1	prerogative as the home state senators from New York.
2	THE COURT: You've been heard on the issue.
3	The record is made. I won't hear any further discussion
4	of that. That information will be redacted from that
5	exhibit. Very well, ready for the jury?
6	MR. MENDELSOHN: Your Honor, we'll make that
7	redaction before the exhibit is submitted to the jury.
8	THE COURT: Very well.
9	(Thereupon, the jury returned to the courtroom
10	at 2:20 P.M.)
11	THE COURT: Very well. Ms. Sassower, do you
12	have another witness?
13	MS. SASSOWER: I do indeed. I call Leecia Eve,
14	counsel for home state, New York home state senator,
15	Hillary Rodham Clinton.
16	THE COURT: Very well. Please step up.
17	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
18	Remain standing.
19	THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.
20	Thereupon,
21	LEECIA EVE,
22	having been called as a witness for and on behalf of the
23	defendant, and after having been first duly sworn by the
24	deputy clerk, was examined and testified as follows:
25	THE COURT: Please be seated. Ms. Sassower.

"CORRECTED PAGE"

1	personal appearance but documents that you now possessed
2	relative to this matter?
3	A Yes, I was so advised.
4	Q Have you brought with you today any documents?
5	A No, I have not.
6	Q What documents did you review to refresh your
7	recollection for your testimony here today?
8	A Let's see. I reviewed e-mails that I believe
9	were produced, as well as the legal submission made by
10	the prosecutor with respect to preliminary evidentiary
11	matters. And I reviewed the judge's order last week.
12	And I also reviewed the, one of the documents
13	that was produced by the Capitol police.
14	Q Okay. Might the documents from Capitol
15	police that you reviewed, was that the subject profile
16	of me prepared by special agent Lippay?
17	A I, I reviewed just one document and I believe
18	it was that, that document.
19	Q Okay. I show you Exhibit 2 marked into
20	evidence. Is this what you reviewed in preparation for
21	your testimony here today?
22	A The document I reviewed wasn't, didn't have the
23	pages that are colored here, in color. They were black
24	and white. But it appears to be otherwise the same
25	dogument

1	Q	Thank you. Do you keep how long have you
2	been cour	nsel for Senator Clinton?
3	A	A little bit more than three years.
4	Q	So from the inception of her tenure as New York
5	State ju	nior senator?
6	A	No. She was sworn in as a senator in January
7	of 2001.	I became counsel to Senator Clinton the end of
8	March of	2001.
9	Q	What is your background please?
10	A	My educational background?
11	· Q	Yes.
12	A	I'm a graduate of the Buffalo public schools,
13	Smith Col	lege, Harvard Law School, the John F. Kennedy
14	School of	Government at Harvard.
15	Q	Harvard Law School, what year?
16	A	I graduated from Harvard Law School and from
17	the John	F. Kennedy School of Government at Harvard in
18	1990. I	received degree, a received a degree from both
19	instituti	ons.
20	Q	In 1990, both?
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	This was a joint degree?
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	And between 1990 and March 2001, what was your
25	employmen	+ 2

1	A	After I graduated from law school and the
2	Kennedy s	school, I clerked for a little bit, less than
3	two years	s for then Associate Justice, judge in the New
4	York Stat	te Court of Appeals, the Honorable Fritz W.
5	Alexander	r II. After
6	Q	In the New York Court of Appeals?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	New York's highest court.
9	A	That's correct, New York State's highest court.
10	Q	New York State's highest court.
11	A	Would you like me to continue?
12		THE COURT: Is there a question?
13		MS. SASSOWER: Yes, I'm sorry.
14		THE WITNESS: After I finished clerking for
15	Judge Ale	exander, I worked for just a couple of months
16	for an or	rganization called New York '92 which was the
17	host com	mittee for the Democratic National Convention,
18	which was	s held in New York City in July of 1992.
19		From the fall of '92 through the summer of '95,
20	I was an	associate at the law firm of Covington and
21	Burling h	nere in Washington. Sorry.
22		From the fall of '92 through the summer of '95,
23	I was an	associate at the law firm of Covington and
24	Burling.	After I left Covington, I became one of, of a

number of counsels to Joseph, Senator Joseph Biden of

1	Delaware.
2	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower.
3	MS. SASSOWER: Yes. I'm sorry.
4	BY MS. SASSOWER:
5	Q What was the year?
6	A I began working for Senator Biden in August of
7	'95 and worked for him in his capacity as ranking member
8	on the United States Committee, Senate Committee on the
9	Judiciary. I worked for
10	Q Of which he had been chairman previously.
11	A Yes, at one point in time he had been chairman,
12	correct. I did not work for him when he served as
13	chairman, but he had at one point in time been chairman.
14	After I left Senator Biden in late '96, I
15	returned to my hometown of Buffalo, New York, joined the
16	law firm of Hudson Ross Andrews Woods and Goodier as an
17	associate. And in
18	Q What year was that?
19	A November of 19 it was 1996.
20	Q November '96?
21	A Dece, De, December '96. I
22	Q December '96?
23	A Correct.
24	Q To
25	A And

1	Q I'm sorry, to when, to
2	THE COURT: Excuse me, to both of you. It's
3	very difficult for the court reporter to transcribe
4	based on speed and overlap. So please allow the other
5	to complete whatever it is they're saying before
6	continuing it. Slow down.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
9	BY MS. SASSOWER:
10	Q You commenced your employment with the Buffalo
11	law firm as an associate in December 1996. How long
12	were you employed there?
13	A I was employed there as an associate until
14	January of 2000. And in January 2000 technically became
15	an employer when I was elevated to the position of
16	partner.
17	And I served as partner actually in 9, from '99
18	until March of 2001 when I left the firm to return to
19	Washington to become Senator Clinton's counsel.
20	Q Okay. What are your responsibilities as
21	Senator Clinton's counsel?
22	A As a general matter, my responsibilities fall
23	in two basic areas: legislative and non-legislative.
24	With respect to the legislative area. I advised
25	the Senator on a wide variety of issues including but

1	not limited to any issues that would come before the
2	Senate Judiciary Committee.
3	She does not serve on that committee, but any
4	issues that would come for the committee.
5	That includes everything from judicial
6	nominations to civil rights, to antitrust, intellectual
7	property, federal committee laws.
8	I also advise her, in addition to those issues,
9	I'm the head of her homeland security team. So I spend
10	a lot of time on homeland security matters, Native
11	American affairs. I am also
12	Q Ms. Eve,
13	A Yes.
14	Q Excuse me. We are most interested in federal
15	judicial nominations.
16	THE COURT: Oh, oh, excuse me, excuse me. The
17	call of your question was for her duties, she was
18	delineating those. Please don't disturb her again.
19	MS. SASSOWER: I wanted to
20	THE COURT: Excuse me. Ms. Eve, you'd gotten
21	to, as I was struggling to write, homeland security and
22	
23	THE WITNESS: Native American affairs
24	THE COURT: Right.
25	THE WITNESS: and various other issues. But

T	those are the primary legislative ones. And then in
2	terms of non-legislative issues, I basically serve as an
3	adviser to the office and the senator on campaign
4	finance and ethics issues.
5	Q Before I focus in on your, how you assist on
6	federal judicial nominations, I'd like to just clarify
7	that 400 1
8	THE COURT: Please ask a question.
9	BY MS. SASSOWER:
10	Q In the years that you were in private practice,
11	were you engaged in litigation?
12	A Yes, I was a litigator when I was in private
13	practice.
14	Q How do you assist Senator Clinton on federal
15	judicial nominations? What do you do as a, as a matter
16	of practice and procedure? How do you advise her?
17	A Well, as a general matter, without waiving
18	privilege issues as a general matter, I review
19	documents, prepare memoranda for her with respect to
20	particular nominee's background and qualifications.
21	Q Uh-huh. When did you first become aware of
22	either me, Elena Sassower, and/or the Center for
23	Judicial Accountability?
24	A I don't remember specifically when. As a
25	general matter, I believe it was sometime in May of last

1	year, May of 2003, and I believe I became aware of you
2	and the center at the same time.
3	Q Prior thereto, you had no knowledge of either
4	myself or the Center?
5	A No.
6	Q No, no, you had no knowledge of the voluminous
7	communications with Senator Clinton regarding what goes
8	on with the federal judicial nominations at the Senate
9	Judiciary Committee?
10	THE COURT: Well, sustained. I mean there are
11	two separate questions there. You can ask them
12	separately and she can respond.
13	MS. SASSOWER: I'll come back to that.
14	BY MS. SASSOWER:
15	Q In connection with the nominations of New York
16	Court of Appeals Judge Richard Wesley to the Second
17	Circuit Court of Appeals, when did you become aware that
18	I, as coordinator of the Center for Judicial
19	Accountability, had approached Senator Clinton's office
20	with opposition?
21	A I don't remember specifically when. It was
22	probably around the same time that I became aware of you
23	and the Center. Those events were probably
24	simultaneous.
2 5	Q I show you Defendant's Exhibit 12 marked for

7	Tuencification. When did you first see that fetter:
2	A I haven't reviewed it yet. Would you like me
3	to
4	Q Please.
5	A I don't remember seeing this specific letter.
6	I know, as a general matter, that you sent some
7	materials to our office.
8	And eventually at least some of those materials
9	made their way to me, and I have a general recollection
10	of seeing some of them. But I don't remember particular
11	documents.
12	Q This letter annexes a fax receipt, is that the
13	fax number for the Washington, D.C. office of Senator
14	Clinton?
15	A That appears to be one of the fax numbers, yes.
16	Q And the letter itself is addressed Senator
17	Clinton, is it not?
18	A Yes, it is.
19	Q And it, and it identifies opposition
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	BY MS. SASSOWER:
22	Q Is it your testimony that on or about April
23	23rd, 2003 when this document, this letter was faxed to
24	Senator Clinton's office, you did not receive it on or
25	about that day?

"CORRECTED PAGE"

1	A No, that wasn't my testimony. My testimony is I, I
2	can't really attest specifically to any particular
3	document.
4	What I do remember, as a general matter, the
5	relatively lengthy conversation I had with you regarding
6	the Wesley nomination.
7	I will not be able to testify with any specifi,
8	you know, any great specifi, speci, with any great, with
9	
10	I will not be able to testify specifically with
11	respect to any particular document that you may have
12	sent to our office.
13	Q Well, prior to that telephone conversation, did
14	I leave phone messages for you on a number of occasions
15	asking whether you had received a package of materials
16	hand-delivered to the New York City office?
17	A I don't recall whether you had left me a number
18	of messages or messages on a number of occasions with
19	that specific message. I do have a regular recollection
20	of having a voice mail message from you.
21	And I do remember having a message from you or
22	either a communication, the lengthy conver, conversation
23	we had about documents that you had given our office.
24	Q For clarification, we only spoke once, is that
2 5	dorroat 2

1	A I believe that's the case.
2	Q I show you Defendant's Exhibit 37 for
3	identification. Have you ever seen near identical
4	presentation of documents?
5	MS. LIU: Your Honor, may we approach?
6	THE COURT: You may. Just a minute, before you
7	review that.
8	(Bench Conference)
9	MS. LIU: Your Honor, from what I can tell, the
10	same thing is happening with this witness that happened
11	with Mr. Albert.
12	THE COURT: I mean basically we're having this
13	witness lay through documents, she's previously
14	testified that she can't testify with any specificity as
15	to any document. Why are we going to waste time with
16	her reviewing the stack of materials?
17	MS. SASSOWER: I'm not asking her to review it
18	now. I'm asking whether she received it at some point
19	prior to the hour-long conference.
20	THE COURT: And how else, how else could she be
21	answering the question except to go through the specific
22	documents?
23	I mean this to me is an exercise in absolute
24	futility. Let's get to the heart of her involvement in
25	this case. Mr. Mendelsohn.

1	MR. MENDELSOHN: We have absolutely no
2	objection to the defendant asking the witness about what
3	their phone conversation was about.
4	THE COURT: Absolutely.
5	MS. SASSOWER: Fine.
6	THE COURT: But their phone conversation was,
7	what did she do in response to.
8	MS. SASSOWER: That's fine.
9	(Open Court)
10	BY MS. SASSOWER:
11	Q Do you remember the date of our single phone
12	conversation together?
13	A I don't remember the precise date. But I
14	remember it was anywhere from a day or two or three days
15	before Judge Wesley's nomination hearing. Sometime in
16	late May.
17	Q Would the date of May 20th, two days before the
18	May 22nd hearing, seem right to you?
19	A We could have had the conversation that day.
20	Q Do you keep a diary, a log of calls you make,
21	calls you receive, meetings, conferences that you have?
22	A I do keep notes of some calls and some meetings
23	but not all.
24	Q Do you have any notes that you took with regard
25	to the May 20th phone conference that we had together?

I don't believe I do, no. Did you tape the May 20th phone conference? 2 Α No. And prior to the May 20th phone conference, had 4 you reviewed any of the documents in that package that 5 had been hand delivered to the New York office? 6 7 Again, as I testified before, I know that you sent some documents to our office. I know some of those 9 documents made their way to my desk. 10 I have a general recollection of looking briefly at some of them, but I'm not gonna remember one 11 12 document versus another. 13 So that we're clear here, prior to the May 20th conference, are you representing that you reviewed any 14 of the documents that --15 I, I just can't say one way or the other. 16 Α know before we spoke on the phone -- we'll assume that 17 it was May 20th. 18 19 I know before we spoke on the phone, I, at a minimum, perused some material that you sent to Senator 20 Clinton's office. 21 22 I don't remember the specifics of the document. I can't tell you if it was Exhibit 37 or part of Exhibit 23 37 or Exhibit 12 or some other documents. 24 25 Well, the cover letter identifies --

1

Α

1	A The cover letter of which exhibit?
2	Q Of I'm sorry.
3	A Thirty-seven?
4	Q Of the package.
5	A Okay.
6	Q Had you read that cover letter prior to our May
7	20th conference?
8	THE COURT: Sustained. The testimony was
9	clear. She has no recollection of specific documents
10	that were reviewed.
11	MS. SASSOWER: Does Senator Clinton, does
12	Senator Schumer have some special responsibility and
13	prerogative regarding federal nominations for New York
14	in Second Circuit?
15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	MS. SASSOWER: Do you know why did I express
17	in written form why I was presenting evidence to the
18	office of Senator Clinton for review regarding the
19	fitness of Judge Wesley?
20	THE COURT: Sustained, sustained.
21	MS. SASSOWER: Okay. Is it your view that
22	Senator Clinton oh, excuse me. Did Senator Clinton
23	ever review the March
24	MS. LIU: Objection, Your Honor.
25	MS. SASSOWER: 26th statement setting forth

1	the documentary evidence of Judge Wesley's unfitness.
2	THE COURT: Sustained.
3	MS. SASSOWER: Are you
4	THE COURT: Do you have any questions
5	MS. SASSOWER: Yes.
6	THE COURT: about the single telephone
7	conference?
8	BY MS. SASSOWER:
9	Q Before our telephone conference on May 20th, we
10	had been tentatively scheduled to meet in person, is
11	that correct?
12	A I believe that's the case based upon e-mail
13	that Josh Albert sent to me.
14	Q And the date for that tentative meeting was May
15	5th at 1 p.m. in the afternoon, is that correct?
16	A I don't remember the specific date, but that
17	sounds like that might have been the date of the
18	meeting, the planned, planned meeting.
19	Q And you were advised that on that same date, I
20	was going to be delivering the underlying case file
21	evidence to the Senate Judiciary Committee relative to
22	the unfitness of Judge Wesley, is that correct?
23	A I'm not sure. I'm not, I became generally
24	aware that you provided I believe a number of boxes of
25	documents to the Senate Judiciary Committee. On what

1	date I was made aware of that, I don't remember
2	specifically.
3	Q Okay. And just, Defendant's Exhibit 38, I show
4	you
5	THE COURT: Inasmuch as the testimony has been
6	that she cannot testify with specificity as to any
7	document, why are we proceeding with Exhibit 38?
8	Questions concerning
9	MS. SASSOWER: I
10	THE COURT: the telephone conference please.
11	BY MS. SASSOWER:
12	Q Were you aware that I did arrive on May 5th at
13	Senator Clinton's office, albeit delayed and no one was
14	available to meet with me?
15	A Actually, I don't have a recollection of that
16	so I don't recall either way.
17	Q Are you aware of any documents that I
18	physically left in that office on May 5th?
19	A I can't attest to that because I just really
20	don't know. All I know again generally is that you gave
21	documents to our office. I'm not aware of the specific
22	documents or the specific dates.
23	Q Did you ever go down to the Senate Judiciary
24	Committee, with your own eyes see the boxes that I hand
25	delivered to the Senate Judiciary Committees

1	A No, I did not go down there with my own eyes,
2	so to speak, review those documents. I do have a
3	general recollection of asking a legal intern with me at
4	the time to actually go and look at the documents to see
5	if there was anything that stood out in her mind that,
6	you know, she should bring to my attention.
7	Q What did she say?
8	A Again, I don't remember the specifics, but my
9	general recollection is there was nothing that stood
10	out.
11	Q What did she describe?
12	A I don't remember the specifics of the
13	conversation. I basically asked her to check to see if
14	there was anything that was significant that should be
15	brought to my attention.
16	And, and I don't remember the specifics of the
17	conversation, but I have a general recollection that she
18	didn't see anything specific that was significant to
19	bring to my attention.
20	Q Did you have any communications with staff of
21	the Senate Judiciary Committee as to their review of
22	the,
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	MS. SASSOWER: of the March 26th
25	statement

1	THE COURT: Sustained.
2	MS. SASSOWER: and the underlying documents?
3	THE COURT: New question. Telephone
4	conversation,
5	MS. SASSOWER: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower.
7	BY MS. SASSOWER:
8	Q What did I say during our phone conversation,
9	what did you say during our phone conversation of May
10	20th?
11	A Since it was almost a year ago, I don't
12	remember the specifics of what you said or the specifics
13	frankly of what I said. But I do remember the gist of
14	our conversation.
15	It was, my general recollection it was mostly
16	Mr. Albert and myself listening to the concerns you had
17	about Judge Wesley as the nominee to the Second Circuit.
18	The specific comments you made I don't
19	remember. But I remember you expressing concerns about
20	him and his, in your view, unfitness for the bench.
21	And I don't remember the specific words you
22	used, but I do have a recollection of you asking, or
23	wanting or indicating your desire to testify at his
24	nomination hearing.
25	I also have a general recollection of you

asking Mr. Albert and me when you could. And I believe 1 I said to you that se, neither Senator Clinton nor her 2 staff makes deci, makes decisions about who testifies at 3 4 Judiciary Committee hearings given that she doesn't serve on the committee. 5 And I guess the final substantive, the gist, 6 the final substantive part of the conversation is I 7 believe you had communicated a request to have Senator 8 9 Clinton not support Judge Wesley and that might have been either withdrawing a blue slip or not testifying. 10 But you basically wanted her not to support his 11 candidacy. 12 And I believe that I communicated to you that 13

And I believe that I communicated to you that there is nothing that I had gleaned that would raise issues about his nomination and that I did not expect Senator Clinton to withdraw her blue slip and that she would in fact testify in support of his nomination at the hearing.

Q When, when you said --

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Sustained. Approach the bench please.

(Bench Conference)

THE COURT: Don't ever do what I'm thinking you are about to do. There are matters that are already ruled upon.

And if your inquiry was about to be, to explain 1 what was meant by language that I have already ordered 2 was not to be used in this case, I'm directing you right 3 now, if I hear from you those two words that this witness will have no way of knowing my order and 5 exclusion of, then I'm going to take that as a direct 6 violation of my court order. Proceed with your 7 examination, leave that issue alone. 8 9 MS. SASSOWER: The record will reflect what is taking place in this trial. 10 11 (Open Court) 12 MS. SASSOWER: When you say that I expressed my view as to the unfitness of Judge Wesley, was my view 13 based upon --14 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 MS. SASSOWER: Did I -- have you read the March 26th statement that I had prepared, outlining the 17 evidence of Judge Wesley's unfitness for the bench when 18 we had that phone conversation on May 20th? 19 20 read it prior thereto? 21 MS. LIU: Objection. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 MS. SASSOWER: Isn't it correct that you had not read that summary overview presentation of the 24 evidence against Judge Wesley? 25

1	THE COURT: Sustained.
2	BY MS. SASSOWER:
3	Q Isn't it correct that you acknowledged to me
4	that you had not reviewed any of the underlying
5	documentary evidence?
6	A I don't remember.
7	Q Don't you believe on such serious and
8	substantial matter?
9	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	MS. SASSOWER: Did I express the view that it
11	was your obligation to review the March 23, the March
12	26th statement and specifically referred to
13	substantiating documentary proof?
14	THE COURT: Sustained. Ms. Eve, following the
15	telephone conversation that occurred on or about May
16	20th, 2003, what action, if any, did you take based upon
17	that conversation and why did you take such action?
18	THE WITNESS: After the telephone conversation
19	that Mr. Albert and I had with Ms. Sassower was
20	completed, I walked down the hallway, my office is just
21	a few offices away from Senator Clinton's.
22	And I walked down the hallway to speak to one
23	of the Secret Service agents that protects the senator.
24	And The state of the state o
2.5	THE COURT OF THE

1 THE WITNESS: And wanted to, and in fact, and I 2 don't remember the specifics of what I said. But the gist of what I recall saying to the agent was that there 3 was a constituent, because Ms. Sassower is from New York 4 or at least live, lived in New York at the time. 5 There was a constituent who had concerns about 6 a judicial nominee, that Mr. Albert and I had had a 7 lengthy conversation with her. That she had a number of request or concerns that I thought in her view we hadn't met or satisfied. 10 And that she became, in my view at least, 11 quite upset during the telephone conversation. I also 12 believe I mentioned to the agent that she indicated a strong desire to testify at the trial, even though I believe someone at the Judiciary Committee told her that she couldn't. And I basically told the agent listen, I want to let you know that there is a New York constituent who I think is upset, that she may be at this hearing.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

She may try to approach the senator and I do not believe that she will seek or cause any physical harm to the senator. But because I perceived her as being quite upset, I was concerned for her safety because Ms. Sassower's safety --

I basically told the agent I don't want you, if

1139

1	she approaches the senator and she's upset, I don't want
2	you to misinterpret her conduct and to think that she
3	might actually try and physically hurt the senator.
4	So basically, I was giving the Secret Service,
5	Service agent the heads up, so to speak, that Ms.
6	Sassower might try to approach the Senator.
7	But I also want to make clear to him that I did
8	not think that she would cause the senator physical
9	harm.
10	But it was really more for Ms. Sassower's
11	protection I wanted the agent to know that, so that they
12	wouldn't misinterpret any approach she might make to the
13	senator.
14	And as to why I did that, one hundred percent,
15	my sole motivation for speaking to the agent was out of
16	concern for Ms. Sassower, based upon the conversation I
17	had just had with her.
18	THE COURT: Very well. Did you have the
19	opportunity to have any contact with the Capitol police
20	following your telephone conversation with Ms. Sassower?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	MS. SASSOWER: May I question,
23 .	THE COURT: What was
24	MS. SASSOWER: Your Honor?
25	THE COURT: And what was the nature of the

1	telephone conversation with the Capitol police?
2	THE WITNESS: The nature of the telephone
3	conversation with the Capitol police, again I don't
4	recall the specifics. So it was basically the same.
5	I believe the Capitol police officer had called
6	and I relayed the basic same facts to the police
7	officer, explaining that I thought she was quite upset,
8	might try, committee hearing might try to approach the
9	senator.
10	Because the Senator sometimes has not only
11	Secret Service agents with her but Capitol police with
12	her as well.
13	And again, I just wanted them to know I did not
14	think that Ms. Sassower would seek to cause the senator
15	any physical harm.
16	But again, out of concern for Ms. Sassower, I
17	wanted them to know that she might try to approach her
L8	and just to give them again a heads up, so to speak, so
L9	they wouldn't necessarily misinterpret contact that she,
20	or actions that she may make towards the senator.
21	MS. SASSOWER: May I
22	THE COURT: Very well, thank you.
23	MS. SASSOWER: continue the questioning?
4	BY MS. SASSOWER:
5	Q Let's turn to the did I inform you during

1	our telephone conversation that I was not only
2	concerned, just, that you and Mr. Albert had not read
3	the March 26th overview statement or reviewed the
4	underlying substantiating evidence but that there had
5	been no investigation from the Senate Judiciary
6	Committee? Did I express my concern
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	MS. SASSOWER: on that score?
9	THE COURT: Sustained, irrelevant. Next
10	question please.
11	BY MS. SASSOWER:
12	Q Did I ask how a hearing could probably be held
13	on this confirmation when there was no investigation of
14	the evidence?
15	THE COURT: Sustained. Please move forward.
16	MS. SASSOWER: Is it not correct that I asked
17	you to bring the March 26th statement to the personal
18	attention of Senator Clinton so that she could make a
19	determination as to its seriousness?
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	MS. SASSOWER: On what ground?
22	THE COURT: Approach.
23	(Bench Conference)
24	MS. SASSOWER: What's the ground?
25	THE COURT: The ground is as follows: Once a

1	witness testified as to nonspecific recollection, I am
2	not going to consume time
3	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
4	THE COURT: allowing you to present point-
5	by-point
6	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
7	THE COURT: to which the witness has already
8	testified several times there is no specific
9	recollection. She had a general recollection, she
10	testified to it. Now if you want to follow up
11	MS. SASSOWER: Yes.
12	THE COURT: with the events that followed
13	the conversation, then let's do that.
14	MS. SASSOWER: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Otherwise cross-examination.
16	MS. SASSOWER: Thank you.
17	(Open Court)
18	MS. SASSOWER: Did you become aware that I left
19	a voice mail message for Tamera Luzzatto, chief of
20	staff, at the end of the day on May 20th complaining
21	THE COURT: Very well.
22	MS. SASSOWER: Complaining
23	THE COURT: Very well. Let's, excuse me, the
24	court reporter needs a break. Let's break for 15
2 5	minutes and be back at three, 3:15.

1	(Thereupon the jury returned to the juryroom.)
2	THE COURT: Very well. Ms. Eve, you're still
3	under oath. We'll take a break for 15 minutes. Please
4	don't discuss your prior testimony or any anticipated
5	testimony with anyone.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	THE CLERK: The Court will stand in brief
9	recess until return of court.
10	(Thereupon, the Court recessed at 3:00 P.M)
11	(Thereupon, the Court reconvened at 3:15 p.m.)
12	THE CLERK: United States versus Elena
13	Sassower, case number M4113-03.
14	MR. MENDELSOHN: Aaron Mendelsohn for the
15	United States.
16	MS. LIU: Jessie Liu for the United States.
17	MS. SASSOWER: Elena Sassower, criminal
18	defendant pro se.
19	MR. GOLDSTONE: Mark Goldstone, attorney
20	adviser.
21	THE COURT: Very well. Please be seated.
22	MR. MENDELSOHN: Just with respect to Defense
23	Exhibit 2.
24	THE COURT: Yes.
25	MR. MENDELSOHN: I now believe I have all the

1	redactions that need to be made. Defense Exhibit 2 is
2	on the witness stand. Could I substitute the first two
3	pages?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	MS. SASSOWER: This is to remove the language
6	blue slip, is that correct? Is that the redaction?
7	THE COURT: Excuse me. If you would like to
8	discuss that issue, bring it to the bench. We won't do
9	it in open court.
10	MS. SASSOWER: So it's what I said.
11	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower, I think that you
12	should not speak on this issue further.
13	(Thereupon the witness resumed the witness
14	stand. The jury returned to the courtroom at 3:20 p.m.)
15	THE COURT: Very well. Please be seated.
16	Remember, Ms. Eve, you're under oath.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Sassower.
19	BY MS. SASSOWER:
20	Q You stated that it was only out of concern for
21	me that you approached the Secret Service, is that
22	correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Because you thought that at the hearing I might
2 5	approach Senator Clinton.

1	A I didn't necessarily think you would do it at
. 2	the hearing because I thought you might do it before,
3	during or after. But at some point, the day of the
4	hearing, I thought you might approach Senator Clinton.
5	Q And lot's of people approach Senator Clinton,
6	constituents and otherwise, why would my approach to
7	Senator Clinton be any different?
8	A Well, as I testified earlier, I was concerned
9	after our telephone conversation because
10	And again, I don't remember the specifics of
11	what you said, but I have a pretty good recollection of
12	you being very upset, you know, the tone of your voice
13	and the substance of what you were saying, that is more
14	unusual, and you had indicated your intention to come to
15	the hearing.
16	And I believe you may have indicated your
17	intention, I can't remember, to speak to, to Senator
18	Clinton.
19	It was a combination of all those things but it
20	was really because you, in my view, were quite upset.
21	Q And when did you become aware that I called and
22	left a voice mail message for your chief of staff,
23	Tamera Luzzatto, complaining of your misconduct and that
24	of Josh Albert in connection with this matter?
25	A Well, first of all, I don't know if I would

; 1	I'm aware that you left Tamera Luzzatto, the senator's
2	chief of staff, a voice mail message.
3	I don't know if I would characterize it as
4	complaint of misconduct, but Tamera told me you had left
5	her a voice mail message.
6	I believe she actually probably forwarded the
7	voice mail message to me and I listened to it myself.
8	I became aware that generally, sometime
9	after, you and I had the conversation that included Mr.
10	Albert. Precisely what date and time I don't remember.
11	Q Well, are you aware that in that first voice
12	mail message of May 20th, a couple of hours after our
13	phone conference, I left a callback number so that I
14	could be contacted by Ms. Luzzato or some other
15	supervisory personnel in Senator Clinton's office? Is
16	that not correct?
17	A I don't remember the specifics of your message
18	other than you appeared to have been upset in that voice
19	mail. Other than that, I don't remember the specifics
20	of the message.
21	Q Do you recollect that I was upset because I
22	viewed it as your responsibility to read documents and
23	the evidence substantiating the opposition?
24	THE COURT: Sustained.
25	BY MS. SASSOWER:

	Q Okay. Let's turn to the subject profile which
2	is before you, Defendant's Exhibit 2. You've identified
3	having read it.
4	A Give me one moment.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry, Ms. Eve, I don't believe
6	that document is in fact in front of you.
7	MS. SASSOWER: Oh, I'm sorry, I'm sorry. It's
8	been returned. May I approach the witness?
9	BY MS. SASSOWER:
10	Q Who authorized Liz Kelly to call the Threat
11	Assessment Section of Capitol police?
12	A I specifically don't know. I presume you
13	know, I'm not sure.
14	THE COURT: Very well.
15	BY MS. SASSOWER:
16	Q The subject profile indicates that a copy of
17	the voice mail message was made by Capitol police and
18	that Senator Clinton's office sent the fax that it had
19	received from me.
20	THE COURT: Sustained. Has, has there been a
21	foundation for this
22	BY MS. SASSOWER:
23	Q Do you, do you remember receiving a fax from
24	me?
25	A No.

1	MS. SASSOWER: That may I show the witness
2	Defendant's Exhibit 5, Your Honor?
3	MS. LIU: Your Honor, may we approach?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	(Bench Conference)
6	THE COURT: Liz Kelly, how could it possibly be
7	authenticated by this witness?
8	MS. SASSOWER: Did she, she received the May
9	19th fax. You have an e-mail that she received it.
10	THE COURT: She has no specific recollection
11	from any documents involved in this case.
12	(Open Court)
13	BY MS. SASSOWER:
14	Q I show you Defendant's Exhibits 3 and 4 and ask
15	you, have you ever read them?
16	A As to exhibit, Defendant's Exhibit 3, I may
17	have glanced or perused the, the memorandum. I don't
18	recall specifically whether I have read it in its
19	entirety.
20	As to Defendant's Exhibit 4, briefly reviewing
21	it now, it does look generally familiar to me. I don't
22	know if I read every single line, but I do have a
23	general recollection of at least briefly reviewing the
24	document.
25	THE COURT: Please identify by the date, the

addressee and the sender those two documents please. 1 THE WITNESS: Defendant's Exhibit 3 is from the 2 Center for Judicial Accountability Inc. dated May 19th, 3 2003. Says to home state Senator Charles B. Schumer and home state Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton, from Elena 5 Ruth Sassower, coordinator, Center for Judicial 6 Accountability. 7 8 The, the cover note to the memorandum says it's 12 pages although the document, Defendant's Exhibit 3 is 9 not 12 pages. 10 11 THE COURT: Very well. 12 BY MS. SASSOWER: 13 0 It's how many pages? 14 Two pages of a document. And then the final two pages of the four-page exhibit appeared to be the 15 transmission receipt indicating something had been 16 faxed. 17 18 0 Yes. THE COURT: Very well. Now please identify 19 20 Exhibit 4. 21 THE WITNESS: Defendant's Exhibit 4 also appears to be on the letterhead of the Center for 22 Judicial Accountability Inc., also dated May 19th, 2003. 23 The addressees here are Senator Orin Hatch, 24 chair of the Senate Judiciary Committee, and Senator 25

1	Patrick Leahy, ranking member of the Senate Judiciary
2	Committee.
3	The document indicates that it's from Ms.
4	Sassower, as coordinator for the Center for Judicial
5	Accountability. And the first page of the document
6	indicates or states that it's 10 pages.
7	The memo is eight pages with a couple of
8	attachments, which, so the document does appear to be
9	about 10 pages long. And the final few pages,
10	Defendant's Exhibit 4, are fax transmission sheets of
11	some kind.
12	THE COURT: Very well.
13	BY MS. SASSOWER:
14	Q Except for the fax receipts, you do recognize
15	that the May 19th memorandum to Senators Hatch and Leahy
16	was the enclosure of 10 pages to the two-page cover memo
17	to Senators Schumer and Clinton, right?
18	MS. LIU: Your Honor, objection, relevance.
19	THE COURT: Absolutely. Sustained.
20	BY MS. SASSOWER:
21	Q Thank you. To your knowledge, was this entire
22	memo of 12 pages faxed to Capitol police?
23	A When you say entire memo, you're talking about
24	the combination of Defendant's Exhibits 3 and 4?
25	Q Yes.

1	A I don't know, I don't know what, what documents
2	were faxed to the Capitol police.
3	Q Do you see is there anything inappropriate
4	about what is set forth
5	MS. LIU: Objection, Your Honor.
6	MS. SASSOWER: in
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	BY MS. SASSOWER:
9	Q Were you aware that Capitol police made an
10	assessment that there were no threats or harassing
11	language contained in either the voice mail message or
12	the fax?
13	A No, I had not been made, made aware of that.
14	Q But you would agree that there was no threats,
15	no harassing language in the fax that I had sent on May
16	19th to Senator Clinton's office and in the voice mail
17	message that I had left regarding your misconduct.
18	MS. LIU: Objection, Your Honor.
19	THE WITNESS: Well, I haven't
20	THE COURT: Overruled.
21	THE WITNESS: I haven't reviewed in particular
22	today Defendant's Exhibit 4 in its entirety. I've just
23	perused it to see if I was generally familiar with it.
24	And as I testified earlier, I don't remember
25	the specifics. When you mentioned the voice mail, is it

1	referring to the voice mail you left for Tamera
2	Luzzatto?
3	But my general recollection is that, at least
4	as to me, you weren't harassing me.
5	BY MS. SASSOWER:
6	Q Thank you. Now let's go to the next page.
7	A Next page of exhibit?
8	Q Of the subject profile.
9	A Okay.
10	Q Ser, Special Agent Lippay had a phone
11	conversation with you on May 21st, is that correct?
12	A I don't remember the specific dates, but the
13	profile indicates that the conversation took place on
14	May 21st, 2003. And I have no reason to believe it
15	didn't take place that day.
16	Q And this is after Special Agent Lippay had
17	already reviewed the
18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	BY MS. SASSOWER:
20	Q Okay. According to the subject profile,
21	Special Agent Lippay spoke to you after speaking first
22	to Ms. Kelly, is that correct?
23	A I don't know if she spoke to me after she spoke
24	to Ms. Kelly, but that's based upon my review of the su,
25	subject profile that appears to have been what

1	happened.
2	Q And, and Ms. Kelly said, according to Ms.
3	Kelly, the subject stalked and harassed associates of
4	the senator's legal counsel?
5	Ms. Kelly referred Special Agent Lippay to
6	Leecia Eve, who was the subject of the stalking and
7	harassment. Is that a true and correct statement?
8	A What, I mean I don't know what you're asking me
9	what's true and correct.
10	Q Well, had I ever stalked and harassed you?
11	A No.
12	Q We had only a single phone conversation.
13	A That's my recollection, that we had the one
14	telephone conversation.
15	Q And if I was dissatisfied with your conduct
16	during that phone conversation and dissatisfied with the
17	conduct of Josh Albert, it was within my right
18	THE COURT: Sustained. No, that question is
19	improper.
20	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
21	THE COURT: It's a speech.
22	BY MS. SASSOWER:
23	Q Okay. Now then let's go on. Special Agent,
24	special the subject profile then reflects that
25	Special Agent Lippay telephoned you.

And it says Special Agent Lippay telephoned Ms.

Eve who stated that Sassower presents herself in a

professional manner but does not act in a rational

manner.

Sassower has sent approximately six boxes of documents to the Judiciary Committee regarding her interest in the nomination of Judge Wesley.

Now, was it your intention to imply that by my having provided the Senate Judiciary Committee with six boxes of documents, I had not acted rationally?

A Oh, that was not my intention at all. There are two really distinct issues. And again, I don't remember the specific statements I made to the, Sergeant Lippay.

But I presume I was just conveying a fact based upon either what you had told me or maybe what someone at the Judiciary Committee had told me regarding you having sent boxes of documents, I believe six boxes of documents to the Judiciary Committee.

So that's one sentence. And to me that doesn't really relate to, at least directly to the previous sentence.

My statement that or my communication that I believe you acted in a professional manner but do not, do not act in a rational manner, I don't know if I said

that.

But what I probably or what I believe I conveyed to Sergeant Lippay is that you had written a lot of materials. You were well-spoken. And --

But that I had, based upon my own research of the general allegations that you had made about Judge Wesley, I found them not only not credible but, I don't know how to really characterize it.

But, I, I guess it was a combination of, of my con, my view of your concerns, your allegations against Judge Wesley, combined with the way that you communicated about your concerns about Judge Wesley and your, your, how upset you were I guess really during the conversations that you, that you, Josh and I had together.

It's really a combination of those things that may have led me to say to Sergeant Lippay that, you know, in some respects you conduct yourself in a professional manner.

But, you know, I don't know if I said that you don't act in a rational manner. I may have said that but it probably would have been a combination of, of things that would have led me to say that.

Q I see. You stated you did your research, based

on your own research, you found me not credible.

But you did not read the written statement as to the documentary evidence of Judge Wesley's corruption that I had up here, is that not correct?

The March 26th statement, you had never read it. You did some, own research but the document that I had prepared, you had not read.

A Well, I guess I want to correct something. If I said that I didn't think -- I don't know if I testified that I, I thought you were not credible.

I believe I testified or I meant to testify that I didn't think your, the, the assertions you were making about Judge Wesley were credible.

And as I have testified I think a number of times, I don't remember specific documents, specific dates that you sent to our office.

But I do have a general recollection of at least perusing some of those documents and as, you know, just getting a general sense of what your concerns were about Judge Wesley.

Anytime someone, particularly New York constituent, raises concerns about the fitness of a nominee to a federal appellate court, I take that very seriously.

And so, yes, I did some research on the Center

1	for Judicial Accountability. And
2	Q What did your research show?
3	A I don't remember the specifics. But the
4	conclusion I reached after conducting research on the
5	Center for Judicial Accountability, and I think plugging
6	your name into, Sassower, plugging into the Nexis, was
7	that there is a fair amount of litigation with respect
8	to the disbarment of your mother.
9	THE COURT: Just, just excuse me. We don't
10	have to get into the specifics of the litigation.
11	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
12	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower, I'm instructing the
13	witness, please don't interrupt me again.
14	MS. SASSOWER: She's in error.
15	THE COURT: You do not have to get into the
16	specifics of the details of the research.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Very well.
19	THE WITNESS: I basically conducted a research
20	and, and I think Mr. Albert did, conducted some as well.
21	And I reached the conclusion, based upon that
22	research and based upon my, at least perusal of some of
23	the documents that you sent to our office,
24	Q What documents?
25	A that your assertions regarding the fitness

1	of Judge Wesley were without merit.
2	Q May I approach the witness please? Transmitted
3	with the April 23rd letter, in addition to the
4	informational brochure of the Center for Judicial
5	Accountability, was my written statement summarizing the
6	documentary evidence as to the unfitness of Judge
7	Wesley.
8	THE COURT: What is the question?
9	MS. SASSOWER: Did you make findings of fact
LO	and conclusions of law as to this statement for which
11	the most pertinent documentary evidence
12	THE COURT: Sustained. This is a
13	MS. SASSOWER: for two motions
14	THE COURT: Excuse me.
15	MS. SASSOWER: that
16	THE COURT: Ex, excuse me. This is a speech,
17	it is not a question.
18	MS. SASSOWER: Did you
19	THE COURT: The witness has already testified
20	as to her lack of specific knowledge with regard to
21	documents. Please move your examination along.
22	MS. SASSOWER: Okay, I'm sorry. So you found
23	me not credible but not based upon the evidentiary
24	presentation I had made, that you had not reviewed.
25	MS. LIU: Objection Your Honor

1	MS. SASSOWER: Is that correct?
2	THE COURT: I'll allow it. Please answer the
3	question.
4	THE WITNESS: Again, I, my recollection, I
5	wasn't focusing on you personally. I was focusing on
6	the allegations of unfitness that you made with respect
7	to Judge Wesley. And I found those allegations to be
. 8	without merit.
9	MS. SASSOWER: Judge Wesley's misconduct relate
10	
11	THE COURT: Sustained.
12	MS. SASSOWER: Excuse me. You served at
13	THE COURT: Excuse me?
14	MS. SASSOWER: I was referring to
15	THE COURT: Next question.
16	BY MS. SASSOWER:
17	Q You worked at the New York Court of Appeals,
18	isn't that correct?
19	A Yes, I did.
20	Q You worked at the New York Court of Appeals on
21	which Judge Wesley subsequently sat, according to your
22	testimony. Is it correct you served from 1990 to 1992?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Is it correct that the corruption that was
25	particularized in these documents

1	THE COURT: Sustained.
2	MS. SASSOWER: did not just concern
3	THE COURT: Sustained.
4	MS. SASSOWER: Judge Wesley.
5	THE COURT: Sustained. No more questions
6	concerning the documents that she's already stated she
. 7	has no particularized knowledge of.
8	MS. SASSOWER: She
9	THE COURT: Don't argue with me, Ms. Sassower.
10	Ask your next question please.
11	BY MS. SASSOWER:
12	Q Did you not suffer from conflict of interest
13	because you knew judges? You had worked for judges at
14	the Court of Appeals whose misconduct was part and
15	parcel of Judge Wesley's misconduct?
16	A I guess I explain to me. I guess if you
17	could rephrase the question. I'm not sure if I'm
18	understanding your question.
19	Q The allegations, excuse me, they weren't
20	allegations. The evidence presented to you in this
21	written statement
22	THE COURT: Sustained. Next question.
23	MS. SASSOWER: included misconduct from
24	other
25	THE COURT: Next

1	MS. SASSOWER: judges of the New York
2	THE COURT: Next
3	MS. SASSOWER: Court of Appeals.
4	THE COURT: Ne, call
5	MS. SASSOWER: with whom you
6	THE COURT: Excuse me.
7	MS. SASSOWER: were familiar
8	THE COURT: Excuse me.
9	MS. SASSOWER: and worked.
10	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I have to
11	handle a legal matter. We'll be in recess please.
12	(Thereupon, the jury returned to the juryroom
13	at 3:50 p.m.)
14	THE COURT: Ms. Eve, would you please step
15	back? Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Several days ago when the issue of
17	the failure to respond to my specific directives was
18	first brought to the fore, I gave specific instruction
19	that for the pendency of this case and particularly
20	during the time that the jury would be present, that you
21	are to follow my directives. Now
22	MS. SASSOWER: I have.
23	THE COURT: No, you have not. And the record
24	will reflect that your continued questioning of this
25	witness concerning documents that you may well have

provided to the chambers of Senator Clinton, but which 1 this witness has no specific recollection, is in direct 2 3 violation of orders that I had given you here at the bench. 4 5 You have also had the opportunity to remove 6 yourself as lead counsel and have your counsel assistant You have chosen not to do that. When I've 7 given you specific instruction in court not to continue 8 speaking, you have done that. 10 When I have instructed you that certain evidence would be improper if placed in front of the 11 jury because of my order precluding it, you have 12 nevertheless attempted by speeding up your speech where 13 you should have been asking a succinct question to get 14 15 that evidence in front of this jury. 16 I don't want to hear from you now. question that you will discuss with your attorney 17

I don't want to hear from you now. The question that you will discuss with your attorney adviser in the 10 minutes that I'm going to be off the bench is simply this.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you intend to follow my instructions from this bench? Don't respond now. I'll take your answer when I come back. Have the marshal --

MS. SASSOWER: The answer is of course, Your Honor.

THE CLERK: The court will stand in 10-minute

163

1	recess until return of court.
2	(Thereupon, the Court recessed at 3:50 p.m.)
3	(Thereupon, the Court reconvened at 4:00 p.m.)
4	THE CLERK: United States vs. Elena Sassower,
5	case number M4113-03
6	MS. LIU: Your Honor, Jessie Liu for the United
7	States.
8	MR. MENDELSOHN: Aaron Mendelsohn for the
9	United States.
10	MS. SASSOWER: Elena Sassower, criminal
11	defendant, pro se.
12	MR. GOLDSTONE: Mark Goldstone, attorney
13	adviser.
14	THE COURT: Very well. When I left the bench I
15	believe that I made it in the clearest possible terms
16	that my inquiry would be very singular and as focused
17	when I return.
18	And that inquiry is simply this, Ms. Sassower.
19	Is it your intention to follow the orders of me, as the
20	judge presiding in this case, from this point forward
21	through its duration? The answer is simply yes or no.
22	MS. SASSOWER: Yes. I was simply clarifying
23	THE COURT: Ms., Ms
24	MS. SASSOWER: misapprehension of the court.
25	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower, I don't need for you

1	to instruct me about misapprehension. You simply answer
2	my question yes or no.
3	MS. SASSOWER: Of course, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Very well. Then we will continue
5	with the examination of this witness.
6	MS. SASSOWER: May I just move the Court that
7	there is a bias cross-examination issue here.
8	THE COURT: Then if you can cross-examine this
9	witness and develop your bias theory
10	MS. SASSOWER: May we be, if you like I can
11	present
12	THE COURT: Why?
13	MS. SASSOWER: I just want the Court to
L 4	understand that based upon what she has represented as
L5	her credentials, it seems that she worked at the Court
16	of Appeals during pertinent periods of time that
L7	underlie the misconduct
L8	THE COURT: Bias cross-examination is entirely
L9	appropriate. Failure to follow my directives is
20	patently inappropriate.
21	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
22	THE COURT: This has nothing to do with your
23	bias cross-examination. As you were cross-examining
4	this witness about her prior affiliation with the New
5	York State Court of Appeals, there was no involvement by

me in that examination.

This witness has repeatedly stated her lack of knowledge with regard to specific documents.

And what you appear to be attempting to do is to introduce the content of documents totally irrelevant to the elements of the offense in this case through witnesses who could not possibly lay the appropriate evidentiary foundation for those documents.

Therefore, if I instruct you that you are not to question a witness further about documents, your objection is noted for the record and the case will proceed.

MS. SASSOWER: Okay. I will --

THE COURT: You will not speak while I'm speaking. You will not countermand or attempt to countermand my directives. You will not speak back to me with this jury present. Am I making myself clear?

MS. SASSOWER: I certainly have attempted to follow your orders, --

THE COURT: Answer my question.

MS. SASSOWER: -- Your Honor's directives. I have tried. If I --

THE COURT: Answer my question, have I made myself clear? I don't care about your past efforts or motives. Have I made myself clear?

1	MS. SASSOWER: Yes. And please understand I am
2	trying. If you deem me in breach,
3	THE COURT: Ms
4	MS. SASSOWER: it's not intentional.
5	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower, that is an example of
6	what I have been speaking of. When I speak keep your
7	mouth shut. If I ask you a direct question you answer
8	it, am I clear?
. 9	MS. SASSOWER: Yes, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Very well, let's bring the jury in.
11	(Thereupon, the jury returned to the courtroom
12	at 4:08 P.M)
13	THE COURT: Please be seated. Ms. Sassower.
14	BY MS. SASSOWER:
15	Q At anytime was it not apparent to you that
16	having worked on the New York Court of Appeals, you knew
17	judges, had worked for judges or friendly with judges
18	who were involved in some of the issues that were being
19	presented as they related to Judge Wesley?
20	A If I can break down your questions because you
21	asked me a number of questions really in one.
22	I've worked for one judge in the Court of
23	Appeals who has since passed away, passed away some
24	years ago.
25	I am, don't I have a general recollection of

1	you or I should say the Center for Judicial
2	Accountability and be, being involved in litigation
3	concerning misconduct of judges. How many on the
4	various courts, I don't specifically recall.
5	I have a general recollection of some of those
6	judges being judges who served on the New York State
7	Court of Appeals. I'm trying to remember the first part
8	of your question.
9	Q You know some of those judges, is that not
10	correct?
11	A Again, I can't, I don't remember the ones that
12	were the subject matter of your complaint. I mean I've
13	worked for the judge in that court 12 years ago.
14	Your complaints I think are subsequent to that.
15	The court has changed its make-up by at least a few
16	judges during that time.
17	Q Chief Judge Judith Kaye of the New York Court
18	of Appeals was on the Court of Appeals when you worked
19	there, is that not correct?
20	A Yes, she was.
21	Q Judge Smith was on the Court of Appeals at that
22	time.
23	A No, he was not.
24	Q But Chief Judge Kaye was.
25	A She was not chief judge then she was an

1	associate judge of the court.
2	A And did you have occasion to observe that her
3	misconduct was fairly focal in the underlying documents?
4	THE COURT: Sustained.
5	BY MS. SASSOWER:
6	Q Okay. What were the precise dates that you
7	worked at the New York Court of Appeals?
8	A I don't remember the precise dates. But I
9	started I believe sometime in August of 2000, a few
LO	months after I graduated from law school, and a few
11	weeks after I took the bar exam.
12	And my clerkship was to have ended about two
13	years later. But my, the judge for whom I was working
14	for at the time decided to retire a little bit early, so
15	my clerkship ended I think in April of 2002.
16	And it was in May and June and July roughly
17	that I then worked for the convention. So I worked for
18	the Court of Appeals from roughly August of 2000 'til
19	April or May of 2002.
20	A Did you have occasion to examine documents that
21	related to that very period at the New York Court of
22	Appeals that were part of what was being presented in
23	the Senate Judiciary Committee and your office?
24	THE COURT: Sustained.
25	MS. SASSOWER: Is it not correct that a public

1	interest election law lawsuit came up to the New York
2	Court of Appeals in the period in which you were there
3	called C q stracan v. Colavita.
4	THE COURT: Sustained.
5	MS. SASSOWER: Okay. Did you examine any of
6	the documents from which you might see that you were at
7	the Court of Appeals during the period in which
8	misconduct by the judges of that court were, was
9	alleged?
10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	BY MS. SASSOWER:
12	Q Okay. Moving on to a different area as to your
13	employment. You worked at the Senate Judicia well,
14	you worked for Senator Biden from August '95 to late '96
15	when he was ranking member of the Senate Judiciary
16	Committee.
17	A Is that a question?
18	Q Is that correct?
19	A Yes, it is.
20	Q Were you at the Senate Judiciary Committee
21	hearing on June 25th, 1996 when I rose to request to
22	testify as citizen opposition against the nomination of
23	Judge Lawrence Kahn to the District Court of the
24	Northern District of New York?
25	A I don't believe so I certainly have no

1	recollection. And probably it would not have been a
2	reason for me to be there because my responsibilities
3	when I served as counsel to Senator Biden explicitly
4	excluded judicial nominations.
5	Q You had no involvement with judicial
6	nominations when you worked for Senator Biden from '95
7	to '96. A That's correct.
9	Q Were you aware of excuse me. May I approach
10	the witness?
11	THE COURT: You may.
12	BY MS. SASSOWER:
13	Q For Senator Clinton, you do handle judicial
14	nominations.
15	A That's correct.
16	Q Do you recall ever seeing the letter addressed
17	to Senator Clinton dated July 14th, 2001 transmitting an
18	extensive letter of July 3rd, 2001 that had been
19	addressed to Senator Schumer regarding federal judicial
20	nominations?
21	A No.
22	Q Have you ever read it to this day?
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	BY MS. SASSOWER:
25	Q Were you aware that during Senator Biden's

1	chairmanship of the Senate Judiciary Committee in 1992,
2	the predecessor citizens group to the Center for
3	Judicial Accountability had documented the, the Senate
4	Judiciary Committee's disregard for evidence that the
5	bar associations were rendering ratings on federal
6	judicial nominees
7	THE COURT: Sus
8	MS. SASSOWER: which were inadequate
9	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	MS. SASSOWER: and dishonest?
11	THE COURT: Sustained. Counsel please
12	approach.
13	(Bench Conference)
14	MS. SASSOWER: Senator Biden, they were
15	critical
16	THE COURT: I gave you the opportunity to
17	pursue the line of bias cross-examination that simply
18	has no bearing with regard to the current line of
19	inquiry. It's more of a speech than is testimony. I'm
20	going to give you 10 minutes
21	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
22	THE COURT: and that will be the end of your
23	examination of this witness.
24	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
25	(Open Court)

1	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower.
2	BY MS. SASSOWER:
3	Q Did you state, as so represented in the subject
4	profile that you believe that I might travel to D.C.,
5	quote, in an attempt to verbally disrupt tomorrow's
6	hearing.
7	A Again, I don't recall the specifics of my
8	conversation with the Capitol police. I don't know if I
9	used that particular terminology.
10	The gist of what I recall conveying to the
11	Capitol police was your inte, your desire to testify,
12	your being told by I believe the judiciary committee
13	that you could not, the lengthy conversation Mr. Albert
14	and I had with you and your intention nevertheless to
15	come to the hearing and seek to speak.
16	And I believe you also may have indicated your
17	intention to try and speak with Senator Clinton.
18	Whether I used those precise words, I really don't
19	remember.
20	Q But you had no reason to believe that I was
21	going to disrupt?
22	MS. LIU: Objection, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	MS. SASSOWER: Let the record reflect that the
25	witness was shaking her head no.

- 1	
1	THE COURT: Both the question and the nonverbal
2	communication will be stricken. Next question.
3	BY MS. SASSOWER:
4	Q Let's turn to the photo bulletin annexed to the
5	subject profile. It says, Sassower may also attempt to
6	verbally harass Senator Clinton who will attend the
7	hearing.
8	Just to clarify your testimony. In contacting
9	Senator, in contacting Capitol police, your concern was
10	for me, is that correct? Your sole concern, you
11	testified, was for me.
12	A That's correct.
13	Q You did not believe you were not saying that
14	I would verbally harass Senator Clinton, did you?
15	A Again, I don't recall what I specifically said.
16	I believe I communicated to both the Secret Service and
17	the Capitol police that I thought you might approach the
18	Senator and that you might be in an agitated state.
19	Whether I used the term harass, I don't know.
20	But that you would approach her and that you might be in
21	an agitated state. I, I probably conveyed something to
22	that effect.
23	BY MS. SASSOWER:
24	Q But you considered me professional, is that
25	correct?

1	A In some respects, yes.
2	THE COURT: Asked and answered.
3	MS. SASSOWER: In some respects.
4	BY MS. SASSOWER:
5	Q Do you know where this information came from on
6	that bulletin, that in 1996, June 1996, I was arrested,
7	quote, for disorderly conduct when she disrupted a
8	hearing that was being held.
9	Do you know where that information may have
10	come from?
11	A I have no idea.
12	Q Did you ever represent that in 1996, I had been
13	arrested for disrupting a hearing?
14	A No, I did not. As a matter of fact, I had no
15	knowledge of the statements and the alleged arrest
16	before speaking to the Capitol police or the Secret
17	Service.
18	Q Further information, quote, Sassower claims to
19	work for an organization called Center for Judicial
20	Accountability which is a one-person organization headed
21	by Sassower.
22	Did you have any doubt that the Center for
23	Judicial Accountability was a legitimate organization
24	that had been working for, that had been working under
25	that name for over a decades

1	THE COURT: Sustained. What was your
2	understanding of the Center for Judicial Accountability
3	in May of 2003?
4	THE WITNESS: My understanding in May of 2003
5	was that it was an organization that, as a practical
6	matter, is being run by one person and had leveled
7	charges of misconduct against many judges. And my own
8	investigation seriously questioned the, the validity of
9	those charges.
10	MS. SASSOWER: Did you read the informational
11	brochure that was part of that package of materials? Or
12	had you not received it?
13	THE COURT: At what point in time?
14	BY MS. SASSOWER:
15	Q On, on Ma, or about May 20th when we had the
16	phone conference and, and thereafter Senator Clinton's
17	office in contact with Capitol police.
18	Had you ever read the brochure of the Center
19	for Judicial Accountability that had been part of the
20	transmittal of, of April 23rd?
21	A Again, I am aware that you sent many documents
22	to our office, either in New York City and/or Washington
23	office. I certainly perused some but probably not all
24	of those documents.
25	It's not, I don't remember specifically other

1	than I think Defendant's Exhibit 4, looking at them.
2	But in answer to your question, by the time you
3	and I spoke on or about May 20th, I was, in my view,
4	quite knowledgeable or knowledgeable enough about the
5	Center for Judicial Accountability.
6	Q There are two names that appear on the
7	letterhead of the most important
8	THE COURT: Sustained. Are not those documents
9	a part of the packet that was here on the witness stand?
10	MS. SASSOWER: Yes, indeed.
11	THE COURT: Very well. Then there will be no
12	addressing specific documents when the witness has
13	already testified that she has no specific knowledge.
14	MS. SASSOWER: Okay, next question.
15	BY MS. SASSOWER:
16	Q You were so concerned about me that there came
17	a point, is that not correct, when you received faxes
18	dated May 21st advising that I had been threatened with
19	arrest if I requested to testify at the hearing of the
20	next day. I had been threatened, is that not correct?
21	A I'm not sure what documents you're referring
22	to.
23	MS. SASSOWER: May I show documents or
24	THE COURT: I have no idea what the documents
25	laren eta

1	MS. SASSOWER: May I? I'm sorry. They
2	are, they, they, I'll use this, okay. Excuse me. I'll
3	use this. I show you Defendant's Exhibit 44 for
4	identification. Do you recall seeing documents
5	imploring
6	THE COURT: Excuse me. Identification of the
7	document in front of the witness.
8	MS. SASSOWER: I'm sorry.
9	BY MS. SASSOWER:
10	Q Would you kindly identify the document that I
11	have provided you?
12	A Actually, it appears to be a document I
13	produced. It's Defendant's Exhibit 44. It's an e-mail
14	from Josh Albert to Tamera Luzzato, the senator's chief
15	of staff, and to me.
16	It was sent by Josh on Thursday, May 22nd, 8:38
17	a.m. And he's forwarding to us an e-mail from you,
18	although the e-mail address says Judgewatchers@aol.com.
19	And your e-mail to him was apparently sent
20	Wednesday, May 21st, 2003 at about 11:00 that evening.
21	And the subject line says CJA's May 21st letter
22	requesting to testify and to not be arrested.
23	And then there's some brief discussion from you
24	to Josh, to Josh in the e-mail.
25	Q Do you recall seeing correspondence, and I'll

1	be happy to you testified that you were concerned
2	
3	THE COURT: Excuse me. Which question are you
4	asking?
5	MS. SASSOWER: Did you believe that I should be
6	arrested simply for rising to request permission to
7	testify in opposition at the hearing?
8	THE COURT: This witness's belief as the
9	grounds for your arrest are irrelevant.
10	MS. SASSOWER: Did you
11	THE COURT: Next question.
12	MS. SASSOWER: Do you believe that a respectful
13	request to testify at a public congressional hearing
14	made at an appropriate point can ever be deemed to be
15	disruption of Congress?
16	THE COURT: Sustained. And based upon our
17	prior bench conference, is there cross-examination?
18	MS. LIU: Just one question. Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Very well.
20	CROSS EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. LIU:
22	Q Isn't it you worked for the New York Court of
23	Appeals from 1990 to 1992, right, not 2000 to 2002?
24	A That's correct. I'm sorry if I said different
25	dates I apologiza

1	THE COURT: Very well. All right. Ms. Eve,
2	thank you for your presentation here, for your, your
3	testimony. You're excused.
4	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Very well.
6	(Thereupon, the witness was excused.)
7	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we are going
8	to break for the day. There are some administrative
9	matters that I must attend to and we will address those
10	matters while you're getting ready.
11	Obviously. we're carrying in to Monday. There
12	is, as I understand it, one more witness and that is Ms.
13	Sassower. Therefore, it is my view that you will have
14	this case for deliberations on Monday. Very well.
15	We'll begin again at 9:45 on Monday.
16	(Thereupon, the jury was excused for the day at
17	4:30 p.m.)
18	THE COURT: Counsel approach please.
19	(Bench Conference)
20	THE COURT: A matter of administration. We
21	have two jurors, 7 and 8 we have two jurors, numbers
22	7 and 8 have expressed that they have some scheduling
23	problems with regard to Monday. That's what we're here
24	to discuss.
25	(Juror number 7 present)

1 THE COURT: How are you, sir? 2 JUROR NO. 7: I'm fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yes, I recall that during the, 3 involved in that process called voir dire, you had 4 expressed some concerns about care of your wife who has 5 6 systemic lupus, correct? JUROR NO. 7: That's right. 7 8 THE COURT: Very well. I understand now that you have a scheduling issue with regard to Monday. 9 JUROR NO. 7: She's supposed to receive some 10 therapy on Monday. If I can't get my daughters to be 11 able to take off, then I think it's at 3 o'clock, Monday 12 afternoon. 13 THE COURT: Well, I mean I understand your 14 concern. And the only thing that I can say is that I 15 need you to make every effort to have one of your other 16 relatives cover that therapy appointments on Monday. 17 I'm not a doctor so I can't get into giving you 18 advice on having medical appointments rescheduled and so 19 forth, and I won't attempt to do that here. 20 21 But unfortunately, the case is, has been 22 delayed somewhat due to various administrative matters that I've had to attend to. And therefore, we will have 23 no choice but to come back to hear the conclusion of the 24 defense case, for the jury to receive instruction from 25

. 1	me and to begin deliberations.
2	So what I would say to you is that there's
3	
4	
5	
6	for you.
7	I know it's a hardship but fortunately, these
8	things, these conflicts arise. And we're currently in
9	the case, about to conclude the case, and I don't know
10	how else to handle that matter.
11	JUROR NO. 7: Because I had to take off
12	Thursday because I was here.
13	THE COURT: I understand, I understand. And,
14	you know, I just simply don't want to get into an
15	exchange with you where,
16	JUROR NO. 7: No.
17	THE CLERK: you know, we're talking about
18	matters compelling you to come in and so forth. So I am
19	essentially asking you to please make it your business
20	to obtain coverage and be here on Monday.
21	JUROR NO. 7: Okay.
22	THE COURT: Very well, thank you.
23	(Juror number 7 was excused.)
24	THE CLERK: I'll bring in the other one. Your
25	Honor, juror in seat number 8.

1	(Juror number 8 present.)
2	THE COURT: Yes. Hi, ma'am, how are you?
3	JUROR NO. 8: Fine, thank you.
4	THE COURT: It's been brought to my attention
· _{1,0} 5	that you have a scheduling issue for Monday, is that
6	correct?
7	JUROR NO. 8: That is correct, if I could
8	explain very briefly.
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	JUROR NO. 8: I am certified to teach in the
11	state of Maryland. And as you may be aware, teachers
12	are required to take certification courses in order to
13	renew their licenses.
14	I have a course starting Monday at 4:00 o'clock
15	in Adelphi, which would mean that I would need to leave
16	D.C. probably at three in the afternoon if I'm going to
17	make that class.
18	THE COURT: Are the rest of the jurors here?
19	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: What time did you need to leave
21	D.C.?
22	JUROR NO. 8: I think three in order to make it
23	on time for that class at four.
24	THE COURT: Very well. And a, a note from the
25	judge won't stand you in good stead?

1	JUROR NO. 8: Well, it's certainly accepted,
2	Your Honor, and I'll be happy to show it and I'll, you
3	know, I certainly will make the effort to, to have that
4	suffice but I just wanted to bring it to your attention.
5	THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate that.
6	JUROR NO. 8: Okay.
7	THE COURT: If you would just wait for Ms., Ms.
8	Franklin in the juryroom.
9	JUROR NO. 8: Okay.
10	THE COURT: And I will be back to you with
11	disposition of this.
12	JUROR NO. 8: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Okay?
14	JUROR NO. 8: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
16	MS. SASSOWER: How many days is the
17	THE COURT: Ms. Franklin, have her come back,
18	have her, right now, yeah.
19	THE CLERK: Juror number 8.
20	THE COURT: I forgot to ask you. Is it a one-
21	day course.
22	JUROR NO. 8: No, sir, it's five sessions and
23	the first session is this, is this Monday.
24	THE COURT: It's on Monday. And are they
25	five

1	JUROR NO. 8: Weekly.
2	THE COURT: conse
3	JUROR NO. 8: Weekly, weekly sessions.
4	THE COURT: Weekly sessions.
5	JUROR NO. 8: Right.
6	THE COURT: So they aren't consecutive days.
7	JUROR NO. 8: No, sir, no.
8	THE COURT: Very well, thank you very much.
9	JUROR NO. 8: Yes, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: The, I mean sometimes it's actually
11	fortuitous that these complications arise because it
12	allows me to address other matters that are related.
13	Obviously, we're going to have to be here on
14	Monday. And the question for me is whether we're going
15	to adjourn early on that day so that these individuals
16	can make their appointments.
17	I would much rather try to do that than to
18	while I have some concerns about the medical issue for
19	juror number 7, the certification issue for juror number
20	8 I'm not so concerned about.
21	The reason that I find this fortuitous is it
22	gives me the opportunity to speak about the rest of the
23	defense case and presentation. There simply will not be
24	the kind of delays that we have experienced thus far.
25	And I anticipate this jury receiving this case long

before three o'clock.

In any event, my inclination at this time is to have them come back and if -- well, we'll have them come back and have them suspend any deliberations for the day at perhaps 2:00 - 2:30 and then to come back the next day and resume their deliberations.

I think that that is just simply the most -- I hate with jurors, particularly where we've already lost one, I would hate to put them in a position of being forceful about this. And it seems to me that the more reasonable approach is to accommodate.

And so what I would like to take back to them is we will begin on time on Monday, everyone be present. That we will have a faster pace to the remaining evidentiary presentation. That they will receive the case for deliberations on Monday.

And to the extent that there's a need for them to come back for deliberations on Tuesday, we will suspend deliberations at two or 2:30. Ms. Sassower.

MS. SASSOWER: Yes. Needless to say, I will be making the trip from New York. I will be here at -- what time did you wish to begin?

THE COURT: 9:45.

MS. SASSOWER: All righty. I will certainly make every effort to be here precisely on time. Do

understand that I will be leaving New York at about 1 three in the morning. 2 3 Let me assure the Court that unlike the witnesses that I've called from Senator Clinton's 4 office, I have clear recollection. I have the assisting 5 documents and the testimony I expect will be not 6 7 prolonged. And, as I said, I will encompass that testimony 8 and very immediately the analysis. 10 THE COURT: Excuse me. 11 (Pause) MS. SASSOWER: I will accomplish in my 12 testimony, which will be as I said, I think concise. 13 Ι do understand your parameters I believe and I will 14 encompass an analysis of the video. 15 16 So that there is no mistake, I have generated documents. I have written documents heretofore. 17 have objected to matters coming into evidence because 18 they were not generated by the witness. I, as far as 19 the packages of material, I would wish to have that 20 21 marked as an exhibit. 22 THE COURT: Well, --23 MS. SASSOWER: The --24 THE COURT: -- let me stop you here because this, this is a speech that need not occur. For Monday,

have your exhibits marked. 1 2 MS. SASSOWER: Okay. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Goldstone will be conducting 4 the examination? 5 MS. SASSOWER: No. 6 THE COURT: He, Mr. Goldstone won't be conducting your testimony? 7 MS. SASSOWER: I will be testifying in 8 narrative in a very brief fashion so as not to delay with question and answer. I don't think that you will 10 have any objection --11 THE COURT: Very well. 12 13 MS. SASSOWER: -- to the swiftness --14 THE COURT: Well, what I would --15 MS. SASSOWER: -- with which we will go directly to the heart. 16 THE COURT: Well, what I would say is simply 17 I have not only given you the option of having 18 Mr. Goldstone conduct the examination, but I recommend 19 to you that you have Mr. Goldstone conduct the 20 21 examination. And I forewarn you, the fact that you have 22 documents that you generated and that you marked are 23 absolutely no guarantee that those documents are going 24 to be admitted into evidence. 25

1	Therefore, be here on 9, at 9:45 on Monday and
2	
. 3	
4	
5	
6	THE COURT: Which the Court still have not
7	received as well. And therefore, I'm unable to know
8	what documents are being placed in front of the witness
9	without hearing a speech as to the content which is
10	totally improper for the jury. Mr. Goldstone.
11	MR. GOLDSTONE: Yeah, one very quick, Your
12	Honor. All right. I have discussed at length whether
13	or not it's appropriate for me to present Ms. Sassower
14	as a witness.
15	THE COURT: Right.
16	MR. GOLDSTONE: It is my professional judgment
17	that she will be better off defending her case by
18	presenting herself in a narrative fashion.
19	THE COURT: Very well.
20	MR. GOLDSTONE: We spent a lot of time
21	reviewing it as a full, in full consultation. And I say
22	this to the Court.
23	THE COURT: Very well, so be it? 9:45 on
24	Monday.
25	MS. SASSOWER: Thank you.

THE CLERK: Ms. Sassower, your case is continued to 9:45 on Monday morning. If you failed to appear, a warrant would issue for your arrest. you're convicted of failing to appear, you face a 180 days in jail, \$1000 fine or both. Do you understand the warning you've been given? MS. SASSOWER: I do. THE CLERK: Please sign your notice. addition, your trial has begun. If you fail to return, the Court could consider that you waive your right to a trial. It will continue in your absence. (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at 4:45 P.M..)