## Subject: Status of my Letter to the Editor

Date: 5/18/2004, 11:36 AM

From: Elena Ruth Sassower <judgewatchers@aol.com>

To: kfischer@amlaw.com

cc:jgroner@legaltimes.com, tschoenberg@legaltimes.com

Organization: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.

TO: Kris Fischer, Editor-in-Chief <u>New York Law Journal</u>

FROM: Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

RE: The Status of my Letter to the Editor, "The Documented Facts"

As I have not yet heard back from you with regard to my Letter to the Editor, "The Documented Facts", sent to you yesterday, I take the opportunity to bring to your attention that Legal Times has "hard copies" of virtually all the "Paper Trail" documents posted on the homepage of CJA's website, www.judgewatch.org . This includes the March 26, 2003 written statement AND the two motions therein particularized as in and of themselves sufficient for verifying Judge Wesley's on-the-bench corruption as a New York Court of Appeals judge in my public interest lawsuit against the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct and in Bob Schulz' public interest lawsuit against the NYS Legislature, et al. These were hand-delivered by me on March 22, 2004, when I met and spoke with Jonathan Groner. Indeed, at that time, I not only provided such "Paper Trail" documents as would enable Legal Times to expose the bogus and malicious nature of my May 22, 2003 arrest for "disruption of Congress" -- and the corruption of federal judicial selection/confirmation it chronicled -- but a copy of all the motion papers in the case up to that March 22nd date. I then supplemented this on April 6th, with a copy of my April 6th petition for a writ of mandamus/prohibition and motion for stay. I gave these to Tom Schoenberg, in hand, at the outset of his interview of me so that he could better understand the important "first impression" issues involved in the case -- and that, as demonstrated by the copy of the case file I had delivered two weeks earlier, I was being railroaded to trial by a new-to-the-bench "merit selected" judge -- for whom fundamental legal standards and principles -- including my legitimate discovery rights -- meant NOTHING.

To facilitate your review, I would have no objection to <u>Legal Times</u> forwarding these important primary source materials, as well as the other materials I thereafter faxed to Tom or gave him in hand.

Please advise.

Thank you.

5-17-04-ltr-editor.doc (40KB)