

To: Dorotty Samuel

New York Trines

From: Dong & Sassoner (4084-6554)

Pute: 10/29/90 40

Fax: 21/556-4603

As per om phone conversation, of an faxing a copy of the League's fren release as well as the sport both for release today of flereafter - your help is much appreciated, preparence of an emerginey internal stay of the electron for I Supreme Court positions to the 9th Indicated Orthet + the Surrogate of Wastcheder County position went in today to the Amellate Dirican 370 Dept my Albany - Order to Show Course was Signed by Justice Ann M. Koll. President Susan K. Schwardt

FOR RELEASE OCTOBER 26, 1990

CONTACT: Lenore Banks (716) 836-5240 Susan Schwardt (716) 671-6670

## CROSS-ENDORSEMENT CASE SHOULD BE HEARD

The League of Women Voters of New York State alerts voters to an election law case, <u>Castracan v. Colavita</u>, pertaining to the upcoming November 6, 1990 election of justices for the Supreme Court in the 9th Judicial District and Surrogate Court of Westchester County.

Susan Schwardt, President of the League of Women Voters of New York State, states: "It should be determined in court whether the contract between party leaders and judicial nominees involving a series of judicial cross-endorsements over a three year period is legal or not legal and whether there were violations of the Election Law at the judicial nominating conventions. The case deserves to be heard and decided by the Appellate Division, 3rd Department, before the general election."

ab mbi3 mb41 15 12

FROM AP CAPITOL

FOV: POVIS - assowers

From: WAR & Humber T

BOURD ADV29, 1285

あつつお ABC-NV--Albany Monday-Judges, ADV89, 1085 ^幸あご∨ 29

Afor Release Monday FMs Oct 29 and thereafter Thew York's 'Choss Endorsement'' Judge Selection Challenged

Ago Political Writer™

ALBANY, N.Y. (AP) \_ While most of New York state's judges are elected, voters often find they really have no choice when it comes to selecting those who prohounce the sentences meant to protect spotety from evil.

Under ''aross-enforsement'' agreements, local political leaders officen decide who will be the judges. Each party's judicial convention endonses the other's candidates and voters see just one name on the

The process is under challenge by a group of Westchester County residents calling themselves the Ninth Judicial Committee. The acomittee's attenmey is Donis Sassower, a self-described ''tenacious'' White Claims lawyer. The lawsuit, which has attracted livele public notice, has reached a state appeals court in Albany. Maddle the dispute over how judges get to be judges has been going on for years, the lawsuit may be the first to challenge the process in count, according to M.L. Henry, executive director of the Committee

Calling the state's process of electing judges ''shameful,'' Henry's lobbying group has called for the merit selection of judges. There are other voices complaining about the elective system.

''Judicial elections are so captive to the interests of political party enganizations that they clash with the ideal of an independent and hon-partisan judiciary. \*\* the state Commission on Sovernment Invegnity concluded in a 1988 report.

That ethics canel, accointed by Bov. Mario Cuemo, called for New York's system of electing judges to be replaced by an appointive

Gince 1978, following voter approval of a state constitutional antendment, the seven judges of New York's too tribunal, the Court of Pareste, have been accominated by the covernor, subject to approval by the state Samete. Until then, the judges had been elected. Some other <u>ತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿಕರ ಹಣಕು ಕೃತಿಕರ ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿಗೊಂಡಿದೆ.</u>

Excluding 2,242 elected town and village judges, there are 1,067 gudges who make up the city, county and state gudicial system in New York. Df that, 842 judges are elected and 225 are appointed.

While most pross-endersement deals are arranged with handshakes or Varial Adresments, the political leaders in the Ninth Judicial District out a three-year sect in writing lest year. It provided for who would be elected to dentain judgeships.

"This was done totally openly. There was nothing hidden or secretive, " Westchester's SOP Chairman Anthony Colevita, a former State Republican chairman, said of the apresment. ''I don't believe

. These are the deals that used to be made behind closed doors in BMDRe-filled heams, '' Sassower said, ''They bartered away these *್ತ* ಆದೆ ತ್ರಾಜಕಾಗಿ ವಿಶ್ವಹ**್ಷ** ಕಿತ್

The Whitten agreement, according to court became, called for judges Total sections of the section of the contract consideration, if any, to the recommendations of the leaders of each major political party in conjunction with proposed judicial

The agreement appears to even extend to the firing of staff personnel. It state Sugneme Court Justice Lawrence Kahn said recently in ruling on the case.

Nonetheless, Kahn nuled abainst Sessower.

A modifical mayerick who challenged Albany County's powerful Democratic Party Machine to win his first duddeshin. Kahn said party lessens had properly held judicial conventions that natified their choices. That, said Kahn, made the deal legal.

'The oractics of crossmendorsement of judicial candidates is not presently prohibited by the (state) Election Law,' Kahn wrote. Noting that the three-year written pact was centain 'to fuel the decate,' Kahn said 'the proper forum must be the (state) Lagislature ... which has the sole dower to amend the process by which judicial candidates are chosen.'

"They masked their scheme with some window-dressing," Sassower said of the judicial conventions that ratified the deals negotiated by the party leaders.

Calling the three-year pact 'a judicial Watergate,' Sassower, a former grasident of the New York Women's Bar Association, appealed Kahn's ruling to the Appealate Division of state Supreme Court. She appeal for an immediate hearing.

A lawyer for 35 years, Gassower said she expected her request to be nountinely pranted because the appeals count was about to begin a namess that would last beyond Election Day. Sevenal Judicial offices being challenged by Gassower's lawbuit are scheduled to be filled in the Minth Judicial District by the Nov. 5 election.

The appeals count: however, refused to give Sassower an immediate

The a letter to Sassower, Chief Clerk Michael Novack said Presiding Justice Franklin Mahaney had decided against an immediate hearing because "12 would be wholly inappropriate to attempt to render a reasoned decision in this pass under such circumstances and time constraints."

Satisfular has appealed Mahoney's decision and still hopes to have a hearthp parameter Election Day. Sither way, she said she'll take the pass as far as she can in the court system.

Sassower maintains that ''it should be obvious to anyone who is aware of the oplitical realities,'' why she's having trouble obtaining a quick hearing on her case.

This are ducking the most dowerful forces in society ... Certainly branch a great value to those who are the beneficiaries of this sydrom to dampetuses it. ? She said.

Doung Glank Noveck send that while most election cases are ''usually siven a characteristic for the properties of the p

Noveck also said Sassower 'has a lot of ideas, about why her oreference (recuses) was denied, which are not accurate.' cassower's lawsuit seeks to have the three-year pact, negotiated in 1888 by Colavita and then-Westchester Democratic Chairman Richard velocity, declared 'hillegal.'

The lewestic asks that the nominations of Demograt Francis Nicolai and Republican For state Supreme Count and Republican Closet Emargelli for Westchester County Supregate be overturned and their manes removed from the Nov. & pallot.

The Minth Judicial District includes Westchester, Putnam, Dutchess, Rockland and Onange counties.

(2)

Colevite said that if the members of the Ninth Judicial Committee tene so toset about the three-year agreement, they should have taken laidibut, nwa niedł betnesena bas kad tosiska art ot rigit dans

''None of us have any political aspinations, '' Eli Vigliano. chairman of the committee and a lawyer for 40 years, countered. THE WASHER OF BECOME THE WASHERS WHEN WINE WASHER TO BECOME THE

Delayion said the group's lawsuit was ''totally without merit. !! sood government, . Colevite said. . It was also good politice. !!

someth-12-24-92 1659e24

1990-10-24 \*\* TOTAL PAGE.001 \*\*
PAGE = 0 PAGE = 01 NOTE: Since the original AP wire feed was <u>not</u> printed by <u>either Gannett</u> newspapers or <u>The New York Times</u>, we have reproduced it, for ease of reading, in the type-written form that appears below. Indeed, we do not believe this story was printed in <u>any</u> metropolitan New York newspaper or know where it was printed—if it was printed at all.

A.P.-CAPITOL: BY MARC HUMBERT October 24, 1990 for release October 29, 1990 and thereafter

Albany, N.Y. (A.P.) While most of New York State's judges are elected, voters often find they really have no choice when it comes to selecting those who pronounce the sentences meant to protect society from evil.

Under "cross-endorsement" agreements, local political leaders often decide who will be the judges. Each party's judicial convention endorses the other's candidates and voters see just one name on the ballot for each opening.

The process is under challenge by a group of Westchester County residents calling themselves the Ninth Judicial Committee. The committee's attorney is Doris Sassower, a self-described 'tenacious' White Plains lawyer. The lawsuit, which has attracted little public notice, has reached a state appeals court in Albany. While the dispute over how judges get to be judges has been going on for years, the lawsuit may be the first to challenge the process in court, according to M.L. Henry, executive director of the Committee for Modern Courts.

Calling the state's process of electing judges 'shameful,' Henry's lobbying group has called for the merit selection of judges.

There are other voices complaining about the elective system. "Judicial elections are so captive to the interests of political party organizations that they clash with the ideal of an independent and non-partisan judiciary," the state Commission on Government Integrity concluded in a 1988 report.

That ethics panel, appointed by Gov. Mario Cuomo, called for New York's system of electing judges to be replaced by an appointive process.

Since 1978, following voter approval of a state constitutional amendment, the seven judges of New York's top tribunal, the Court of Appeals, have been appointed by the governor, subject to approval by the state Senate. Until then, the judges had been elected. Some other judges are also appointed.

Excluding 2,242 elected town and village judges, there are 1,067 judges who make up the city, county and state judicial system in New York. Of that, 342 judges are elected and 225 are appointed.

While most cross-endorsement deals are arranged with handshakes or verbal agreements, the political leaders in the Ninth Judicial District put a three-year pact in writing last year. It provided for who would be elected to certain judgeships.

"This was done totally openly. There was nothing hidden or secretive," Westchester's GOP Chairman Anthony Colavita, a former state Republican chairman, said of the agreement. "I don't believe it's improper."

"These are the deals that used to be made behind closed doors in smoke-filed rooms," Sassower said. "They bartered away these judgeships."

The written agreement, according to court papers, called for judges elected under the agreement to "provide equal access and consideration, if any, to the recommendations of the leaders of each major political party in conjunction with proposed judicial appointments".

The agreement appears to even extend to the hiring of staff personnel," state Supreme Court Justice Lawrence Kahn said recently in ruling on the case.

Nonetheless, Kahn ruled against Sassower.

A political maverick who challenged Albany County's powerful Democratic Party machine to win his first judgeship, Kahn said party leaders had properly held judicial conventions that ratified their process. That, said Kahn, made the deal legal.

"The practice of cross-endorsement of judicial candidates is not presently prohibited by the (state) Election Law," Kahn wrote.

Noting that the three-year written pact was certain "to fuel the debate," Kahn said "the proper forum must be the (state) Legislature...which has the sole power to amend the process by which judicial candidates are chosen."

"They masked their scheme with some window-dressing,"

Sassower said of the judicial conventions that ratified the deals

negotiated by the party leaders.

Calling the three-year pact "a judicial Watergate," Sassower, a former President of the New York Women's Bar Association, appealed Kahn's ruling to the Appellate Division of state Supreme Court. She asked for an immediate hearing.

A lawyer for 35 years, Sassower said she expected her request to be routinely granted because the appeals court was about to begin a recess that would last beyond Election Day. Several judicial offices being challenged by Sassower's lawsuit are scheduled to be filled in the Ninth Judicial District by the November 6 election.

The appeals court, however, refused to give Sassower an immediate hearing and went into recess a week ago friday.

In a letter to Sassower, Chief Clerk Michael Novack said Presiding Justice Mahoney had decided against an immediate hearing because "it would be wholly inappropriate to attempt to render a reasoned decision in this case under such circumstances and time constraints."

Sassower has appealed Mahoney's decision and still hopes to have a hearing before Election Day. Either way, she said she'll take the case as far as she can in the court system.

Sassower maintains that "it should be obvious to anyone who is aware of the political realities" why she is having trouble obtaining a quick hearing on her case.

"We are bucking the most powerful forces in society... Certainly there's a great value to those who are the beneficiaries of this system to perpetuate it," she said.

Court Clerk Novack said that while most election cases are "usually given a preference...there's no absolute guarantee that every case will be heard and decided before Election Day."

Novack also said Sassower "has a lot of ideas, about why her preference 'request' was denied, which are not accurate."

Sassower's lawsuit seeks to have the three-year pact, negotiated in 1989 by Colavita and then-Westchester Democratic Chairman Richard Weingarten, declared "illegal".

The lawsuit asks that the nominations of Democratic Francis Nicolai and Republican Howard Miller for state Supreme Court and Republican Albert Emanuelli for Westchester County Surrogate be overturned and their names removed from the Nov. 6 ballot.

The Ninth Judicial District includes Westchester, Putnam, Dutchess, Rockland and Orange Counties.

Colavita said that if the members of the Ninth Judicial Committee were so upset about the three-year agreement, they should have taken their fight to the ballot box and presented their own judicial candidates.

"None of us have any political aspirations," Eli Vigliano, chairman of the committee and a lawyer for 40 years, countered. "This is not a case that we are the outs and want to become the ins."

Colavita said the group's lawsuit was "totally without merit."

"This agreement provided excellent people from both parties. It was good government," Colavita said. "It was also good politics."