Esq. at the address indicated on our Summons with Notice in the above-entitled action.

Mr. Vigliano is currently recuperating from a five-week hospital stay and major surgery. His mail is being forwarded to him and he did not receive your Notice of Appearance and Demand for Complaint until Tuesday, March 7<sup>th</sup>. He furnished me with a copy yesterday.

Inasmuch as the Summons with Notice designated both myself and Mr. Vigliano as the persons upon whom such appearance and demand were to be served, please advise why you did not also send me your Notice of Appearance and Demand for Complaint – or even see fit to apprise me that you would not be sending me same.

We note that the first page of your Notice of Appearance and Demand for Complaint indicates that you are the attorney for “The New York Times Company, Arthur Sulzberger, Jr., Bill Keller, Jill Abramson, Allan M. Siegal, Gail Collins and Byron Calame”. However, your second page omits Mr. Calame as your client. Is this inadvertent?

Please also identify whether, by your not appearing for defendant Marek Fuchs, you are now claiming that 229 West 43<sup>rd</sup> Street, New York, New York 10036 is not his “actual place of business”. The New York Times Company Legal Department deliberately chose not to make such claim during the preceding four months as we specifically and repeatedly asked whether Mr. Fuchs’ “actual place of business” is 229 West 43<sup>rd</sup> Street. In the unlikely event you are unfamiliar with our extensive correspondence on the subject, going back to November and including correspondence addressed and sent to Mr. Fuchs,<sup>1</sup> it is conveniently posted on CJA’s

<sup>1</sup> See, in particular, CJA’s November 4, 2005 letter; January 19, 2006 letter (at p. 2), January 30, 2006 letter (at p. 2), February 3, 2006 memo (at p. 2), and March 2, 2006 letter.

 X-1

George Freeman, Esq.

Page Two


March 9, 2006

website, [www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org), accessible *via* the sidebar panel, "Press Suppression" – "The New York Times".

Finally, does your not appearing for defendant Daniel Okrent, upon whom we effected substituted service at his home address, mean that separate counsel will be appearing to represent him? Please advise.

Thank you.

Yours for a quality judiciary  
and responsible journalism,



ELENA RUTH SASSOWER, Plaintiff *Pro Se*

cc: Eli Vigliano, Esq.