## CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

Post Office Box 8101 White Plains, New York 10602 Tel. (914)455-4373

E-Mail:

cja@judgewatch.org Website: www.judgewatch.org

October 25, 2013

TO:

Florence Seff, Owner/President

Precise Court Reporting Services, Inc.

FROM:

Elena Sassower, Director

Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

RE:

The Pattern of Errors in the Stenographic Transcription of the Oral Testimony of

Elena Sassower at the September 17, 2013 Public Hearing of the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption - Material to Her Presentation as to the Commission's

Conflicts of Interest

This follows up my phone call on October 15, 2013, requesting to speak with your stenographer, Stefanie Krut, whose transcription of my testimony before the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption at its September 17, 2013 public hearing is materially erroneous, as verifiable from the video of the hearing.

website, which Commission's Unlike the video, is posted on the http://www.publiccorruption.moreland.ny.gov, Ms. Krut's transcription is not. I found it by a google search, posted on what appears to be a publicly-inaccessible part of the Commission's website: http://publiccorruption.moreland.ny.gov/sites/default/files/PUBLIC-CORRUPTION09-17-13.pdf.

Your office manager, Kelly Iacobellis, told me she would pass my message to Ms. Krut, who would call me back. However, a short time later, Ms. Iacobellis phoned to say that she had spoken to you, that you had stated that it is not company policy to have reporters speak with witnesses directly, and that I should furnish, in writing, the respects in which the transcription is erroneous.

This I have done by marking up the pages of Ms. Krut's transcription of my testimony, based on the video. These are pages 91-98 of her transcription and they are enclosed.

Suffice to say – and it must be said – that the video reveals a troubling pattern to the errors in Ms. Krut's transcription:

As is audible from the video, I identified the Commission on Judicial Conduct three separate times. However, from Ms. Krut's transcription, it is as if I never identified it even once. Thus: on page 92, at line 14, she has the words "judicial misconduct", rather than Commission on Judicial Conduct; on page 97, at lines 16-17, she has the words "judicial conduct of the State of New York", rather than "Commission on Judicial Conduct of the

State of New York"; and on page 97, at line 22, she has the word "Commissioner", rather than "Commission on Judicial Conduct";

- <u>As is audible from the video</u>, my reference to the "disciplinary system" was to the "attorney disciplinary system" and not, as inferable from Ms. Krut's page 92, lines 14-15, a "disciplinary system" relating to "judicial misconduct";
- <u>As is audible from the video</u>, I had supported my assertion that the Commission had used written statements of witnesses to "[c]ensor" testimony appearing at Ms. Krut's page 94, line 19 by the further assertion "But, actually, I'm familiar with some of these statements" missing from Ms. Krut's transcription;
- As is audible from the video, I spoke of "the many people who are coming forward to complain about the corruption in the judiciary" not, as Ms. Krut's page 97, lines 12-13 has it "many people coming out of forward in the judiciary";
- <u>As is audible from the video</u>, I twice used the word "monitor" in referring to the Commission on Judicial Conduct. Both times are missing from Ms. Krut's page 97, lines 16-17;
- As is audible from the video, I identified three specific persons who had engaged in misconduct Betty Weinberg Ellerin, Robert Morgenthau, and Daniel Castleman. Two of those names, Betty Weinberg Ellerin and Daniel Castleman, are entirely omitted. As for the name Robert Morgenthau, it is so transmogrified as to be unrecognizable. Thus, Betty Weinberg Ellerin's name should appear on page 97, at line 21, but does not; Daniel Castleman's name should appear on page 98, at line 3, but does not; and Robert Morgenthau's name should appear on page 98, at line 3, but does not, being transmogrified to "Robert Rosenthal";
- <u>As is audible from the video</u>, I repeated three separate times the words "aided and abetted" to describe the misconduct of Robert Morgenthau and Daniel Castleman. All three times are missing from pages 97-98. Similarly the descriptive word for the misconduct of Betty Weinberg Ellerin is missing from page 97, line 21 that word being "threw";
- <u>As is audible from the video</u>, I specified the conflict of interest relating to: "judicial pay raises when district attorney salaries are tied to judicial pay raises". This is entirely omitted from page 98, line 7.

Obviously, a stenographer will have difficulty taking down overlapping statements when more than a single party is speaking. However, Ms. Krut's omissions and inaccuracies occur even when I alone am speaking. Moreover, where multiple parties were speaking, Ms. Krut surely knew she had failed to capture everything. Quite apart from whether she was also audio-recording the hearing, she certainly knew that the Commission was webcasting it and recording the video. At best, she failed to utilize the video to ensure the accuracy of her transcription of my testimony – as, likewise, the

accuracy of her keyword index.

According to your website, <a href="http://www.precisecrs.com">http://www.precisecrs.com</a>, a "keyword index" is a:

"Glossary of all the words in the transcript with the page number it appears on in the transcript. This would make it easier to find the word you are looking for." <a href="http://www.precisecrs.com/Services\_Detail.php?ServiceID=8">http://www.precisecrs.com/Services\_Detail.php?ServiceID=8</a>

Plainly, any keyword index that Ms. Krut prepared would have failed to include significant names and words from my testimony: "Commission on Judicial Conduct", "attorney disciplinary system", "monitor", "Betty Weinberg Ellerin", "Daniel Castleman", "Robert Morgenthau", and "judicial pay raises". Is it a coincidence that all of these are material to the Commission's "conflicts of interest" about which Ms. Krut's transcript shows I spoke so strongly—even apart from the two further places, audible from the video, but not so-transcribed (page 96, line 3; page 98, line 9).

Please advise as to what safeguards Precise Court Reporting, Inc. utilizes to ensure the precision of its transcripts. Do your stenographers additionally audio record proceedings – and did Ms. Krut have an audio? Did she not check it? And what about the Commission's video? Why did she not proof her transcription – at least those parts that were difficult – with the video of the hearing? This she could have easily done as the video was posted on the Commission's website for well over a week before she certified her transcription on September 30, 2013.

I note Ms. Krut's certification page states that her transcription is "a true and accurate transcript of [her] stenographic notes". I respectfully ask that you examine those "stenographic notes" and state whether – based on the Commission video and any additional audio recording Ms. Krut made – you believe her "stenographic notes" meet the standard of precision and accuracy required of stenographers.

Finally, I would appreciate your furnishing me with Ms. Krut's corrected transcription, a certification thereof, and a keyword index correcting any she may have previously provided the Commission.

Stong Ping Lingson

Thank you.

Enclosure: Transcript pages 1-2, 91-98, 148

cc: Commission to Investigate Public Corruption
The Public & The Press

1	X
2	PUBLIC HEARING ON THE MORELAND COMMISSION
3	TO INVESTIGATE PUBLIC CORRUPTION.
4	X
5	One Pace Plaza
6	New York, New York
7	
8	September 17, 2013
9	6:05 P.M.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Reported By:
15	Stefanie Krut
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 1 APPEARANCES:

2	COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:
3	Kathleen Rice - Co-Chair
4	Milton Williams, Jr Co-Chair
5	William Fitzpatrick - Co-Chair
6	Joanne Mahoney
7	Eric Corngold
8	Makau Mutua
9	Derek Champagne
10	Patrick Barrett
11	Nancy Hoppock
12	Seymour James
13	Gerald Mollen
14	Betty Weinberg Ellerin
15	Kathleen Hogan
16	David Javdan
17	Benito Romano
18	Kristy Sprague
19	Richard Briffault
20	P. David Soares
21	Peter Zimroth
22	Robert Johnson
23	Barbara Bartoletti - Special Advisor
24	Regina Calcaterra - Executive
25	Director

09-17-13

1 system referred the writ to Attorney General Eliot Spitzer.

- 2 He quickly dove in to represent this judge. This began the
- 3 cascading violations of the court system's and of the
- 4 Attorney General's duty to comply with the constitutional
- 5 safeguards and their respective roles in creating checks and
- 6 balances. I only have six seconds left. You have the rest
- 7 of my documentation. I have gone to the Commission on
- 8 Judicial Conduct twice, I have gone to Eliot Spitzer as
- 9 Attorney General in 2006, I testified before a Judge -
- 10 Senator Sampson's committee in 2009. Obviously misconduct
- 11 and malfeasance, open and shut. Obvious. I have the
- 12 documentation. No one has done anything. I'm asking for
- 13 this Commission to take it seriously and look at the
- 14 judicial misconduct that took place in my case. Void these
- 15 orders. Simple. Thank you.
- MS. CALCATERRA: Thank you so much, Ms. Renzulli.
- 17 We do have additional documentation. Thank you. Next is
- 18 Elena Sassower. Thereafter, Ellen Oxman and then Leon
- 19 Koziol. And I apologize for the mispronunciation.
- MS. SASSOWER: My name is Elena Sassower. I am
- 21 director and cofounder for the Center for Judicial
- 22 Accountability, a non-profit, nonpartisan citizen's
- 23 organization that documents corruption in the judiciary,
- 24 involving judicial selection, judicial discipline, the
- 25 judicial process itself. Cases are perfect paper trails.

09-17-13

1 There is a record. So it's easy to document judicial

- 2 corruption. Now, I have much to say and three minutes is
- 3 utterly inadequate, but I cannot present the testimony that
- 4 I have intended to present without protesting vigorously as
- 5 to what has taken place here with respect to this hearing.
- 6 I contacted the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption
- 7 on July 19th. That was just days after it had announced the
- 8 public hearings that were to begin today. And I spoke with
- 9 Bryce Jones, your administrative assistant, and I gave him a
- 10 tip and a comment. I said your announcement does not
- 11 indicate any RSVP. You are not requiring registration. How
- 12 is this going to work? I said in 2009 John Sampson, Senator
- 13 John Sampson, as chair of the senate judiciary committee,
- 14 held hearings on the judicial misconduct and the altorney
- 15 disciplinary system, and there were so many people, they
- 16 couldn't be accommodated.
- So let me tell you, if you are going to be holding
- 18 hearings on corruption, public corruption generally, take
- 19 this example, take this word for the wise, you need to have
- 20 a process, a procedure, an RSVP, a registration. I followed
- 21 it up in a correspondence. And I have to ask, because I
- 22 don't want to say nasty things that are not deserved, how
- 23 many of you commissioners are familiar with my August 5th
- 24 letter?
- 25 MR. FITZPATRICK: Are you asking for a show of

09-17-13

MS Sas Sort : actually.

MS Fitzpatnck: 93

- 1 hands or if we've read it? I have read all of your
- 2 correspondence that you wrote.
- 3 MS. SASSOWER: A Did you not feel it needed to be
- 4 responded to?

Hell,

- 5 MR. FITZPATRICK: A I don't want to debate with you.
- 6 I don't want you to waste your time -
- 7 MS. SASSOWER: Well, let me tell you what has
- 8 happened, because after 5:00 p.m. on September 5th, that is
- 9 about 11 days ago, you suddenly put on your website a
- 10 registration procedure,  $\mathcal{B}$ ut there was no public announcement
- 11 of that, So there were many people who were aware of the
- 12 hearings who didn't know that they were going to have to
- 13 register. And then there was another problem, because there
- 14 was a you didn't announce the registration procedure, but
- 15 there was a lack of clarity because you seemed to indicate
- 16 that registration was required by 12:00 noon yesterday, but
- 17 then there was a requirement of a written statement also by
- 18 12:00 noon. So the question was, was that a twofold
- 19 requirement, you had to have a written statement in addition
- 20 to the registration? And I sent an e-mail asking, well,
- 21 what does this mean? And there was no response. So what
- 22 has happened?
- I will tell you what happened today, today you had -
- 24 I took names I took maybe 40, 50 names of people outside,
- 25 and those were just the names that I could get, but there

- were many, many people who came from very far away, who
- traveled hours to be here just to have an opportunity to be
- heard for three minutes, which is an obscenely small amount
- of time. You didn't have to have a hearing with Preet
- Bharara and Loretta Lynch and Cy Vance, who can call the 5
- press at any opportunity. Nothing they said today you
- didn't know. We all knew it. We all heard it. They took
- our valuable time. They took away from those people who you
- turned away.

19

- And and look at the size of this room. First of 10
- 11 all, it's too small, but even still, you could have put
- twice the number of chairs here, but you didn't choose to. 12
- You even called up people this morning, I don't know how 13
- 14 many - maybe a reasonable question is how many people
- registered? And how many people did you call up this 15
- morning and say to them no, they weren't going to be 16
- permitted to testify, and sometimes the reason was that you 17
- claim that their statements were not germane. In other 18
- words, you used the statement to Gensor with some of these
- MS. CALCATERRA: Ms. Sassower, actually we didn 20
- 21 do that, and what we are doing - I understand your
- criticisms. I really do. But I want to make sure that 22
- 23
- everyone gets an opportunity to testify, as well otay, alright, fine (of me just a cod me just of MS. SASSOWER: Well n I just want to say, this very 24
- institution has an auditorium. You could have held this 25

- 1 hearing in the auditorium. You could have held it in an
- 2 Armory. This is Manhattan. You turned people away, not
- 3 even giving them an opportunity to sit and be part of
- 4 something so important. Now, just let me just before I
- 5 promised that I would recite their names, the people whose
- 6 names I took, but it would fill three minutes just to do
- 7 that.
- 8 But let me not leave before saying something further.
- 9 This Commission is supposed to restore, restore public
- 10 trust. It's right behind you. You have operated in such an
- 11 illegitimate fashion, behind closed doors. You have serious
- 12 and substantial correspondence about your procedures, your
- 13 rules, and you don't respond, and you put together a hearing
- 14 where there's hardly an opportunity to speak in three
- 15 minutes, but let me just say -
- MS. CALCATERRA: Ms. Sassower, I'm sorry, but you're
- there are who 17 have spoken for seven and others want to speak too.
- 18 MS. SASSOWER: But I will not leave I will travel
- 19 to Albany so I might have another three minutes. However,
- 20 before leaving, this is  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}$ , I respectfully submit and
- 21 ask each member of this Commission to read the
- 22 correspondence that was withheld from you by your executive
- 23 director, Regina Calcaterra, and by your three co-chairs,
- 24 because I think you need to know how they are operating.
- 25 And also, because, as I'm sure you know, most of you being

lawyers, that there are threshold issues relating to conflicts of interest. You are presuming to judge others as How are you -how are gon —

Ms. Sassower, other people want to to conflicts of others. MS. CALCATERRA: 4 5 testify. MS. SASSOWER: What are your rules? What are your 6 procedures? What is your protocol for conflicts of interest? Now I will leave with you, this is the verified complaints in the lawsuit against the state suing Governor Cuomo and Attorney General Schneiderman, as the first named defendants for corruption, for fraud, for grand larceny of the public  $\frac{\text{fisc}}{\text{fips}}$ , involving tens and hundreds of millions of dollars and ultimately billions with respect to the judicial 13 pay raises. This is part of a verified complaints that have been filed with US Attorney Preet Bharara, with US Attorney 15 Loretta Lynch, with US Attorney Richard Hartunian 16 MS. CALCATERRA: Ms. Sassower, actually, you have 17 almost, 10 minutes -18 MS. SASSOWER: 1 - Public Ethics, with the Inspector 19 General, and I'm leaving out the last, well, actually there 20 21 are some that I have not included, District Attorney Soares. 22 District Attorney Soares -MS. CALCATERRA: Ms. Sassower - you really, you really MR. FITZPATRICK: Ms. Sassower, we have extended 23 24

you 10 minutes, but I have no -

Alight Fine So

- MS. SASSOWER: , Let me just conclude -1
- 2 MR. FITZPATRICK: No. I do not need you to
- conclude. You have been here for 10 minutes. All you have
- talked about is process, and we have to get to our next
- 5 speaker.
- MS. CALCATERRA: We have other speakers isn't to Right? 6
- MS. SASSOWER: Process is most important, Due 7
- M. Fitzpotnek: Thank gon very much. 8
- MS. CALCATERRA: Ms. Sassower -9
- MS. SASSOWER: 7 I cannot leave without saying -10
- 11
- MS. SASSOWER: there are many people coming out to complain about the corruption 12
- 13
- MR. FITZPATRICK: I am going to ask you for the 14
- last time. Your time is up.
  The monitor is the Commission on
  MS. SASSOWER: 2 Judicial Conduct of the State of 15
- 16 was, that
- New York. That Commission is the monitor,
- MR. FITZPATRICK: I'm going to have to get security 18
- to remove you, ma'am, if you don't give up your time. 19 over
- 20
- MS. CALCATERRA: You have had 10 minutes.

  Betty Clinburg Ellern threw

  MS. SASSOWER: the lawsuit, the key lawsuit 21
- against the Commission on Vodice a Conduct 22
- 23 MS. CALCATERRA: Ms. Sassower, you are well over 10 here this evening
- minutes. Please. There are many other people who want to
- themto

speak. Please give them an opportunity to speak as well.

As sassover model and abothed —

09-17-13 is going to be the next speaker 98 Ellen Oxman, / And after that, Leon Koziol. Thank you so much for your time this evening. MS. SASSOWER: A By Robert Rosen 3 MS. CALCATERRA: Is Ellen Oxman available? Ellen Oxman available? Great. How are you dealing with conflicts MS. SASSOWER: of interest and conflicts of interest involving the a constant attorney salvius are tred to the side can pay lauses MS./CALCATERRA: Ma'am, You have already testified. Ms Gassolver: How are 4th dealing You have already utilized plenty of time. Thank you for 10 your testimony this evening. Is Ellen Oxman available? then after that, Leon Koziol. 11 12 MS. SASSOWER: Shame. Shame. This is corruption. 13 This is public corruption. Yes. You spent too much time for them. 14 15 No. This is for them. MS. CALCATERRA: Is Ellen Oxman available? 16 MS. SASSOWER: Alt's open and shut. Can be done in 17 18 a matter of minutes. 19 MS. CALCATERRA: Ms. Oxman. And then after, Leon 20 Koziol. 21 MS. OXMAN: Good evening. My name is Ellen Oxman. I'm lucky that Thomson Reuters Foundation has been invested 22 in a group I founded, Women For Justice in New York Courts. 23 24 I will leave my cards here. I believe the topics that you

all wanted to hear was the unethical conduct by elected

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	
4	
5	I, STEFANIE KRUT, a Notary
6	Public in and for the State of New
7	York, do hereby certify:
8	THAT the foregoing is a true and
9	accurate transcript of my stenographic
10	notes.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
12	hereunto set my hand this 30th day of
13	September 2013.
14	
15	Stefanie Krut
16	
17	STEFANIE KRUT
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	