CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

P.O. Box 69, Gedney Station White Plains, New York 10605-0069

Tel. (914) 421-1200 Fax (914) 428-4994

E-Mail: judgewatch@aol.com Web site: www.judgewatch.org

Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator

BY PRIORITY MAIL
CERTIFIED/RRR: Z-509-073-747

March 17, 2000

U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York One Saint Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007

ATT: Andrew S. Dember, Chief, Public Corruption Unit

RE:

- (1) Your wilful disregard of conflict of interest rules in connection with CJA's October 21, 1999 criminal complaint and request for intervention in Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator of the Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc., acting pro bono publico v. Commission on Judicial Conduct of the State of New York (NY Co. #99-108551)
- (2) <u>Supervision by your superiors and, specifically, by</u>
 <u>Mary Jo White, U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of</u>
 <u>New York</u>

Dear Mr. Dember:

It is now three weeks since CJA's February 25, 2000 memorandum-notice to you in the above-entitled public interest Article 78 proceeding against the New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct. Such notice alerted you to the catastrophic consequence of your disrespect for *fundamental* conflict of interest rules in connection with CJA's October 21, 1999 criminal complaint and intervention request¹. It also called upon you to take corrective steps to protect the public and identified, as "your first duty", to "confront the *threshold* conflict of interest issues, heretofore wilfully ignored by you" (at p. 2)

CJA's October 21, 1999 criminal complaint/intervention request to the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York is part of the Elena Ruth Sassower v. Commission Article 78 file: annexed as Exhibit "H" to petitioner's November 5, 1999 letter to Justice Kapnick.

As you know, you purported to dispose of CJA's 20-page fully-documented October 21, 1999 criminal complaint by a 6-sentence letter dated December 30, 1999 (Exhibit "A"). Such letter not only wholly concealed that the complaint had raised conflict of interest issues, but that it had expressly sought the disqualification of the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York and referral to the Public Integrity Section of the Justice Department's Criminal Division. Indeed, your letter neither identified nor addressed the grounds for disqualification, particularized by the complaint (at pp. 2, 19-20), nor the legal authority presented (at pp. 2-3) in support of disqualification and referral, to wit, the policy regarding "Recusals by United States Attorneys' Offices", set forth in the Annual Report of the Justice Department's Public Integrity Section, and 28 USC §528, "Disqualification of officers and employees of the Department of Justice" -- as to which the complaint asked you to provide a copy of the Attorney General's "rules and regulations" promulgated pursuant thereto (at p. 3, fn. 2).

Demand is, hereby, made for the legal authority by which, without denying or disputing that staff at the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, yourself included, have personal and professional relationships with those involved in the systemic governmental corruption which is the subject of CJA's October 21, 1999 complaint, and without denying or disputing the relevance of the Justice Department's recusal policy and of 28 USC §528 – whose "rules and regulations" you did not supply — you nonetheless purported to dispose of the complaint.

So that your superiors can see for themselves the flagrant manner in which you not only disregarded the "appearance" of your conflict of interest, but which you then manifested your actual conflict of interest by your dishonest disposition of the October 21, 1999 complaint, demand is hereby made that you forthwith transmit the complaint to your immediate superior, Alan Kaufman, Chief of the Criminal Division, and that, following his review of same, he transmit it to his superior, Mary Jo White, the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, for her direct attention and corrective action.

Such transmittal of CJA's October 21, 1999 complaint must include the copy of the file of *Elena Ruth Sassower v. Commission*, which CJA provided in substantiation of the complaint and intervention request. This should still be in your possession²,

On December 3, 1999, you returned to CJA the box of materials which had been hand-delivered to the U.S. Attorney's office on October 21, 1999 with CJA's criminal complaint. The box's contents were mostly the documents that the Public Integrity Section had returned when it dismissed CJA's July 27, 1998 complaint [see October 21, 1999 complaint, p. 14). However,

including its physically-incorporated files of the two other most recent Article 78 proceedings against the Commission, likewise defended by litigation fraud by New York's highest law enforcement officer, the State Attorney General, and "thrown" by fraudulent judicial decisions of Supreme Court/New York County: Doris L. Sassower v. Commission (NY Co. #95-019141)³ and Michael Mantell v. Commission (NY Co. #99-108655)⁴.

The transmittal must also include CJA's February 25, 2000 memorandum-notice with its enclosed February 23, 2000 letter to Governor Pataki, as well as the further correspondence herein transmitted under CJA's memorandum-notice of today's date, most particularly, CJA's March 3, 2000 letter to Chief Judge Judith Kaye.

For your convenience and theirs, an inventory of the *Elena Ruth Sassower v.* Commission Article 78 file and of the post-decision correspondence is annexed hereto.

also included were documents which are part of the file of *Elena Ruth Sassower v. Commission*. Those file documents were redelivered to the U.S. Attorney's office on February 23, 2000, at the same time CJA delivered its February 23, 2000 memorandum-notice. An inventory, reflecting those retransmitted documents (#5, #6), as well as newly transmitted documents (#9, #11, #12), was provided to you at that time. All other documents from the file were not returned by you. This includes documents #1 - #4, which CJA hand-delivered to the U.S. Attorney SDNY-Civil Division – and which, as recited in CJA's October 21, 1999 complaint (at pp. 17-18), were supposed to have been transferred to you.

- The "prior history" of the copy of the file of *Doris L. Sassower v. Commission*, hand-delivered to the U.S. Attorney's office on May 6, 1999, is recounted in CJA's October 21, 1999 complaint (see, inter alia, p. 15).
- The copy of the file of *Mantell v. Commission* was hand-delivered to the U.S. Attorney's office, together with a copy of petitioner Elena Ruth Sassower's December 9, 1999 letter to Justice Wetzel. Exhibit "D" to that letter is CJA's analysis of Justice Lehner's fraudulent decision "throwing" the *Mantell* case.

I look forward to speaking personally with U.S. Attorney White or anyone she should designate, such as Mr. Kaufman. I trust they will not berate me, as you did when I called you on November 9, 1999, our first and only phone conversation following your receipt of CJA's document-supported October 21, 1999 criminal complaint/intervention request – abuse so irrational as to prompt me to remind you to sure to address the conflict of interest issues it presented.

Yours for a quality judiciary and government integrity,

Elena Rosawira a "

ELENA RUTH SASSOWER, Coordinator Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

cc: Governor George Pataki
Chief Judge Judith Kaye
New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct
New York State Attorney General
Manhattan District Attorney
New York State Ethics Commission
U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of New York
Association of the Bar of the City of New York
Media

Enclosures

INVENTORY: Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator of the Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc., acting pro bono publico v. Commission on Judicial Conduct (NY Co. #99-108551)

- 1. Petitioner's Notice of Right to Seek Intervention, Notice of Petition, and Verified Petition (April 22, 1999)
- 2. Attorney General's Affirmation (Carolyn Cairnes Olson) in Support of Respondent's Application Pursuant to CPLR §3012(d) (May 17, 1999)
- 3. Attorney General's Dismissal Motion (May 24, 1999), consisting of:
 - (a) Notice of Motion, with Affirmation of Assistant Attorney General Michael Kennedy and Affidavit of Albert Lawrence, Commission Clerk;
 - (b) Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Dismiss, signed by Assistant Attorney General Carolyn Cairns Olson
- 4. Petitioner's Omnibus Motion (July 28, 1999), consisting of:
 - (a) Notice of Motion, with Affidavit of Petitioner and Affidavit of Doris L. Sassower, CJA's Director;
 - (b) Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Respondent's Dismissal Motion & in Support of Petitioner's Motion for Disqualification of the Attorney General, Sanctions, a Default Judgment, and Other Relief

[with free-standing File Folders: see inventory annexed to Petitioner's Affidavit]

- 5. Attorney General's Reply Memorandum in Further Support of a Motion to Dismiss and in Opposition to Petitioner's Motion for "Omnibus Relief", signed by Assistant Attorney General Carolyn Cairns Olson (August 13, 1999)
- 6. Petitioner's Papers in Reply and in Further Support of her Omnibus Motion (September 24, 1999), consisting of:
 - (a) Petitioner's Reply Affidavit
 - (b) Petitioner's Reply Memorandum of Law
- 7. Petitioner's November 5, 1999 letter to Acting Supreme Court Justice Barbara Kapnick
- 8. Petitioner's December 2, 1999 letter to Acting Supreme Court Justice William Wetzel
- 9. Petitioner's December 2, 1999 letter to Administrative Judge Stephen Crane
- 10. Petitioner's December 9, 1999 letter to Acting Supreme Court Justice William Wetzel [with file of Mantell v. Commission (NY Co. #99-108655)]
- 11. Petitioner's December 17, 1999 letter to Acting Supreme Court Justice William Wetzel
- 12. Decision/Order of Acting Supreme Court Justice William Wetzel, dated January 31, 2000

INVENTORY: Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator of the Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc., acting pro bono publico v. Commission on Judicial Conduct (NY Co. #99-108551)

POST-DECISION CORRESPONDENCE:

- CJA's February 7, 2000 memorandum-notice to Attorney General Spitzer and the New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct
- 2. CJA's February 23, 2000 letter to Governor George Pataki
- 3. CJA's February 25, 2000 memorandum-notice to the Proposed Intervenors
- 4. CJA's March 3, 2000 letter to Chief Judge Judith Kaye
- 5. CJA's March 3, 2000 letter to the Commission on Judicial Conduct
- 6. CJA's March 17, 2000 letter to the Proposed Intervenors
- 7. CJA's March 17, 2000 letter to Manhattan District Attorney
- 8. CJA's March 17, 2000 letter to U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York
- 9. CJA's March 17, 2000 letter to U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of New York

]	US Postal Service Receipt for Certified Mail No Insurance Coverage Provided. Do not use for International Mail (See reverse). Sent to Account Charles Street & Number Constitution of Stre	September 200	on the reverse side		does not number. I the date	1. Addressee's Address 2. Restricted Delivery Consult postmaster for fee. Number
PS Form 3800 , April 1995	Restricted Delivery Pee Return Receint Showing to Whom & Date Belivery Return Receipt Showing for Whom, Date, & Addresse & Address TOTAL Postage & Fees 999			is your <u>RETURN ADDRESS</u> comp	Andle W Den SV. Chief Public Corruption Unit US Attorney SDN9 Me Saint Andrews Adasa NY, NY 10007 5. Received By: (Print Name) Schn Torrand 6. Signature: (Addressee or Agent) X D June PS Form 3811, December 1994	7. Date of D