1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK 3 PUBLIC HEARING 4 Commission on Legislative, Judicial and Executive Compensation 5 6 November 30, 2015 7 New York City Bar Association 42 West 44th Street 8 New York, New York 9 BEFORE: 10 SHEILA BIRNBAUM, Chair HON. BARRY A. COZIER (RET.) 11 ROMAN B. HEDGES MITRA HORMOZI 12 GARY JOHNSON HON. JAMES J. LACK (RET.) 13 FRAN REITER 14 15 Anne Marie Scribano William Leone 16 Senior Court Reporters 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

Proceedings 1 people is truly what is at stake here. 2 3 Thank you. Thankyn. CHAIR PERSON: Any questions? 4 1:39:49 5 (No response.) Elena Sassower, direda CHAIR PERSON: 6 I take it that Mr. Schulz is MS. SASSOWER: 7 MS Sags M deferring to me his three plus minutes. 8 He's not deferring anything, You CHAIR PERSON: will have ten minutes like everybody else. And that's going to be the ten. 12 MS. SASSOWER: Excuse me. of your actual bias and self-interest, as well as that of 13 14 Do you want your ten minutes or --CHAIR PERSON: I most certainly do. And I would MS. SASSOWER: request that Commissioner Hormozi who I first met in 2011 when she was a panelist here speaking about reform that, 18 perhaps, she take the initiative to allow me the extra three 19 20 minutes that I wou MS SARSI Would you please proceed. CHAIR PERSON: 21 ASSOWER: I need a moment or so to setup. 22 after lunch, 23 If you would like we will pass you CHAIR PERSON: 24 25 and go to the next speaker. I'm happy to That is fine with me. MS. SASSOWER: 26

WILLIAM D. LEONE, SENIOR COURT REPORTER

Proceedings 1 reserve to the end if that would be --2 CHAIR PERSON: That would be fine. 3 If that would enable me to have the MS. SASSOWER: 4 12 or 13 minutes that I require for my full present 5 CHAIR PERSON: You have ten minutes. 6 Carol Ann, Director of the Health Watch. 7 MS. SASSOWER: She informed me that she would be 8 here at 1:30. She's slated to testify, I believe, at 2:15. 9 CHAIR PERSON: Okay. 10 MS. SASSOWER: I was scheduled to testify 11 that time as well. 12 CHAIR PERSON: We're moving ahead. 13 Matthew Kapolwitz. 14 Thank you, Mr. Kapolwitz. 15 MR. KAPOLWITZ: Good morning, Ms. Birnbaum and 16 members of the Commission. My name is Matthew Kapolwitz. 17 thank this Commission for the opportunity to testify. 18 I am an individual who serves pro bono on the 19 Compensation Committee for an international nonprofit 20 disability organization in the public sector. I have also 21 been a litigant in New York State. I will speak about the 22 job performance component of judicial compensation. 23 Compensation in every field is one of the primary 24 drivers of job performance. Regardless of whether someone 25 is a minimum wage worker or a judge, when the compensation 26

WILLIAM D. LEONE, SENIOR COURT REPORTER

1:52:081

chairnbaum

Proceedings

Elena Sassower, Director for the Center for Judicial Accountability?

Thank you.

MS. SASSOWER: May I just do some housekeeping here? There are an excess of cups in the way.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure. Just let us know when you're ready and I'll start the clock.

Thank you.

MS. SASSOWER: There was no press announcement from this Committee, press release sent out notifying the public of this hearing today and, consequently, there are not many people present, nor who requested to testify because they didn't know about this hearing, nor did they ever know or do they know that they have an opportunity to make written submissions.

We are so ahead of schedule, I would ask the indulgence of the panel. My statement is only 12 minutes or so.

THE COURT: Why don't we just try to stick to the 10 like everybody else and then we'll see where we are.

MS. SASSOWER: I prefer not to run, race through it.

My name is Elena Sassower and I am director and co-founder of the Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc., a nonpartisan, nonprofit citizens' organization that, for

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

26

Proceedings

more than a quarter of a century, has documented that New York's judiciary is not discharging its constitutional function to render fair and impartial justice according to Rather, it is pervasively corrupt from trial levels up to the appellate and supervisory levels, throwing cases by fraudulent judicial decisions that falsify and omit the controlling facts and obliterate the most basic adjudicative and due process standards. And making this even more catastrophic and unconstitutional is that all safeguards within the judiciary and within the legislative and executive branches are dysfunctional and corrupted, not the least reason because, when citizens bring suit to enforce black letter, unambiguous law and principles of constitutional governance, judges throw the cases, usually with the connivance with our state's highest law enforcement officer, the New York Attorney General, who, when he has no legitimate defense, defends anyway with litigation fraud, for which he is rewarded by fraudulent judicial decisions in favor of his governmental clients.

As I stated when I testified before the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption at a September 17, 2013 public hearing, cases are perfect paper trials. There's a record, so it's easy to document the judicial corruption. That was at the same hearing at which U.S. Attorney Preet Bharara testified.

2

4

3

5

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

Proceedings

Indeed, on CJA's website, www.judgewatch.org, there is a prominent hyperlink on the home page entitled What's Taking You So Long, Preet? CJA's three litigations, whose records are perfect paper trails for indicting New York's highest public officers for corruption.

U.S. Attorney Bharara's prosecutions of former Assembly Speaker Silver and his unrelated prosecution of former temporary President Skelos are each for small change. And establishing this resoundingly are those three litigations, accessible from our home page link, bearing his These litigations, each of which we brought in the public interest on behalf of the People of the State of New York, involved the open and shut prima facie case of their collusion with each other and with Governor Cuomo and Chief Judge Lippman in grand larceny of the public fisc. with respect to the judicial salary increases recommended by the August 29th, 2011 report of their appointed Commission on Judicial Compensation, whose fraudulence, statutory violations and unconstitutionality we proved by an October 27, 2011 opposition report presented to all four of these highest constitutional officers without response.

This nonfeasance and collusion against the people was the subject of the first of the three litigations. A declaratory judgment action, which we commenced in March 2012, and as to which we sought U.S. Attorney

Proceedings

Bharara's intervention, as part of a fully documented criminal complaint, we hand delivered for him on April 15, 2013, a copy of which I handed up to the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption and testified before it five months later.

The second litigation, a citizen taxpayer action, as well as a third litigation, our intervention in the legislature's declaratory judgment action against the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption, embraced the multi-billion dollar slush fund judiciary budget in which the judicial salary increases are embedded with direct ramifications on the whole of the state budget, on three men in a room, behind closed doors government and dysfunction borns of a set of assembly rules, vesting autocratic powers in the temporary Senate President and Assembly Speaker.

Both of these two subsequent litigations, commenced in March and April 2014, arose from U.S. Attorney Bharara's nonfeasance with respect to the April 15th, 2013 criminal complaint and from the corruption of the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption, which he covers up.

But for the evisceration of any cognizable judicial process in all three of these litigations, resulting from the double whammy of Attorney General Schneiderman's litigation fraud, rewarded by fraudulent judicial decisions, current judicial salaries would

2.2

Proceedings

rightfully be what they were in 2011 and the 2010 statute that created the Commission on Judicial Compensation, which in 2015 became the template for the statute creating this Commission, would have been declared unconstitutional long, long ago.

So this Commission has U.S. Attorney Bharara to thank for the ongoing three-branch crime spree involving judicial salary increases and the secreting of them in the budget, sufficient in and of itself to disentitle all the constitutional officers whose compensation is before you from any increase.

The judiciary and the judicial pay raise advocates testifying here today and by their written submissions tout the excellence and high quality of the judiciary, implicitly recognizing that judicial salary increases are predicated on judges fulfilling their constitutional function of rendering justice.

Plainly, they need a reality check if they are actually unaware of the lawlessness and non-accountability that reigns in New York's judicial branch, notwithstanding notice.

our news to them again and again and again.

Let them confront with findings of fact and conclusions of law our October 27, 2011 opposition report and our three litigations arising therefrom. This includes our constitutional analysis drawn from the Court of Appeals

4 5

Proceedings

February 23, 2010 decision in the judges' judicial compensation lawsuits and from Article 6 of the New York State Constitution that, quote, "The appellate, administrative, disciplinary and removal provisions of Article 6 are safeguards whose integrity or lack thereof are not just appropriate factors, but constitutional ones. Absent findings that these integrity safeguards are functioning and not corrupted, the Commission cannot constitutionally recommend raising judicial pay."

For your convenience, I am furnishing you with the starting point of the three litigations, our verified complaints in each, the first of which included a full copy of our dispositive opposition report, identical to what I handed to Chairwoman Birnbaum four weeks ago at the conclusion of your November 3rd first organizational meeting.

Of these three litigations, only the citizen taxpayer action is live and unfolding. As to it, I am also furnishing to you our supplemental verified complaint and the very last submission in the case, our November 5th, 2015 reply papers in further support of our cross-motion for summary judgment and other relief. Highlighted therein are the uncontested facts and law entitling us to a declaration that the judicial salary increases recommended by the Commission on Judicial Compensation's August 29, 2011 report

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

1819

2.0

21

2223

24

25

26

Proceedings

are fraudulent, statutorily violative and unconstitutional and that the statute that created that Commission, materially replicated in the statute that created this Commission, was unconstitutional as written and as applied.

The judge to whom the case was assigned, who got a \$40,000 salary increase as a result of the Commission on Judicial Compensation's report, does not have to be excellent to render those requested declarations of fraud, unlawfulness and unconstitutionality. He does, however, need to earn his \$174,000 salary, yearly salary, by at least being competent and honest, as that is all that is necessary for rendering the declarations, as you can readily verify from the dispositive presentations in our reply paper Such declarations mandated by law and the most basic of judicative principles will restore judicial salaries to their 2011 levels and preclude any increase until the systemic corruption infesting New York's judiciary is rectified, including by a lawfully functioning Commission on Almost done Judicial Conduct, not the sham that currently exists. will also require a shutdown of this Commission on multiple grounds of unconstitutionality, which as written grounds -with the as-written grounds being reinforced by those as applied, manifested by how this Commission has been operating in this statutorily violative first month of its operations -

1	Proceedings
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Sassower
3	THE WITNESS: Looking Including at this hearing
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: you now have nearly
5	13 minutes.
6	THE WITNESS: I'm almostdone.
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Sassower.
8	THE WITNESS: You're way ahead
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Sassower, how much
10	THE WITNESS: Let the public hear what I have to
11	say.
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: You can says W. I am almost VOICE: I want to hear it.
13	VOICE: I want to hear it.
14	VOICE: I want to hear it, too.
15	VOICE: I want to hear it.
16	VOICE: I'm here.
17	MS. SASSOWER: This is of sufficient importance
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: You can pack the room, Ms.
19	Sassower, with your colleagues, that's perfectly fine.
20	MS. SASSOWER: It's the public that needs to hear
21	and see how this is this heaving is being inducted
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: You had as much time as anybody
23	else. You now have had 13 minutes
24	MS. CAROL: Elena can have my three minutes and
25	it's three minutes
26	MS. SASSOWER: Thank you.
1	

Proceedings

THE CHAIRPERSON: You are?

MS. CAROL: My name is Carol, Ann.

MS. SASSOWER: Thank you. Thank you.

MS. CAROL: She can have three minutes of my

10-minute testimony. Sassowe: Tranky. I need only

THE CHAIRPERSON: You're Ann Carol?

MS. CAROL: Yes.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay, take the time.

MS. SASSOWER: Thank you. for you general seed S

Excuse me.

It will also require the shutdown of this

Commission on multiple grounds of unconstitutionality with
the as-written grounds being reinforced by those as applied,
manifested by how this Commission has been operating in the
statutorily violative first month of its operations,
including at this hearing, conducted as if the current
judicial salary levels are not, as each of the Commissioners
must by now know them to be, ill-gotten gains stolen from
the taxpayers.

VOICE: Here, here.

MS. SASSOWER: Indeed, based upon my communications with you over the past month, your verification should largely be done.

As I stated to you on November 3rd, in my e-mail requesting to testify at this hearing, the four weeks until

Proceedings

the hearing were ample time for each Commissioner individually to verify the accuracy of our October 27th, 2011 opposition report, quote, "thereby requiring that this Commission's recommendations, having the force of law, be for the nullification, the voiding of the Commission on Judicial Compensation's August 29th, 2011 report and a clawback of the 150 million plus dollars that the judges unlawfully received pursuant thereto," unquote.

The only way you can get away with doing anything else in your own report, which is statutorily required by December 31st, 2015, is by obliterating the existence of our opposition report, the record of our three litigations based thereon, and all findings of fact and conclusions of law that are your duty to make with respect thereto.

This kind of fraudulent concealment is precisely how the Commission on Judicial Compensation operated and how judges operate when they throw cases by fraudulent judicial decisions.

This Commission's threshold duty is, of course, to address issues of the disqualification of its members for actual bias and interest. And my November 3rd e-mail requesting to testify set that forth stating, quote, "Should any of the Commissioners feel themselves unable to discharge their duties with respect to the systemic three-branch corruption issues presented by CJA's citizen opposition and

Proceedings

3 4

that other citizens will be presenting as well, they should step down from the Commission forthwith. Two Commissioners, Cozier and Lacks, are absolutely disqualified by reason of their active role in that corruption and Chairman Birnb aum, perhaps, as well," unquote.

Time does not permit me to furnish the particulars, suffice to say that all three have demonst rated their utter disregard for case file evidence of judicia l corruption, particularly as it relates to the Commission on Judicial Conduct and court-controlled attorney disciplinary system, whose corruption they have perpetu ated.

All documentary proof supporting this testimo ny, including as relates to the disqualifying bias and interests of Commissioners Cozier, Lack and Birnbaum, will be posted on CJA's website www.judgewatch.org, accessible by the prominent homepage link No Pay Raises for New York's Corrupt Public Officers. The money belongs to their victims.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

VOICE: Bravo, excellent.

MS. SASSOWER: I have here --

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

MS. SASSOWER: -- the verified complaint the final motion papers in the citizen taxpayer action. I have, additionally --

1	Proceedings
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We'll make sure it
3	gets
4	MS. SASSOWER: and a hard copy of my statement
5	with annexed supporting papers.
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
7	If you just leave them that at the table, we'll
8	have somebody pick them up and distribute them.
9	MS. SASSOWER: You already, of course, hatethe
10	opposition report.
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, we do.
12	THE WITNESS: Do you need another full copy?
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: No.
14	THE WITNESS: One is sufficient?
15	That being said, I will nonetheless because
16	Commissioner Hormozi was chair of the Commission on Public
17	Ethics and presented in this room, in December 2011, on the
18	issue of reform. I'm giviy w
19	MS. REITER: Ms. Sassower, you are way over. And
20	I am finding this offensive at this point. You have been
21	talking for over 20 minutes now. You were supposed to have
22	10. You're done. You got sufficient time.
23	Could you please leave your documents and this
24	hearing
25	MS. SASSOWER: You virtually have no one here
26	testifying.

1	Proceedings
2	MS. REITER: Excuse me?
, ; (3	MS SASSOWER: You have no one here testifying and
chairban Birnban	I think we have many people
ل ا 5	MR. REITER: We have many people testifying that
6	you don't agree with and I find that most offensive of all
7	that you think anybody who disagrees with you is not
8	actually testifying.
9	MS. SASSOWER: No, no This is about endince
10	MS. SASSOWER: No, no This is ason endence MS. REITER: Could you finish, finish
11	MS. SASSOWER: Actually, you have no
12	MS. REITER: Put yourself over there
13	MS. SASSOWER: You have no evidentiary
14	presentation you've done. Ms Revier: You've done. THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Sassower, we're done.
15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Sassower, we're done.
16	Please. We have
17	MS. SASSOWER: The judicial pay raise advocates
18	MS. REITER: You are done.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: We have other people. Please.
20	MS. SASSOWER: inadequate current -+ Salve
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Give up the microphone
22	MS. SASSOWER: Any problem in attracting qualified
23	candidates to the bench or -
24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Honorable Matthew Turner, is
25	Mr. Turnerhere?
26	MS. SASSOWER: Thank you.

Proceedings THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Turner? Very good. Thank you. We'll certainly let you take your coat off. (Continued on the next page)