1	X
2	PUBLIC HEARING ON THE MORELAND COMMISSION
3	TO INVESTIGATE PUBLIC CORRUPTION.
4	X
5	One Pace Plaza
6	New York, New York
7	
8	September 17, 2013
9	6:05 P.M.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Reported By:
15	Stefanie Krut
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	ч
23	
24	
25	

1	A P	P	Ε.	A R	A	N	C E	S:	
2						COI	MMIS	SSIO	NERS PRESENT:
3									Kathleen Rice - Co-Chair
4									Milton Williams, Jr Co-Chair
5									William Fitzpatrick - Co-Chair
6									Joanne Mahoney
7									Eric Corngold
8									Makau Mutua
9									Derek Champagne
10									Patrick Barrett
11									Nancy Hoppock
12									Seymour James
13									Gerald Mollen
14									Betty Weinberg Ellerin
15									Kathleen Hogan
16									David Javdan
17									Benito Romano
18									Kristy Sprague
19									Richard Briffault
20									P. David Soares
21									Peter Zimroth
22									Robert Johnson
23									Barbara Bartoletti - Special Advisor
24									Regina Calcaterra - Executive
25									Director

1 because there is a lot of secrecy and a lot of things that

- 2 shroud where that money goes. And I think if there was a
- 3 better accounting of where that money goes and what time
- 4 period and how it matches with other actions that are
- 5 engaged in by public officials, that would help enormously
- 6 as well.
- 7 MR. FITZPATRICK: Anyone else? Again, thank you
- 8 very, very much. We know you have another engagement. You
- 9 are very kind to share your time with us, and it's very
- 10 comforting to know that the right man is in charge in the
- 11 Southern District.
- 12 MR. BHARARA: Thank you very much.
- MR. FITZPATRICK: We are very fortunate tonight to
- 14 have, as our second speaker, another legendary name in law
- 15 enforcement in the State of New York. Our speaker Loretta
- 16 Lynch had a storied career as a litigator in the Eastern
- 17 District of New York, United States Attorney's Office.
- 18 While in the Long Island office, Loretta prosecuted white
- 19 collar crimes, public corruption cases, and she was the lead
- 20 prosecutor in a series of trials involving allegations of
- 21 public corruption in the Long Island Town of Brookhaven.
- 22 Ms. Lynch also served as the Chief Assistant where she was a
- 23 member of the trial team in the United States versus Volpe,
- 24 a five weeks civil rights case that was extremely troubling
- 25 to most of the citizens An New York City, and she was able

1 to obtain a successful quilty verdict. Ms. Lynch was

- 2 appointed by President Clinton as United States Attorney for
- 3 the Eastern District of New York and served until 2001. She
- 4 then left the office, went into a very, very distinguished
- 5 career in private practice, and luckily for us she answered
- 6 the call from President Barack Obama to return as United
- 7 States Attorney for the Eastern District of New York, this
- 8 time in 2010 when she took the oath of office. Her
- 9 jurisdiction involves cases in Brooklyn, Queens and Staten
- 10 Island, as well as Nassau and Suffolk Counties on Long
- 11 Island. She supervises a staff of approximately 170
- 12 attorneys and 150 support personnel. Please join me in
- 13 welcoming the Eastern District of New York, United States
- 14 Attorney, the Honorable Loretta Lynch.
- 15 MS. LYNCH: Thank you and good evening, Chairman
- 16 Fitzpatrick, Chairman Rice, Chairman Williams. Thank you so
- 17 much for this opportunity to speak not just to you but to
- 18 the other distinguished members of this Commission, many of
- 19 whom I count as friends, colleagues, mentors, all of whom I
- 20 applaud for your undertaking of this enterprise. This is an
- 21 important work, in fact, reviewing our State's public
- 22 corruption apparatus to determine where should you place
- 23 more leverage, where should you place more deterrent, what
- 24 should you do to combat the culture of corruption that seems
- 25 to be prevalent all around us. And we are all hopeful that

- 1 this Commission's efforts will lead to a greater
- 2 understanding on the part of the public and the policy
- 3 makers regarding the nature and the scope of the problem of
- 4 public corruption. But I would also add that just as
- 5 important a role for this Commission will be to serve as a
- 6 mechanism to engage the public as participants in the
- 7 efforts to stop corruption before it begins.
- Now, as the United States Attorney for the Eastern
- 9 District of New York, I am honored to lead an office with a
- 10 long tradition of fighting public corruption, an office that
- 11 has brought many of the leading faces of our times, from
- 12 Abscam, one of the first public corruption cases to utilize
- 13 undercover agents and secret tapes and the prosections of
- 14 Congressman Mario Biaggi, Party Leader Meade Esposito, and
- 15 Nassau County Political Boss Joe Margiotta in the '80s, to
- 16 the bribery prosecutions of New York City building
- 17 inspectors and the Town of Brookhaven corruption of the
- 18 '90s, to the more recent prosecutions of City Councilman
- 19 Angel Rodriguez, Nassau County Legislator Roger Corbin and
- 20 scores of city inspectors for taking bribes, to the current
- 21 cases involving bribery and extortion at all levels of state
- 22 and city governments. Our cases have highlighted the many
- 23 and varied ways that public officials have sold their
- 24 offices and their honor for pocketsful \sharp of cash.
- Our current cases continue our rich tradition of

1 protecting the public fisc and attempting to safeguard the

- 2 public's faith in the political system. That faith,
- 3 however, cannot help but be shaken by the latest chapters 🕌

- 4 self-interest and double dealing that our office and those
- 5 of our colleagues have uncovered. In recent months, in the
- 6 Eastern District of New York alone, we have convicted the
- 7 former majority leader of the United States Senate, and we
- 8 are prosecuting a state assemblyman, whose family has served
- 9 in the state legislature since the 1970s. We have convicted
- 10 nine officials and contractors of the New York City
- 11 Department of Housing, Preservation and Development,
- 12 including the assistant commissioner who oversaw HPD's
- 13 construction programs.
- 14 These cases, present and former, show more than the
- 15 corruption that has seeped into the corner offices of the
- 16 state capital and city hall. They highlight weaknesses and
- 17 oversight and accountability that allow corruption and
- 18 provide guidance, however, for those of us looking at these
- 19 issues and insight on principles that we submit can be
- 20 effective countermeasures as you consider your work.
- 21 Based on our experience, we have identified certain
- 22 core principles that can act as effective countermeasures to
- 23 a culture of corruption. First and foremost, rules that
- 24 hold politicians accountable for the fiscal decisions that
- 25 they make with tax payer monies and require their

1 acknowledgment of their responsibilities to certify

- 2 appropriate use. Second, truly transparent financial
- 3 disclosure on the part of politicians, along with the
- 4 beneficiaries of their largesse. Third, a strong legal
- 5 framework to allow the investigation and prosecution of
- 6 corruption matters. Fourth, a clear sentencing structure to
- 7 enhance the deterrent effect. And fifth, but no less
- 8 important, a commitment from all stakeholders, including but
- 9 not limited to, law enforcement, the public, the media and
- 10 other public officials to report wrongdoing when and where
- 11 they see it.
- 12 Now, working in conjunction with our valued law
- 13 enforcement partners, we have brought several cases recently
- 14 that highlight the pervasive problem of corruption by
- 15 elected and appointed officials. Now, I, like Preet, like
- 16 DA Vance, like all of you around this table, are extremely
- 17 proud of the work done by our teams in this area, but I
- 18 can't help but be saddened by the fact of so many cases we
- 19 have seen over the past few years. Now, I'm saddened, not
- 20 so much by the fall of individuals who could have done so
- 21 much good, because they in fact brought this on themselves
- 22 With their choices. I am most saddened for their
- 23 constituents, many of whom are from historically
- 24 underrepresented community, who place their faith in their
- 25 elected officials and believe in the promises of a new day

- 1 and a brighter tomorrow because their present-day
- 2 circumstances are challenged and they see their future as
- 3 shattered.
- Just last year, as was mentioned, former State Senate
- 5 Majority Leader Pedro Espada was convicted of stealing funds
- 6 from Soundview Health Clinic, a federally funded clinic he
- 7 operated in the Bronx. And as is common in so many of these
- 8 cases, this case was so much more than double dealing and
- 9 theft. It was in fact a betrayal of the trust of the people
- 10 of the Soundview neighborhood and all of his district, an
- 11 economically challenged area of the Bronx desperately in
- 12 need of both quality healthcare and someone to represent
- 13 their interests in Albany. The tragedy of this case is that
- 14 in Pedro Espada they had neither. The citizens of the Bronx
- 15 trusted Espada with their votes and with their health. The
- 16 federal government trusted him with over one million dollars
- 17 in funding to take care of some of the neediest citizens
- 18 among us. But instead of using that money to make sure that
- 19 medical personnel were paid, that the clinic had needed
- 20 medical supplies, Espada created a scheme to divert those
- 21 funds to exposed his lavish lifestyle. Instead of
- 22 medicines, the money went for luxury cars, extravagant
- 23 dinners, vacations, parties, spa treatments, to name just a
- 24 few items. Espada was recently sentenced to five years
- 25 incarceration this past June for both his theft conviction

- 1 as well as his federal tax crimes.
- Now, there were extraordinary challenges in building
- 3 a case against Espada, which many of you at the table will
- 4 be well familiar with. Now, they no doubt played a role in
- 5 his ability to avoid his prosecution over the years, but
- 6 they do provide insight into the things that could be
- 7 focused upon as you encounter these issues. Espada
- 8 controlled Soundview throughout the government's
- 9 investigation. The Soundview employees, who relied on him
- 10 for their livelihood, refused to cooperate with, and in many
- 11 cases, obstructed the government's investigation.
- 12 Soundview's Board of Directors was packed with friends,
- 13 relatives and others, whose only qualification appeared to
- 14 be loyalty to Espada. They also worked to impede the
- 15 investigation. Further, many of the schemes were complex
- 16 and hidden behind layers of rubber-stamp approvals by the
- 17 board and the shifting ownership arrangements of shell
- 18 corporations. Our team spent more than a year sifting
- 19 through tens of thousands of documents and nearly one
- 20 terabyte of data and interviewed hundreds of witnesses to
- 21 uncover Espada's schemes.
- 22 Earlier this year, former State Senator Shirley
- 23 Huntley was sent to prison for her role in stealing funds
- 24 from a non-profit organization that she established. She
- 25 funded a group called Parents Information Network (or PIN)

1 ostensibly to help educate and assist parents of New York

- 2 City public school children in her neighborhood in Southeast
- 3 Queens. As anyone who's ever tried to gave navigate the
- 4 waters of the New York City public school system,
- 5 particularly regarding any issues of special needs and
- 6 safety, you know that this assistance is vital. But Huntley
- 7 was able to steer New York funds from her non-profit through
- 8 the member item process. Instead of providing this
- 9 assistance to the parents, the organization was used as a
- 10 vehicle for Huntley to funnel money, over \$80,000, to
- 11 herself and her family, and this was not the only
- abused
 12 educational non-profit that she used. Two other women, an
- 13 aide and niece of Ms. Huntley, operated a non-profit called
- 14 Parent Morkshop. Its stated purpose was also to assist
- 15 parents in Southeast Queens in securing quality education
- 16 for their children, and instead, it became just another
- 17 means for Shirley Huntley to funnel New York money, tax
- 18 payer money, through this organization to herself and her
- 19 family.
- 20 And in fact, when the New York State Attorney
- 21 General's Office was investigating this organization,
- 22 Huntley assisted and aided her niece in obstructing this
- 23 investigation and falsifying documents, ultimately pleading
- 24 quilty to the obstruction case brought by her partners in
- 25 the New York State Attorney General's Office.

1 Hardly the finest hours for Espada, Huntley or the

- 2 New York State Legislature. Yet both cases, we submit to
- 3 you, illustrate certain weaknesses in both the oversight of
- 4 non-profit agencies as well as the New York State member
- 5 item process. In both cases, the boards of the non-profits
- 6 were packed with the cronies of the corrupt politicians and
- 7 had neither the expertise to run the organization nor the
- 8 will to override their political patron. The audit
- 9 function, properly supposed to be independent, was so
- 10 lacking as to be virtually nonexistent, and the member item
- 11 funds were distributed with no requirement that the
- 12 sponsoring members certify that those funds were in fact
- 13 used for their stated purpose.
- 14 We see similar themes in the public information
- 15 regarding our pending cases against Assemblyman William
- 16 Boyland and State Senator John Sampson. Boyland, as $\frac{\omega}{you}$
- 17 know, is charged of soliciting over a quarter of a million
- 18 dollars in bribes to support certain real estate development
- 19 projects and was captured on undercover recordings. He has
- 20 also been charged with submitting over 65 thousand dollars
- 21 worth of fraudulent per diem and travel vouchers, claiming
- 22 to be in Albany on official business on days when he was
- 23 not, including when he was actually meeting with the
- 24 undercover agents soliciting bribes. Boyland also allegedly
- 25 used a non-profit organization, this one charged with

1 wrongdoing, rendering them significantly less effective.

- Now, my office, like Preet like DA Vance, like so
- 3 many of you are, is committed to vigorously investigating
- 4 and prosecuting public corruption using all the
- 5 investigative tools at our disposal. We have a long history
- 6 of utilizing undercover agents, cooperating witnesses,
- 7 wiretaps and other audio and video recordings. We undertake
- 8 extensive document review that may shed light on corrupt
- 9 activities, and we will continue to use these tools to root
- 10 out bad actors and bring their crimes to light.
- But it must be stressed, particularly here,
- 12 particularly before this group, we are not alone in this
- 13 fight, nor can we be. We cannot prosecute our way out of
- 14 this problem. It is not just for prosecutors and law
- 15 enforcement agents to ferret out wrongdoing. We all have a
- 16 role to play in promoting transparency and accountability on
- 17 the part of our public officials. The public must demand
- 18 more accountability and actual honest services. The media
- 19 must remain vigilant in its scrutiny. And public officials
- 20 who see wrongdoing must not turn a blind eye and let
- 21 corruption continue. And it is to be hoped that this body
- 22 can recommend substantive changes that will work to prevent
- 23 the next major public corruption case.
- 24 We know that this Commission will focus on the
- 25 specifics of the proposed changes that will seek to do just

1 that. And we note for your consideration certain areas of

- 2 vulnerability that have been highlighted by the cases that
- 3 we have investigated. We have seen circumstances where the
- 4 outside auditors are unfamiliar with the non-profit
- 5 structure or even manipulated or deceived by corrupt board
- 6 members. Similarly, we have seen circumstances where
- 7 non-profit board are themselves ill equipped to resist a
- 8 corrupt member or politician. The truly independent audit
- 9 of non-profit agencies by qualified auditors could yield
- 10 both evidence of corruption as well as serve as a deterrent
- 11 to those who would seek to manipulate their funding stream
- 12 for corrupt purposes.
- 13 A review of both audit and board membership
- 14 requirements could indeed be a useful step. We have also
- 15 seen circumstances where our review of vendors used by
- 16 organizations that receive member item funds, as well as our
- 17 review of the employees and the salaries of those employees,
- 18 of those organizations have revealed evidence of corruption,
- 19 and we note that greater oversight and transparency in those
- 20 areas could yield both evidence of corruption as well as
- 21 serve as a deterrent effect.
- 22 Members of the Commission, I am often asked to
- 23 describe the greatest difference that I see in law
- 24 enforcement priorities between my current time as United
- 25 States Attorney and my prior appointment, and of course,

1 without a doubt, as any New Yorker knows, it is the

- 2 expansion of the department's national security practice to
- encompass the goals of not just prosecuting terrorism but
- 4 preventing the next terrorist event. And although we have
- not had the same fatal catalyst in this area, we must
- similarly expand our focus in the public corruption arena to
- prevent corruption before it occurs.
- My office remains committed to the important work of
- rooting out corrupt public officials. We support this
- Commission as it seeks to fashion rules and remedies that
- will not only enhance prosecutions but will also provide
- earlier detection of corruption and ultimately better
- deterrence. Public officials who have engaged in corruption 13
- have not only broken the law, they have broken faith with
- the public. Their actions siphon off tax payer dollars, 15
- they deprive citizens of vital services, and they destroy 16
- public trust in our political system. 17
- I commend you for undertaking this task, and I 18
- greatly appreciate the opportunity to provide information to 19
- this Moreland Act Commission in your efforts to focus of real 20
- solutions to this intractable problem and to restore the 21
- public trust. Thank you for your time and attention this 22
- 23 evening.
- madam US MR. FITZPATRICK: Thank you very much, District. 24
- 25 Attorney. Any questions from the commissioners? Yes?

- 1 MR. JAVDAN: I would just ask the same question I
- 2 asked before, is there anything that, you know, you think is
- 3 perhaps not illegal or that's a challenge for you under
- 4 state law that would be helpful for you in federal law that
- 5 surprises you?
- 6 MS. LYNCH: Well, I have to echo the comments of my
- 7 colleague, Preet Bharara, im that often for us the greatest
- 8 difficulty is obtaining information, and certainly it can be
- 9 surprising sometimes to learn that certain things that we
- 10 think should be publicly available information are not. And
- 11 I think for all of us who are engaged in law enforcement in
- 12 general, greater transparency is certainly a goal. I think
- 13 I would have to leave it to for you to design the specifics
- 14 of what you would change, but I do think that's a very
- 15 important area.
- 16 Similarly, I should note that, as I indicated, many
- of the abuses we have seen involve abuse of the member item
- 18 process, and I will tell you, as a prosecutor, it is also
- 19 often surprising that the person who is in charge of
- 20 dispensing such largesse home organizations does not seem to
- 21 have a corresponding requirement to certify that those funds
- 22 have been appropriately used. It's our understanding that
- 23 the organizations who receive money under the member item
- Hemselves Lave to 24 process do pass the certify through the pass-through agency,
- 25 for example, to the Department of Education in the case of

1 Ms. Huntley and others, that they, the organization, have

- 2 carried out their mandate. But those organizations, as we
- 3 have seen, are often sadly under the thumb of corrupt
- 4 politicians. These certifications can't be relied upon.
- 5 And certainly, if someone is in charge of or has the
- 6 ability, and I would submit, the grave responsibility of
- 7 helping to allocate tax payer money to help citizens, many
- 8 of whom are in grave need of these services, they should be
- 9 prepared to provide information about how that money was
- 10 used.
- But in terms of specifics, I would of course leave it
- 12 to this Commission to look at those issues and come up with
- 13 specific solutions.
- 14 MR. FITZPATRICK: Gerry?
- MR. MOLLEN: US Attorney Lynch, is there any
- 16 provision in federal law, I think this topic has been
- 17 mentioned by both US Attorneys, is there any provision in
- 18 federal law that would require one public official to report
- 19 corrupt misconduct when observed by another public official?
- 20 MS. LYNCH: There is no specific statute that
- 21 imposes the requirement, frankly, on anyone to report
- 22 misconduct. There are certain employment related statutes
- 23 that impose obligations and duties and handling and possibly
- 24 some OSHA or environmental ones, SO I would have to withdraw
- 25 all of that. But certainly there is no requirement that it

- 1 be reported by a politician. But certainly I think that we
- 2 have to encourage that it be done. Part of the problem with
- 3 any organizational corruption, particularly systemic
- 4 corruption that has existed over the years, is the people
- 5 who were not involved in it suffer in several ways, as I
- 6 mentioned. They target the same rush, and people view them
- 7 as corrupt when, in fact, many of our leaders are trying to
- 8 do the right thing. But so many, don't want to get involved,
- 9 and in many ways, they're simply trying not to get drawn
- 10 into a negative situation or problem. So that's not the
- 11 problem. But I do think there's room for public officials
- 12 who observe these wrongdoings, who hear these conversations
- 13 to step up and provide information.
- 14 MR. FITZPATRICK: Anybody else? Any other
- 15 questions? Madam US Attorney, thank you for the eloquence
- 16 of your words, and thank you for your suggestions, and most
- 17 of all, thank you for answering our President's call and
- 18 coming back to the public service. The citizens of New York
- 19 are very lucky that you said yes.
- 20 MS. LYNCH: Thank you so much for your time
- 21 tonight.
- MR. FITZPATRICK: Our next speaker is the District
- 23 Attorney of Manhattan, our host DA, if you will. DA Cy
- 24 Vance started out his career in the Manhattan DA's office,
- 25 as I think about 90 percent of this Commission did, working

1 for Bob Morgenthau. DA Vance handled all kinds of cases

- 2 when he was in the office and, sadly for New York, he moved
- 3 to Seattle, but luckily he decided to return and he became
- 4 the New York County District Attorney on January the 1st,
- 5 2010. Cy was so impressive to his 61 other elected DA's
- 6 throughout the state that last year we made him president of
- 7 our association, and his service was nothing short of
- 8 outstanding. I could tell you about all the bureaus that
- 9 he's created. A lot of them have to do with white collar
- 10 crime prosecution. He also had the foresight to create a
- 11 blue ribbon panel dealing with issues of white collar crime
- 12 that, naturally, include public corruption.
- 13 Cy is joined by Chief Assistant Dan Alonzo. I have
- 14 known Dan through my association with Cy and through my
- 15 association with the DA's Association. He is nothing short
- 16 of outstanding in his service of the people of New York.
- 17 There isn't a DA in the State of New York, including Cy,
- 18 that doesn't feel he can pick up the phone, talk to Dan and
- 19 get some incredibly sound advice. He's had a distinguished
- 20 career and he's won numerous awards, many of them having to
- 21 do with his professional integrity as an attorney. He's
- 22 also been involved in numerous public corruption
- 23 prosecutions and was very, very instrumental in helping Cy
- 24 draft the white collar crime task force report. So DA Vance
- 25 and Chief ADA Alonzo, we welcome you both, and I will turn

1 it over to you, DA Vance. Thank you very much for being

- 2 here.
- 3 MR. VANCE: Chairman Fitzpatrick, Chairman Rice and
- 4 Chairman Williams, I am, honored and I appreciate even being
- participate dony of 5 asked to speak with Ghief Dan Alonzo, and I also just want
- 6 to thank all of you on the Commission for your service,
- 7 taking the time out from your professional lives and
- 8 personal obligations to do the important work that you are
- 9 doing, and I think all of New York owes you a debt of
- 10 gratitude. As Bill Fitzpatrick said, between July 12 and
- 11 this past year, I served as a one-year term as president for
- 12 the District Attorney's Association for the State, and in
- 13 that capacity, last October I formed the New York State
- 14 White Collar Crime Task Force.
- The task force is co-chaired by District Attorney
- 16 Frank Sedito, who is a Commission member of yours, and my
- 17 Chief Assistant, Dan Alonzo, who is with me today and who
- 18 may be able to assist in answering questions about specifics
- 19 of the task force's recommendations.
- Now, the purpose of this task force was to have a
- 21 thoughtful group of lawyers study our fraud and corruption
- 22 laws from top to bottom, not thinking politics but thinking
- 23 substance, to come up with a set of recommendations that
- 24 could be considered by the legislature in its 2014 session.
- 25 The task force is not made up exclusively of district

Loadened 4

- 1 attorneys. To the contrary, I brought in the membership to
- 2 make sure it is reflective of views of a number of lawyers
- 3 outside law enforcement, the private practice, the bench and
- 4 academics, and the recommendations of that task force were
- 5 unanimously adopted by the board of directors of the DA's
- 6 Association this past July and we will be publically
- 7 presenting the findings of the task force and releasing its
- 8 full report next week.
- 9 In the meantime, I am pleased to give you a summary
- 10 of our task force's recommendations in the public corruption
- 11 arena, including procedural reforms that we believe are
- 12 absolutely crucial to the effective enforcement of the law.
- 13 Before I turn to several of the task force's specific
- 14 recommendations, I would like to address why we are
- 15 recommending these laws to the state.
- 16 As you just heard from my colleagues, the US
- 17 Attorneys for the Southern and Eastern District %, and as
- 18 everyone in New York government knows well, the FBI and
- 19 federal prosecutors have been remarkably successful in
- 20 policing and prosecuting our public officials. That success
- 21 has led some to suggest that New York does not need criminal
- 22 law reform in the area of political corruption. Some ask
- 23 why do we need to do anything at all when federal
- 24 authorities are doing such a good job. The answer, I
- 25 believe, is pretty straightforward. Reliance on the federal

60cal 45

- 1 government to safeguard state and Law integrity, I believe,
- 2 is riski/g public policy, and I also think it's inherently
- 3 intention with the federal system of sovereign states.
- 4 Now to be sure, and let me be clear, in terms of
- 5 public enforcement, the US Attorneys and United States
- 6 Attorney General, to say nothing of the FBI, are clearly and
- 7 actively engaged in rooting out this New York problem. And
- 8 let me also be clear, I have nothing but the greatest
- 9 admiration for my colleagues in the Eastern and Southern
- 11 the federal law enforcement agencies involved. But there is
- 12 nothing in federal law or politics that requires that they
- 13 continue to be so involved in the future. So why, in a gives primacy
- 14 government that states promising of police power, would New
- 15 York see this area to the federal government of limited
- 16 powers whose future resources and attention may be diverted
- 17 to different priorities.
- Now, we all know that some high level government
- 19 officials and many low level ones do, on occasion, so wind
- 20 up in our state courts. Alan Hevesi, who was described
- 21 earlier, and also Ms. Huntley. But these successes, a
- 22 fraction of our federal counterparts, came about, I believe,
- 23 in spite of the state system, not because of it. Criminal
- 24 prosecution may be not be the answer to all of our society's
- 25 problems, but any system of corruption enforcement is doomed

1 without effective criminal sanctions. It is simply time to

- 2 stop handcuffing state prosecutors and allow them to do the
- 3 jobs that they should be doing to root out political
- 4 corruption.
- 5 And to this end, the task force made seven
- 6 recommendations that most closely relate to the problem
- 7 before this Commission. Two are procedural and five are
- 8 substantive, and I have submitted to the Chairs my written
- 9 testimony, which I hope will be of use to you. But in my
- 10 oral testimony, I will only address three of those seven
- 11 recommendations. Two are procedural and one substantive.
- 12 First, New York should eliminate automatic
- 13 transactional immunity. Federal grand juries may use
- 14 hearsay without limitation, but state grand juries are
- 15 generally required to hear from each person who has personal
- 16 knowledge of the events at issue. And under New York
- 17 current law, which is unique, by the way, in the country and
- 18 not required by any supreme court precedent, every witness
- 19 before a state grand jury automatically receives full
- 20 transactional immunity about anything to do with the matters
- 21 about which they testify, which means that they can never be
- 22 prosecuted in state court for matters about which they
- 23 testify in response to questions. The results, I think,
- 24 have been abysmal for New York in two ways. First, there
- 25 have been numerous miscarriages of justice. In the violent

- 1 crime area, for example, in one case, where a supposed
- 2 witness who had, unbeknownst to the prosecutor, actually
- 3 committed the murder was called before the grand jury and
- 4 thereby absolutely absolved of all liability. In white
- **کی حدی** 5 collar crime, an executive whose company had been victimized
- 6 was called before a grand jury to describe the company's
- 7 operations and to testify about a possible extortion.
- 8 Subsequently, a different prosecutor began investigating
- 9 that same executive for tax fraud connected to the company,
- 10 but because the executive had been asked about the company's
- 11 operations by the first prosecutor, the case against him was
- 12 dismissed and the prosecution barred.
- 13 (OS/A), cases like these, Commission members, have been
- 14 regional over the years, but they pale in comparison to the
- 15 criminal law's second fatal flaw, the chilling effect on
- 16 investigations and prosections of all kinds and,
- 17 particularly, of corruption. Now, prosecutors are
- 18 understandably reluctant to call the very people who know
- 19 about corruption for fear of giving them a pass for all of
- 20 their transgresses as a result of transactional immunity, to
- 21 say nothing about the credibility of issues that a witness
- 22 must face at trial, having been granted full immunity from
- 23 prosecution. I believe that all 62 of the District
- 24 Attorneys in New York State agree that it would be much more
- 25 sensible for New York to adopt the federal use immunity

- 1 rule, used in the majority of states, which in practice has
- 2 a much less chilling effect on corruption investigations.
- In 1982, state prosecutors, supported by the first
- 4 Governor Cuomo, former Attorney General Robert Abrams, and
- 5 every major editorial board in the state tried and failed to
- 6 get this law changed, and I believe it is time to try again
- 7 and to succeed.
- 8 Second, New York should amend the accomplice
- 9 corroboration requirement. You hear US Attorney Bharara
- 10 talk about what he thought was the biggest obstacle in these
- 11 investigations, and what did he say? It was getting at the
- 12 evidence. The lifeblood of prosecutions of sophisticated
- 13 crime, which corruption typically is, is the use of γ
- 14 accomplices who are in the best position to supply that
- 15 evidence and information about the inner workings of
- 16 criminal enterprises. But in New York, even when
- 17 co-conspirators switch governments, to use the famous words
- 18 of Sandy Provano, the US corroboration rule makes it
- 19 impossible to prosecute others without independent
- 20 corroborating evidence.
- Now, this is a sensible concept and one that even I'm
- 22 sure federal prosecutors follow in practice, but its
- 23 interpretation in New York makes it a poison pill in
- 24 corruption investigations. Several prosecutors often
- 25 corroborate the testimony of one corroborator with another.

1 In New York, even nine cooperators corroborating the tenth

- 2 is not enough. The evidence must be independent. So how
- 3 about using a tape to prove your case? Maybe, but it had
- 4 better be non-accomplice that vouchers for its authenticity
- 5 or it won't be admissible. These accomplice corroberation
- 6 rules have no place in a system that is serious about
- 7 cleaning up its government.
- 8 Corrupt public officials continue to reap the benefit
- 9 of New York State's outdated and overly restrictive law.
- 10 Although, clearly, accomplice testimony deserves sharper
- 11 scrutiny at trial, it's not necessarily untrustworthy. With
- 12 proper safeguards and selection from the trial court on the
- 13 inherent dangers on accomplice testimony, such factors, I
- 14 believe, ought to be for the jury to weigh in assessing
- 15 credibility. A cell mate who committed assault and is
- 16 called as a prosecution witness should not be presumed more
- 17 trustworthy, as he is under current law, than a
- 18 self-confessed accomplice to a forgery.
- I recommend, therefore, that New York amend, but not
- 20 eliminate, the accomplice corroboration requirement of CPL
- 21 Section 60.22 to allow cross corroboration by a separate
- 22 accomplice.
- Third, and finally in my testimony before you orally,
- 24 New York should amend its public servant bribery law.
- 25 Although New York State's bribery law, by its terms, is

- 1 violated when a bribe is merely offered or solicited, the
- paradoxico//s 2 paradox also requires an elicit, I am quoting, agreement or
- 3 understanding, end quote, in the statute, between the bribe
- 4 giver and the bribery receiver in order for the crime to be
- 5 complete. This exacting element is not required under New
- 6 York's other bribery laws, including public bribery, sports
- 7 bribery and commercial bribery and the less of most other
- 8 jurisdictions, which are subject to the less exacting
- 9 standard and requirement of an intent to influence the
- 10 recipient of the bribe.
- 11 As it stands, therefore, those who bribe public
- 12 officials in New York are less likely to be prosecuted than
- $-\text{the}\omega$ 13 those who bribe boxers who throw the match. The task
- 14 force's proposal would align New York's public bribery law
- 15 with these other bribery laws. The task force, therefore,
- 16 recommends replacing the agreement or understanding
- 17 requirement in New York's bribery law with a requirement of
- 18 an intent to influence the public servant. This would
- 19 legislatively overrule the Court of Appeals decision
- 20 People V Bactron of 1992.
- 21 I would like to conclude by quoting from the 1987
- 22 article from the New York Times that followed the New York
- 23 City Correction scandals of the mid 1980's. That article
- 24 reported, among other things, that, quote, "half a dozen
- 25 district attorneys said local officials they believe to be

regnich Commissors, any

- 1 corrupt have gone un-prosecuted because New York laws make
- 2 it too difficult, more difficult than in other states, to
- 3 bring corruption cases." That was in 1987, 26 years ago.
- 4 And ladies and gentlemen, things have only gotten worse. So
- 5 thank you for the chance to give my thoughts this evening,
- 6 and Dan Alonzo wifl be happy to answer the Commission's
- 7 questions.

8 MR. FITZPATRICK: Thank you, DA Vance. Let me

- 9 start with the thing that's troubled a lot of us when we
- 10 have been discussing this. The legislator, for a
- 11 hypothetical, who takes a campaign contribution and then
- 12 introduces some obscure piece of legislation, such as tax
- 13 abatement or some other type of thing, that only applies to
- 14 the contributor, absent, you know, a type recording of an
- 15 agreement or an informant or some other type of evidence
- 16 that isn't very likely to appear, is there anything
- 17 prosecutors can do about something like that, other than
- 18 what an average citizen would do, maybe shake their heads
- 19 that you can't be serious that this is legal? The touse for the touse for

20 MR. ALONZO: First of all, thank you, Bill and Car,

- 21 Fitzpatrick, for having me tonight. I appreciate it. I
- 22 think, like every other corruption case, it turns so
- 23 explicitly on the facts, and under the current law you would
- 24 have to have an agreement or understanding, even under the
- 25 task force's proposal in the case of a campaign

lance:

PRECISE COURT REPORTING (516) 747-9393 (718) 343-7227 (212) 581-2570

- 1 contribution, you would have to have an agreement or
- 2 understanding. Campaign contributions, there's nothing
- 3 special about them, they are benefits under current law so
- 4 they can be a bribe, but you still have to have the
- 5 evidence. So I think just a mere campaign contribution and
- 6 action that benefits a contributor would not be actionable
- 7 under current law.
- 8 MR. FITZPATRICK: Any other commissioners have
- 9 questions for DA Vance or Dan? Nancy? Mofessol
- 10 MS. HOPPOCK: This question is for Dan Alonzo. You
- 11 have been both a state and federal prosecutor, so you're
- 12 aware of the tools available to federal prosecutors and have
- 13 looked at and I know considered the tools available to state
- 14 prosecutors in the penal code. Does your team have the same
- 15 statute, when you contrast it to what the feds have in the
- 16 mail and wire fraud statutes?
- 17 MR. ALONZO: In a word no. I think your question
- 18 is do we have an equivalent to mail and wire fraud, which is
- 19 a key tool that the US Attorneys you have heard from used in
- 20 their prosecutions, not the only tool of course, but the
- 21 value of the mail and wire fraud laws are they are so
- 22 broad and they encompass schemes that can expand several
- 23 years, and they can encompass lots of corrupt behavior, not
- 24 just bribes, but kickbacks, illegal gifts and conversations
- 25 that don't necessarily go anywhere but they're kind of

- 1 corrupt, and every sort of vast piece of information,
- 2 without the requirement that you have an independent
- 3 accomplice, being able to call witnesses to the grand jury
- 4 without granting them absolution for everything they have
- 5 done, and all sorts of other tools if you're interested, I
- 6 can talk about that federal prosecutors have that state
- 7 prosecutors don't, or better said, hurtles that we state
- 8 prosecutors face that federal prosecutors do not face.
- 9 So I think New York could use a scheme crime. We
- 10 have proposed, in the task force, an undisclosed self
- 11 dealing law, which deals with course of conduct. That's one
- 12 way to do it. In 2010 DA Vance and then Senator
- 13 Schneiderman proposed a scheme law, similar to federal mail
- 14 and wire fraud. There are a lot of ways to do it. But a
- 15 scheme law, I believe, would be crucial to combatting public
- 16 corruption in New York.
- 17 MR. FITZFATRICK: Thank you, Nancy. Anyone else?
- 18 Anyone? Any questions? Cy, first of all, I have to
- 19 compliment you on the very, very diplomatic way that you
- 20 told Preet and Loretta that we want a piece of the action
- 21 too, but most importantly, thank you for your leadership on
- 22 this issue. You are relatively new as the elected
- 23 prosecutor, but man, you hit the ground running, and this is
- 24 incredible. And just like all DAs, I hope myself included,
- 25 you surround yourself with great people like Danky. So

1 thank you both very, very much.

- 2 MR. VANCE: Thank you.
- 3 MR. ALONZO: Thank you.
- 4 MR. FITZPATRICK: I appreciate it. /
- 5 MS. CALCATERRA: I would like to invite up
- 6 Councilman Eric Ulrich.
- 7 MR. ULRICH: Good evening, Chairpersons Rice,
- 8 Fitzpatrick and Williams, and distinguished members of the
- 9 Moreland Commission to Investigate Public Corruption. My
- 10 name is Eric Ulrich. I am a member of the New York City
- 11 Council, representing the 32nd Council District in Queens
- 12 County. I was elected in a nonpartisan special election in
- 13 2009 and was reelected in a November general election of
- 14 that same year. I was also the republican candidate for New
- 15 York State Senate in District 15 in 2012. And someone who
- 16 has run for both public and party office at the state and
- 17 local level, I greatly appreciate the opportunity to share
- 18 with you my thoughts on the inherent corruption and the
- 19 appearance of such corruption and political campaigns and
- 20 elections in the State of New York.
- 21 We all know that over the past several years New
- 22 Yorkers have had to endure some of the worst public
- 23 corruption scandals in recent memory. These accounts,
- 24 widely reported in almost every media outlet, have caused
- 25 irreparable harm to what former Mayor Ed Koch once called