SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CRIMINAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : Criminal Action

: No.: M4113-03

v.

ELENA SASSOWER,

Defendant.

Washington, D.C. April 13, 2004

The above-entitled action came on for jugy trial before The Honorable BRIAN HOLEMAN, Associate Judge, in Courtroom Number 218.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the Government:

JESSIE LIU, Esquire AARON MENDELSOHN, Esquire Assistants United States Attorney

On behalf of the Defendant:

ELENA SASSOWER, Pro Se MARK GOLDSTONE, Esquire Attorney Adviser

EVA B. RAMOS OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Telephone: 879-1074

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Witnesses

On behalf of the Government:

	Direct	Cross	Redirect
Deborah Lippay William Zimmerman	107 205	115	204
Roderick Jennings Kathleen Bignotti	297 369	318 391	366 429

On behalf of the Defendant:

Joshua Albert	468	
Leecia Eve	524	586
Elena Sassower	624	675

MISCELLANY

	rage
Opening Statement by Mr. Mendelsohn	79
Opening Statement by Ms. Sassower	85
Jury Instructions	740
Closing Argument by Ms. Liu	764
Closing Argument by Ms. Sassower	773
Rebuttal Closing Argument by Ms. Liu	784

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE CLERK: United States versus Elena
3	Sassower, Case M4113-03.
4	MS. SASSOWER: Your Honor, I apologize for the
5	delay. I was instructed by your courtroom clerk to
6	explain and I am happy to do so.
7	THE CLERK: Excuse me, Your Honor. I indicated
8	to Ms. Sassower she could not leave the courtroom
9	because court is getting ready to start.
10	THE COURT: Very well.
11	MS. SASSOWER: I'm sorry. I thought I
12	understood I was to explain the delay.
13	THE COURT: No, I don't, I don't need to hear
14	that from you. It's my understanding that you have some
15	issues with exhibits or something, getting them through
16	security. So
17	MS. SASSOWER: Yes, indeed. And unfortunately,
18	the Senate Judiciary Committee would not retain these
19	documents until the trial nor would Mr. Mendelsohn.
20	They had to be brought back by me to New York
21	and then transmitted yesterday with me here. And yes,
22	there have been problems.
23	THE COURT: Very well. All right. You
24	received from me via fax and should have had placed
5	before you this morning the proposed waim dime

questions, a synthesis of questions that I have in chambers and those that were submitted by the government.

I did receive, and thank you, from Ms. Liu and Mr. Mendelsohn a proposed supplemental voir dire question that addresses the media coverage issue.

When I compared that question to my question number one and its subparts A and B, my feeling was that the less attention that is drawn to the exact publication and the exact date benefits the orderly process of this case.

My thought being that human nature might drive either the panel or the jury that we actually sit directly to the particular publication and the date to review this matter that we're trying to have them avoid.

So I thought that my instruction number one was broad enough to cover that. Once we empanel the jury, I will have preliminary instructions to give them. And during the preliminaries, I will ask them not to read local newspapers.

We're going to provide them with in cha, in the juryroom the New York Times or the, what is it, USA Today. So that they will have some newspaper, newspapers to read.

But they, we won't provide them with the

Washington Post or the Washington Times or obviously the Legal Times. So that we try as best we can to keep them away from an article that might prejudice them one way or the other.

So I'm certainly willing to hear argument from the government on this issue. But my thought is that I've considered it and my inclination is to proceed with voir dire question one, ask the media question as well.

MS. LIU: Your Honor, I think that's a great way to handle the media question. We have no problem with question number one as it's been stated.

THE COURT: Very well. Ms. Sassower.

MS. SASSOWER: I couldn't agree more.

THE COURT: Very well. All right. So we will proceed in that way. I believe that we don't have any other preliminary matters and we can call for a jury.

Let me review with you what we anticipate happening with the, with the panel this morning. When the panel is called, essentially every seat is going to be filled in the audience.

We're either gonna call for a panel of 48 or 60. I haven't really figured that out. And the only reason for calling for more is a calculation that has no scientific basis at all as to how many might be lost due to cause.

Once the panel is seated and, and they're sworn, I will then give some preliminary information about the process. We will then proceed with my asking the several questions.

You will have at that time a list of juror names and juror numbers. And you'll also have space to write notes. You can handle this however you choose.

But my recommendation is that when I ask a question and a juror, juror stands up and gives his number, that you note on your chart the number that has been asked, the number of the question that's been asked beside the juror or beside the juror number.

And you do that for every question. You note the number of the question that the person has responded to. After we get through the entire list of 18 questions, then we will field beginning with juror one, who will sit right here where this lady is sitting in our courtroom.

We will start with juror one and we will work our way through calling the jurors up to the bench and engaging them in discussion on the various questions that they responded to.

So juror one, for example, we note that you responded to question one with regard to having heard, read or knowing something about this case, could you

1	tell us	why	you	answered	that	question	. The	person	will
2	respond.								

I will engage them in conversation about their knowledge or their exposure and then ultimately ask them whether or not this exposure impacts their ability to be a fair and impartial juror in the case.

To the extent that either the government or Ms. Sassower would like any follow-up questions asked, I will entertain those. And we will proceed in that way.

That person will then be asked to go back to their seat, read, do whatever it is that they're doing and we'll bring up the next juror. And we will follow this process for all of the jurors.

It should come as no surprise that there will be some jurors who will do anything to remove themselves from jury duty. And they will answer the broad questions that I must ask - is there any reason that you can think of that you would not be able to serve as a juror in this case.

When they answer that question in the affirmative, I want you to know right now that as far as our discussions at the bench are concerned, I am going to skip over those individuals.

And the reason for that is, if we have say five of those individuals who have made it clear through

their answering of that question that they're not going to want to serve or be able to serve, I don't want to go through the full discussion of the several questions that they asked, unnecessarily.

We're going to lose them anyway, let's not even engage them in questioning at the bench unless and until we are forced to do so because of attrition. Is there anybody who doesn't understand what I'm, what I'm saying here?

So we will take everyone in order. And let's say juror 15 is one of those people who has gotten up and responded to every question and has answered the broad question that he can't serve.

And so you know, as we sit here and ask these questions, that this is a person who appears to be trying to get out of jury duty.

When we call individuals to the bench, I will call juror 14, I'll ask juror 15 to wait there for just a minute. Then I'll call juror 16. And we'll bring those people up.

And that happy group that wants to avoid service, we will save them to the end. It may well work itself out that mathematically, we don't need to even question them because we will have a panel that will give us a jury, given the number of people that we have

1	and the number of strikes that you are allowed.
2	The number of strikes for each side will be
3	three. All right. And we will address that matter
4	further when we get to it. And you should know that a
5	pass on a strike is also a strike.
6	So, in any event, you can use that as best you
7	see fit. I think then that what we need to do is to be
8	about getting a panel up here. It takes them about 20
9	minutes to assemble a panel. So why don't we do that.
10	Very well, all right, Ms. Sassower.
11	MS. LIU: Yes. May I use the facilities, Your
12	Honor?
13	THE COURT: Oh, certainly, certainly.
14	MR. MENDELSOHN: Your Honor, Aaron Mendelsohn
15	for the United States.
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	MR. MENDELSOHN: Just a couple of questions
18	about the jury selection process.
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	MR. MENDELSOHN: One, we have
21	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower
22	MR. MENDELSOHN: We have
23	THE COURT: Excuse me. You may want, you may
24	want to hear this.
25	MR. MENDELSOHN: We have three strikes for the

1	panel, do we have any strikes on the alternates? Do we
2	get one strike for
3	THE COURT: Well, the way that I'm going to
4	handle the alternate is once the jury is empanelled I
5	will run - well, actually before it's empanelled I'm
6	going to randomly select two numbers.
7	Those two numbers will be the alternates. No
8	one will know who the alternates are except me. So
9	that, that's the way we'll handle that issue.
10	MR. MENDELSOHN: And
11	THE COURT: Go ahead.
12	MR. MENDELSOHN: with respect to striking
13	jurors for cause,
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	MR. MENDELSOHN: how will we know when the
16	court has decided to strike juror will we be able to
17	make arguments
18	THE COURT: Yes.
19	MR. MENDELSOHN: for cause?
20	THE COURT: Yes. Once I excused juror number
21	one back to first seat, I will then entertain arguments
22	from counsel right here at the bench.
23	Your Honor, we move that this juror be
24	stricken for cause for the following reasons. This jury
25	has worked for law enforcement has demonstrated

1	inability to hear this case fairly and impartially.
2	That kind of, of argument.
3	And then I'll rule at that time. However, I
4	won't be excusing any jurors at that time. The person
5	will still be here.
6	MR. MENDELSOHN: Two additional questions.
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MR. MENDELSOHN: One, Senate legal counsel,
9	Grant Vinik,
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	MR. MENDELSOHN: may he remain in the
12	courtroom perhaps seated here during jury selection?
13	THE COURT: I don't have excuse me. I
14	don't have any objection to that.
15	MR. MENDELSOHN: And Ms. Liu has an additional
16	preliminary issue.
17	THE COURT: Ms. Liu.
18	MS. LIU: Your Honor, the government has two,
19	what I hope will be very brief issues, concerning the
20	elements of the offense.
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	MS. LIU: I don't know if you'd like to discuss
23	those now or wait until some later time.
24	THE COURT: Well, certainly by the time I give
2 5	my preliminary instructions to the panel I will, will

1	have to address that. Because in the preliminary
2	instructions to the, I shouldn't say the panel, to the,
3	the jury, that we assemble, I, I have to give them some
4	guidance in that regard. Go ahead.
5	MS. LIU: Our concerns, Your Honor, concern
6	number one of the elements of the offense.
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MS. LIU: And our position is that the
9	language, your recitation of the elements should track
10	exactly the language of the statute.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	MS. LIU: Which reads that, defendant is
13	prohibited from uttering loud threatening or abusive
14	language as well as to engage in any disorderly or
15	disruptive conduct.
16	Moreover, the language of the statute is not
17	within a United States Capitol Building but within any
18	of the United States Capitol Buildings plural.
19	And the reason why I ask for that particular
20	language is that I think it makes it more clear to the
21	jury that any United States Capitol Building not just
22	the Capitol itself
23	THE COURT: Right.
24	MS. LIU: is covered by the statute. Now
25	with respect to the first phrase in the statute,

1	uttering loud, threatening or abusive language, I was
2	looking back at our information and I realized this
3	morning that that specific language, -
4	THE COURT: Go ahead.
5	-MS. LIU: that that specific language does
6	not appear in the information. And so at this time we
7	would move to amend the information to add that language
8	from the statute.
9	MS. SASSOWER: I'm sorry, what did you wish to
10	add? And which is the information that you are
11	THE COURT: What is the
12	MS. SASSOWER: Excuse me, excuse me, Your
13	Honor. I'll go to my discovery motion where those
14	underlying prosecution documents were set forth by me as
15	exhibits.
16	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower, just, let me just say
17	this before we have the citizens in here who are going
18	to judge this case.
19	Every time that we have an issue that arises
20	that warrants some clarification, we really shouldn't be
21	going back to matters that have previously transpired.
22	MS. SASSOWER: I understand. And the jury is
23	not present and I am so advised.
24	THE COURT: No.
25	MS. SASSOWER: And understand that, but thank

1	you.
2	THE COURT: Yes, but I'm present. And what
3	this does is it delays the proceedings. And quite
4	frankly, it demonstrates a certain disregard for the
5	orderly progression of this case.
6	So I'm simply going to ask you that if you need
7	to make a, if you feel compelled to make a point, let's
8	make the point. We don't need the
9	MS. SASSOWER: I will
10	THE COURT: Go head.
11	MS. SASSOWER: one last time
12	THE COURT: Very well.
13	MS. SASSOWER: note my objection to
14	proceeding to trial. The case is not trial ready. It
15	has not proceeded orderly with proper disposition of my
16	dis, discovery rights on which my witness rights, right
17	rested.
18	THE COURT: Very well. And
19	MS. SASSOWER: So without prejudice, all those
20	objec, objections including to Your Honor's presiding, I
21	will
22	THE COURT: The record
23	MS. SASSOWER: no longer make reference
24	THE COURT: Thank you. The record
25	MS. SASSOWER: to those issues.

THE COURT: -- on that has been made clear. 1 2 And --3 MS. SASSOWER: Thank you. 4 -- I don't think there's any THE COURT: further need to address that. 5 6 MS. SASSOWER: Thank you. We would move on. 7 THE COURT: Ah. Thank you. Now for, specific issue of this information, Ms. Liu, I was 8 distracted by trying to get my hands on a copy of the information. What is the point that you're making here? 10 11 My point, Your Honor, is that the MS. LIU: statute under which we're proceeding and which is listed 12 13 in the information, --14 THE COURT: Yes. MS. LIU: -- that language says, it shall be 15 unlawful for any person or group of persons willfully 16 and knowingly to utter loud, threatening or abusive 17 language, or to engage in any disorderly or disruptive 18 conduct at any place upon the Capitol grounds or within 19 20 any of the Capitol Buildings. 21 I noticed that in our information, what we have said is that the defendant willfully and knowingly 22 engaged in disorderly and disruptive conduct. 23 We did not state in the information or did not 24 charge that she uttered loud threatening or abusive 25

language which is the language of the statute itself. 1 And we think that it would be appropriate to 2 have the charging documents as well as the elements of 3 the offense that Your Honor has put together for us 4 track exactly the language of the statute. 5 6 THE COURT: Very well. MS. SASSOWER: May I be heard? THE COURT: Yes, you -- yes, Ms. Sassower. 8 9 MS. SASSOWER: As Ms. Liu has made known to the Court, this case is virtually one year old. The 10 government had one year to amend this information. 11 is now right at trial, not even the eve of trial, right 12 13 at trial. It is untimely, it is late and it is 14 unnecessary. If the government felt that was germane, 15 they had sufficient opportunity to do it prior to, prior 16 to this moment. 17 18 MS. LIU: May I respond briefly, Your Honor? 19 THE COURT: Yes. MS. LIU: Your Honor, the government is 20 permitted to move to amend at anytime prior to trial. 21 We have not yet selected a jury nor sworn the jury. 22 In addition, we don't believe that there would 23 be any prejudice to Ms. Sassower. The information lists 24 exactly what provision of the D.C. Code we're proceeding 25

under. That language is plainly in that section and she's known all along exactly what she's accused of doing.

We've provided videotape to her. We have provided the police statements that described her conduct and we don't believe there is any prejudice.

THE COURT: Very well. My ruling is that, as Ms. Liu correctly states, the, the information may be amended at anytime prior to trial.

This happens all the time in misdemeanor cases where the information contains one charge and perhaps the trial is held on a lesser included offense because of lack of proof on a particular element. So the government is allowed to amend the information in that regard.

MS. SASSOWER: I would --

THE COURT: This, this circum -- I'm ruling,

Ms. Sassower. This circumstance really is no different.

It is an effort to bring the information into conformity with the statute and the instruction to the jury as to the elements of the charge into conformity with the statute. So I'll grant the, the motion.

Ms. Liu, what I expect from you is given that you have found, and quite frankly I'm in concurrence, you have found a deficiency with my proposed statement

of the elements of the ca, of the offense. 1 Prior to my giving the preliminary instructions 2 to the jury panel, the jury that we assemble, I need you 3 to prepare, and it can be handwritten and exchanged with Ms. Sassower. I need your proposal as to the 5 modification here. 6 MS. LIU: Very well, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: It's not enough simply to state it, 8 9 I need to actually see it. 10 MS. LIU: We'll provide --11 THE COURT: Because that's what I'm going to 12 read. MS. LIU: We will certainly provide it in 13 writing, Your Honor. 14 15 MS. SASSOWER: I would just --16 THE COURT: Very well. MS. SASSOWER: I would just wish for the record 17 to state, as I had stated, that the evidence in the 18 government's possession shows there is no basis for the 19 20 charge. 21 THE COURT: Ms., excuse me. 22 MS. SASSOWER: That they have amended --THE COURT: No, excuse me, excuse me, Ms. 23 This was a matter that I tried to handle in a 24 very dispassionate manner just a few minutes ago. 25

have ruled and you're going to be confronted with my 1 2 rulings --3 MS. SASSOWER: Okay. THE COURT: -- throughout the trial. Once I 4 rule, your record is preserved by way of court reporter 5 for any appellate purposes. But once I've ruled, then 6 the ruling is final unless there is legal authority 7 presented to me for purposes of reconsideration. 8 And on this issue, there is no authority that 9 could possibly be presented to me for purposes of 10 reconsideration. Therefore, on this issue of amending 11 the information and restating the elements of the 12 offense, we are done. 13 I am not hearing any more discussion on that 14 issue. And any objection by any party as to that matter 15 will be noted for the record. 16 17 Now, I believe now that we're in a position to go forward with the calling of the, the jury. During 18 that time, Ms. Sassower, you may take care of the matter 19 that you had previously sought. 20 21 MS. SASSOWER: Thank you. 22 THE COURT: But before you go, I'd like both you and Mr. Goldstone to step up ex parte. 23 24 (Ex Parte Bench Conference) 25 THE COURT: There are two reasons that I called

1	you up here. Number one is that I want to assure you,
2	Ms. Sassower, that you are going to receive a fair trial
3	in my courtroom.
4	MS. SASSOWER: Thank you.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	MS. SASSOWER: I had been
7	THE COURT: Now, now there's a certain decorum
8	that I must maintain.
9	MS. SASSOWER: Absolutely, you can.
10	THE COURT: And to the extent that you
11	encounter objections, that you encounter rulings by me
12	that you feel is being unfavorable and warrant further -
13	MS. SASSOWER: I do have no objection
14	THE COURT: And warrant further discussion, Ms.
15	Sassower, then your record will be made by virtue of the
16	court reporter having noted your objection. So any
17	appeal that you seek to take later on, you will have the
18	transcript.
19	You can go directly to the page and line and
20	you can demonstrate how I was in error in doing what I
21	did. But I have to tell you and you need to understand
22	this during the trial and I've got a jury empanelled.
23	MS. SASSOWER: I know, I know.
24	THE COURT: All right.
25	MS. SASSOWER: I think Your Honor can discern

1	from my questions that I am very professional and I
2	will do the best I can.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MS. SASSOWER: Please also recognize that I am
5	very unfamiliar with a criminal trial which is a
6	different ball of wax entirely.
7	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to assist as best
8	I can here and
9	MS. SASSOWER: I appreciate
10	THE COURT: certainly Mr. Goldstone
11	MS. SASSOWER: Definitely.
12	THE COURT: is a member of the bar and he
13	will understand when I rule, asking, for example, to
14	move on, he will understand what that means and can
15	instruct you accordingly.
16	Now the other thing I wanted to mention to you
17	is that one of the things that judges are concerned
18	about in every jury trial are matters that may influence
19	a jury but which are not stated as evidence.
20	One of those things, for example, your box
21	with the ribbons. You're going to have to place that
22	box down below.
23	MS. SASSOWER: That's, that's what supposedly
24	is a demonstration of how I'm not rational. I'm
25	professional but I'm not rational

1	THE COURT: Well, at the point in time that
2	that becomes relevant for example, if this were a
3	case involving a shooting, we don't brandish about the
4	weapon or the ammunition until such time as it becomes
5	relevant evidence. Therefore, Ms. Sassower, that
6	material I want you to keep below.
7	MS. SASSOWER: We need to have this on the
8	record, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: It is on the record.
10	MS. SASSOWER: No, no.
11	THE COURT: It is on the record.
12	MS. SASSOWER: This, this conference is on the
13	record?
14	THE COURT: This conference is on the record.
15	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
16	THE COURT: Everything
17	MS. SASSOWER: I'd like
18	THE COURT: that you say is on the record.
19	And I am directing you to place that material out of
20	sight of the jury until it becomes a part of your case.
21	And at that point in time
22	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
23	THE COURT: that you seek its introduction
24	into evidence, you make sure, just like any other piece
25	of evidence, and offer it.

1	MS. SASSOWER: Okay, okay.
2	THE COURT: It, it should be marked.
3	MS. SASSOWER: Yes.
4	MR. MENDELSOHN: You can offer it.
5	MS. SASSOWER: Yes.
6	THE COURT: The government will have its
7	opportunity to object and I will rule. You understand?
8	MS. SASSOWER: Okay. These are the actual
9	documents as returned to me from the Senate Judiciary
10	Committee.
11	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower, I don't care about
12	the documents. What I care about is the appearance of
13	the box. And what I want in my courtroom is
14	MS. SASSOWER: Those are the boxes.
15	THE COURT: I want those boxes
16	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
17	THE COURT: out of sight
18	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
19	THE COURT: until you offer them as
20	evidence. And at that point in time they may be
21	displayed. They will be provided, the government will
22	have an opportunity to examine and then interpose any
23	objection. If it requires discussion, we'll have the
24	discussion at the bench and I will rule.
25	MS. SASSOWER: Now, Your Honor, I just would

1	like to remind you in the event you were not present on
2	December 3rd. But in any event, it's reflected in the
3	transcript that I objected to the loss of custody by the
4	government of these boxes.
5	These boxes, I didn't want to take them back.
6	I said they are going to be evidence introduced at the
7	trial.
8	THE COURT: Well, well, that, that is now, Ms.
9	Sassower,
10	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
11	THE COURT: a non-issue because the boxes
12	are here. And to the extent
13	MS. SASSOWER: Those are the same boxes.
14	THE COURT: And to the extent that you have
15	custody of them, put them out of sight.
16	MS. SASSOWER: Okay, okay.
17	THE COURT: That's, and that's, okay. We don't
18	need to discuss it any further.
19	MS. SASSOWER: Well, I do, I do, this is, I do
20	not want to create a problem.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MS. SASSOWER: I will advise the Court in
23	advance because it is my intention, I'm so, telling the
24	jury. Because it's my intention to take the stand in my
25	own defense.

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MS. SASSOWER: My opening statement is cursory,
3	very limited, very short, not to be duplicative because
4	nothing I say in an opening statement is evidence, only
5	from the witness stand.
6	THE COURT: Well, you don't have to tell them
7	that because I will. But, Ms
8	MS. SASSOWER: But
9	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower,
10	MS. SASSOWER: Well, what I'm saying is my, I
11	had planned in my opening statement as part of
12	THE COURT: To use demonstrative evidence? No,
13	because it's not in evidence.
14	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
15	THE COURT: So you won't be using that. And
16	let me ask you while you're here, what is this mark in
17	your left hand? What, in the hand, what is that?
18	MS. SASSOWER: Oh, it's, I don't know. It's,
19	it's some dirt.
20	THE COURT: Okay, very well.
21	MS. SASSOWER: It's
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MS. SASSOWER: I was carrying all these boxes
24	and, and the wheels of the cart
25	THE COURT: All right Well you might want

1	MS. SASSOWER: Yes.
2	THE COURT: to take care of that.
3	MS. SASSOWER: I was planning to go to the rest
. 4	room.
5	THE COURT: Very well. All right, is there
6	anything further?
7	MR. GOLDSTONE No, thank you.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	(Open Court)
10	THE CLERK: The court will stand in brief
11	recess until return of the Court.
12	(Thereupon, the court recessed at 10:52 p.m.)
13	(Thereupon, the court reconvened at 11:05
14	a.m.)
15	MS. SASSOWER: Your Honor,
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	MS. SASSOWER: There has arisen an issue that
18	we require your assistance with.
19	THE COURT: Very well.
20	MS. SASSOWER: The last preliminary issues
21	raised by the government concerned their enlargement.
22	THE COURT: Yes.
23	MS. SASSOWER: They wanted to make three
24	enlargements.
25	THE COURT: Yes.

1	MS. SASSOWER: And I noted to Ms. Liu that she
2	had as yet not provided me with what specific rule the
3	government had wanted to enlarge. And she would not
4	identify it to me and she indicated that I should take
5	it up with your honor.
6	THE COURT: Very well.
7	MS. SASSOWER: Also, apparently it's not quite
8	clear to me but perhaps even to the government, which
9	enlargements, if any, are to be, fair to be permitted to
10	use.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. I apologize for not
12	having addressed that issue before as a preliminary
13	matter. Overnight, I had the opportunity to review not
14	only the statutes that directly pertain to the
15	information in this case, but I also reviewed the
16	Senate committee rules and the, and the Constitutional
17	provision in question.
18	My ruling is, is as follows: I will take
19	judicial notice of those matters. They will not be used
20	as demonstrative evidence for the reasons that were
21	previously articulated.
22	I think this is particularly true when it comes to
23	the Senate committee rules. They are voluminous and an
24	argument could be made that the entire Rule 26 would not

be subject to ready enlargement and display.

1	And therefore, certain extractions would have
2	to be made, which theoretically at least could be
3	interpreted as taking a provision out of context. I
4	want to avoid all of that, any misinterpretation, any
5	arguments about faulty context and the like.
6	Therefore, the statutory provisions, D.C. Code,
7	Section 10-503.16, the United States Constitution,
8	Article one, Section 5, Clause two and the Senate
9	committee rules, Rule 26 will not be allowed as
10	demonstrative evidence. Okay. Anything further?
11	MR. MENDELSOHN: May I ask for some
12	clarification?
13	THE COURT: Yes.
14	MR. MENDELSOHN: Your Honor said you, that the
15	Court would take judicial notice
16	THE COURT: Certainly.
17	MR. MENDELSOHN: of them
18	THE COURT: Yes.
19	MR. MENDELSOHN: but would not allow them as
20	demonstrative
21	THE COURT: That's correct.
22	MR. MENDELSOHN: exhibits. How then might
23	those will you, will the Court then read that
24	provision of the Constitution as part of the Court's
25	jury instructions?

1 No. It seems to me that the issue THE COURT: before us is whether or not this person violated 2 10-503.16. That's the information, that's the proof 3 that needs to be made. With regard to the House determining the rules of its proceedings and the, --5 6 MR. MENDELSOHN: I believe I understand. THE COURT: -- and the committee having the 7 ability to call roll, keep order and so forth, it seems 8 to me that those are not matters of fact to be addressed by the jury. Rather, they are issues of law for my 10 11 determination. And I don't think there's any dispute but that 12 the House, that, that the House is able to conduct its 13 proceedings and the committee is able to govern itself 14 per the, per the rule. 15 16 So to the extent that you sought to bring my attention to the statutory provisions and the rule, so 17 18 noted. Addressing these matters to the jury for 19 determination of fact I believe is not called for here, 20 except with regard to 10-503.16 where we have the 21 information and we have elements of an offense based 22 thereon. Yes. 23 MS. SASSOWER: Now, Your Honor, then I 24

understand that there will be no enlargements?

25

THE COURT: That's co -- on, on that issue 1 there would be no enlargements. 2 3 MS. SASSOWER: Oh, okay. Now I would just like to say and reflected by my question to Ms. Liu, you 4 know, and by what Your Honor has just said. Rule 26 of 5 the Senate rules relating to committee procedure are 6 quite extensive --7 8 THE COURT: Ms. --MS. SASSOWER: -- and I downloaded them from 9 the Internet. And I simply wish to know for my 10 edification what specific subsection I am thought to 11 have violated, what subsection of this huge rule. 12 13 THE COURT: Excuse me. Ms. Sassower, first of all, I've ruled on the issue so we don't need further 14 15 discussion. 16 MS. SASSOWER: Will it not come up? THE COURT: Correct, it will not come up within 17 the context of the information that the jury is entitled 18 to decide, as a matter of fact, in this case. 19 MS. SASSOWER: All right. Now one, one final 20 question on that subject. When I got that notice of, of 21 preliminary issues and that final question about blowups, enlargements, I did want to be properly prepared, which is why I did download from the Internet the Senate

22

23

24

25

rule.

1	And, of course, I was familiar with the D.C.
2	provision I am alleged to have violated, and I also took
. 3	a look at the Constitution and what was being referred
4	to.
5	And in reflecting, I decided that there was
6	something that already was blown up by our Founding
7	Fathers.
8	THE COURT: Ms., Ms. Sassower
9	MS. SASSOWER: Am I able to use
10	THE COURT: No, no, you will not be using that
11	in this case.
12	MS. SASSOWER: the Founding Father's view
13	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower,
14	MS. SASSOWER: of
15	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower
16	MS. SASSOWER: what was most important
17	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower
18	MS. SASSOWER: in the Constitution? .
19	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower, Ms. Sassower,
20	MS. SASSOWER: Yes.
21	THE COURT: That will not be permitted
22	MS. SASSOWER: That's why I'm asking.
23	THE COURT: in this court. Put it away
24	please.
25	MS. SASSOWER: That's why I am asking, Your

1	Honor.
2	THE COURT: Put it away please. Let the record
3	reflect that Ms. Sassower has what appears to be a
4	parchment version of the United States Constitution.
5	
6	Clearly, this is not proper and certainly if
7	it's displayed for the jury, it's not proper. I am
	giving an order now
8	MS. SASSOWER: I am
9	THE COURT: that that, that display will not
10	take place in this courtroom.
11	MS. SASSOWER: Your Honor,
12	THE COURT: Do you understand?
13	MS. SASSOWER: that's why
14	THE COURT: Do you, do you understand?
15	MS. SASSOWER: That's why I asked the question.
16	THE COURT: Then, then please put it away.
17	MS. SASSOWER: Am I, isn't that understood by
18	Your Honor?
19	THE COURT: Put it away, I've ruled.
20	MS. SASSOWER: I, I asked because
21	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower, there's no
22	further,
23	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.
24	THE COURT: further discussion
25	MS. SASSOWER: Okay.

1	THE COURT: on the issue. Your record is
2	made.
3	MS. SASSOWER: For the record, it's "We, the
4	People", that the Founding Fathers saw fit to enlarge in
5	the original
6	THE COURT: Very well.
7	MS. SASSOWER: authentic version of the
8	United States Constitution.
9	THE COURT: Very well, Ms. Sassower, please,
10	MS. SASSOWER: Last issue.
11	THE COURT: please put the document away.
12	MS. SASSOWER: It no longer will be presented
13	because they are not presenting their enlargements.
14	THE COURT: There's really no need for the
15	discussion of it. Do you have another matter?
16	MS. SASSOWER: Yes. There is a kind spectator
17	who has, I would like to have able to witness also the
18	jury selection.
19	THE COURT: Won't happen. Mr. Vinik is here by
20	virtue of the fact that he's a member of the bar
21	and there are witnesses which I assume you intend to
22	call that are represented by Mr. Vinik.
23	He will be permitted to stay. If you have an
24	objection, it is noted for the record. Whomever it is
25	that you have saw to have seated here, they are not

1	your attorney adviser.
2	They aren't counsel for any witness that will be
3	called in this case. You have identified them as a nice
4	person I believe that you said.
5	MS. SASSOWER: She also is giving me some jury
6	advice
7	THE COURT: Well,
8	MS. SASSOWER: actually.
9	THE COURT: Well, she won't.
10	MS. SASSOWER: She's informal jury
11	consultant
12	THE COURT: Very well.
13	MS. SASSOWER: based on her experience.
14	THE COURT: She won't be in here for jury
15	selection. Your record is made. And to the extent that
16	it's found in error by the Court of Appeals, so be it.
17	Are we prepared now to bring the jury?
18	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Very well.
20	MR. MENDELSOHN: Your Honor,
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	MR. MENDELSOHN: I'm sorry, just one last
23	question. In the proposed voir dire questions,
24	THE COURT: Yes.
25	MR. MENDELSOHN: We had identified the parties

involved in the trial --1 THE COURT: Yes. 2 MR. MENDELSOHN: -- by name. Would you ask 3 that Mr. Vinik also be identified. He won't be giving 4 any testimony to the Court --5 THE COURT: He won't be giving any --6 MR. MENDELSOHN: -- I think is the 7 representation, but he will be here during jury 8 9 selection. THE COURT: I don't I think that there's any 10 need for that, just as if there were a paralegal or a 11 law clerk seated with counsel. There's no need to 12 introduce Mr. Vinik. 13 14 MR. MENDELSOHN: Thank you MS. LIU: Your Honor, very briefly. I did have 15 16 a question. 17 THE COURT: We have the jury panel coming in. (Thereupon, the jury panel were sworn on voir 18 dire examination. Thereafter, the jury was duly 19 selected and the proceedings continued as follows:) 20 THE COURT: Very well. Please be seated. 21 Thank you. You've been selected as the jury that will 22 hear this case. 23 What we're going to have you do now is, Ms. 24 25 Franklin, my courtroom clerk, will show you the

facilities that will serve as your place of business for the next couple of days.

You have a juryroom where you will come in the mornings prior to taking the, the jury box to hear testimony. And during your breaks you will go back to the, the juryroom which is right behind this wall.

Ms. Franklin will also show you the rest room facilities and so forth which are back here with your, in the juryroom. We're going to give you a break now. You'll be with Ms. Franklin, she'll tell you how long the break will last.

When we come back, what we will have is you will be sworn in and I will give you some further instructions.

It seems to me that as a practical matter today, by the time we take our break and I give you further instructions, we will likely begin the opening statements and the testimony tomorrow. And let me just check.

Very well, all right. We can talk about our start time later when, after you come back. But for now, Ms. Franklin will show you to the facilities here. Thank you again for your service.

(Thereupon, the jury returned to the juryroom at 3:30 PM.)

1	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower, be seated. Ms.
2	Sassower.
3	MS. SASSOWER: I just for the record must
4	express to the Court that the objection of the
5	government, based on the fact that the three
6	peremptorily stricken jurors happened to be black fem,
7	black females, was absolutely coincidental.
8	There was never any intent to strike either
9	black persons or female persons, you can be assured.
10	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower, let me just say to
11	you that
12	MS. SASSOWER: And I am certainly happy to
13	articulate why they were stricken.
14	THE COURT: Well, excuse me. One thing that we
15	have to make sure that we're certain of before we begin
16	the trial is that when I start to speak you stop. No
17	matter what you're saying, you stop.
18	Now with regard to your position on this, I can
19	understand how someone who is not familiar with
20	courtroom procedure might feel when that challenge is
21	made.
22	But let me assure you, it is a purely legal
23	challenge. And the law under Batson vs. Kentucky, a
24	Supreme Court case, allows the government or the defense
25	to bring such a challenge.

1	It is then my responsibility to make a
2	determination whether there could be any association
3	between the race, gender composition of those stricken
4	and the motive of the striker.
5	In some cases, there is a conjunction; in
6	others, it may well be coincidental. In this case, I
7	have ruled so it is no longer an issue and no one thinks
8	of you any less for the strikes that you have made.
9	Indeed, when I assessed that very issue, I go
10	back to the list and I make a determination in my own
11	head whether those individuals could be stricken for any
12	other reason. And I did that.
13	I am satisfied that they could be stricken for
14	other reasons. And I have well, the government has
15	not sought to pursue, and even if they had, I would have
16	ruled against them. So it is no longer an issue.
17	MS. SASSOWER: Understand that
18	THE COURT: Very well.
19	MS. SASSOWER: my good name and my motives
20	are
21	THE COURT: Ms
22	MS. SASSOWER: important
23	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower,
24	MS. SASSOWER:that there be no question

THE COURT: Ms. Sassower,

1	MS. SASSOWER: about that.
2	THE COURT: excuse me.
3	MS. SASSOWER: And I simply wanted to make a
4	record on that subject.
5	THE COURT: Well, well, the record is made.
6	And I don't want us to venture into the issue of good
7	names and reputations because there had been things said
8	in this very courtroom that go to the heart of that
9	issue. And but for the fact that these are legal
10	proceedings, offense could be taken.
11	Therefore, Ms. Sassower, you need not make any
12	reference to how this affects your good name. The
13	government preserved a legal point. That point has been
14	addressed, the matter is closed now.
15	MS. SASSOWER: I just did not wish there to be
16	any thought that I am in any respect a racist.
17	THE COURT: Ms. Sassower, the issue no longer
18	warrants
19	MS. SASSOWER: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: discussion. Mr. Mendelsohn.
21	MR. MENDELSOHN: Your Honor, we have two
22	witnesses waiting outside. The Court had mentioned
23	earlier that opening statements and witness testimony
24	begin tomorrow morning. May I
25	THE COURT: I mean as, quite frankly, as a

1	practical matter, by the time they get back, I have to
2	give a preliminary charge for which I need the, the
3	elements of the offense.
4	And by the time that's done, it would be I
5	think not in our best interest to begin with opening
6	statements and evidence today. Certainly, you can
7	excuse the witness.
8	MR. MENDELSOHN: May I let them know what time
9	the Court would like them back tomorrow morning?
10	THE COURT: Yeah. It's my understanding that
11	my calendar is also being covered tomorrow morning. So
12	my thought is that we will tell the jury to be here at,
13	Wednesday, have the jury be here at as much as I
14	would like to say 9:30, I think if we tell them 9:45,
15	we'll just start 10 o'clock.
16	MS. SASSOWER: There's a problem.
17	THE COURT: I think we'll tell them that, then
18	we are, we're in good stead.
19	MR. MENDELSOHN: I'll have our witnesses here
20	at 10 AM.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	MR. MENDELSOHN: And, Your Honor, just for
23	technical purposes for our office, will Judge Morrison
24	be covering your calendar again tomorrow?

Yes.

THE COURT:

1	MR. MENDELSOHN: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	MS. LIU: Your Honor, I'm just gonna pass up
4	the proposed elements of the offense.
5	THE COURT: Thank you.
6	MS. SASSOWER: Your Honor,
7	THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Sassower.
8	MS. SASSOWER: I'm reading the proposed element
9	of the defen, of the offense put forward by the
10	government. It seems to me that there was an error in
11	the representation of the law.
12	And I wanted to see a copy of the law and Ms.
13	Liu has refused to provide it. Perhaps the Court would
14	oblige with a copy.
15	THE COURT: Well, certainly Ms. Liu isn't
16	obliged to provide you with the tools that you need
17	to
18	MS. SASSOWER: Well, I believe there's an error
19	in this.
20	THE COURT: Well then check your materials, Ms.
21	Sassower. You're now in trial. It is an adversarial
22	process. They aren't entitled to do that work for you.
23	Or you aren't entitled to have them do that work for
24	you.
25	MS. SASSOWER: Well, if the Court doesn't need

me to tell it, surely that it's more than the orderly 1 conduct of a session of Congress, what is here involved 2 is a hearing. | So, shouldn't that be included? 3 THE COURT: No, no, not as I read the, the 4 actual language of the statute. 5 MS. SASSOWER: Well, even the information has 6 that language of a hearing. 7 THE COURT: The, the information was amended 9 this morning to comport with the language of the statute. That is what the discussion was given to this 10 11 this morning for. The government essentially seeks to make sure 12 that the elements of the offense that are expressed to 13 the jury are --14 15 MS. LIU: Your Honor, --THE COURT: -- the language of the statute. 16 17 Yes. MS. LIU: 18 I think I see Ms. Sassower's point and I don't disagree with it. I think what she's trying 19 to say is that the statute refers to the orderly conduct 20 not only of a session of Congress in either house 21 thereof, but also the orderly conduct within any such 22 building of a hearing before and deliberations of the 23

24

25

house thereof.

committee or subcommittee of the Congress in either

1	THE COURT: And so
2	MS. LIU: And if that's the language she would
3	like to add, we would join in her request because we do
4	want the elements to track the language of the statute.
5	THE COURT: Then why do I have this not
6	verbatim from the statute? That was the whole point
7	behind having this revised. Give me something that I
8	can read to the jury that tracks the statute.
9	If there is agreement on these issues, I don't
10	much care. But, you know, we need to be complete if
11	you're going to give me something to
12	MS. LIU: Your Honor,
13	MS. SASSOWER: My, my adviser, my attorney
14	adviser
15	THE COURT: Excuse me. Ms., Ms. Liu, you were
16	saying?
17	MS. LIU: I was just gonna say that I apologize
18	that I didn't realize that the third element did not
19	quite track the language of the statute until it was
20	pointed out to me by Ms. Sassower.
21	THE COURT: Very well.
22	MS. LIU: And with your permission, Your Honor,
23	I can go right now and make the necessary changes.
24	THE COURT: Right. Let's take a break until
25	four o'clock. We'll bring the jury back. I will give
	'

1	them their preliminary instructions, we can let them go
2	for the day.
3	MR. GOLDSTONE: Judge, I have one quick
4	question. When you read the proposed elements, do you
5	intend to read statutory cites and the caselaw cites?
6	THE COURT: No.
7	MR. GOLDSTONE: You just read the text
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	(Thereupon, the Court recessed at 3:40 PM.)
10	(Thereupon, the Court reconvened at 4:00 PM.)
11	MR. MENDELSOHN: Your Honor, may I approach,
12	hand up to the Court the government's newly proposed
13	elements of the offense?
14	THE COURT: All right. Is there any objection
15	to the proposed elements as now presented by the
16	government?
17	MS. SASSOWER: None, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Very well. Now, this is my
19	intention. During this preliminary instructions I will
20	read the opening sentence and the three elements.
21	I will not go on to describe what willingly or
22	knowingly or disorderly or disruptive means. That
23	information I will give during final instructions.
24	Does anyone have any, take any issue with that?
25	I'm willing to hear from you on that.

1	MS. LIU: No, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Very well. Ms. Sassower.
3	MS. SASSOWER: We want the whole elements to be
4	read.
5	THE COURT: Well, the
6	MS. SASSOWER: Minus the cites. You indicated
7	you will not read the cites.
. 8	THE COURT: I'm not reading the cites. But my
9	point is that for purposes of giving them the elements,
10	the actual elements of the offense are set forth in
11	items one, two and three.
12	The remaining items explain to the jury, and I
13	believe it's appropriate to explain at the end of the
14	case what those, how that proof is made.
15	I believe that it's inappropriate at the
16	beginning and perhaps even confusing to get into that
17	issue at this time.
18	And one of my preliminary instructions is that
19	I will give them further instructions on the elements.
20	I'll be talking to you in a little more detail at the
21	end of the trial about the offense.
22	But for now I just want you to understand the
23	essential elements that the government is required to
24	prove beyond a reasonable doubt. I think that that is

enough information to provide the jury at the outset of

1	the case.
2	MS. SASSOWER: I would respectfully request
3	THE COURT: Very well. Your
. 4	MS. SASSOWER: that the additional items
5	certainly - an act is willingly and knowingly if it
6	is an act is willingly and knowingly if it is done
7	voluntarily, purposefully and deliberately and with the
8	intent to violate the law.
. 9	THE COURT: Yes, I drafted that. I know how it
10	reads. The, you have stated your objection to my not
11	reading it at the outset of the case for the record.
12	Your record is preserved.
13	MS. SASSOWER: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: All right.
15	(Thereupon, the jury returned to the courtroom
16	at 4:06 PM.)
17	THE CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen, please rise
18	and raise your right hand.
19	(Thereupon the jury was sworn by the deputy
20	clerk.)
21	THE CLERK: You may be seated.
22	THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and
23	gentlemen. I previously introduced myself. I'm Judge
24	Brian Holeman. I preside over misdemeanor calendar one
25	in the criminal division of the Superior Court of the
	·

District of Columbia.

I'm the presiding judge in the matter of United States versus Elena Sassower, the case that you will hear and that you will ultimately decide.

Before we start the trial, I want to talk with you about how the trial will work and about some of the legal principles that are important in a trial.

The remarks that I give at this time will not be a substitute for the instructions that I will give at the end of the case before you begin your deliberations.

These instructions are preliminary and they're just intended to give you a feel for what will be going on in the courtroom, what your job will be, what my job will be and what the jobs of Mr. Mendelsohn, Ms. Liu, Ms. Sassower and Mr. Goldstone will be.

You have by now noticed that there are 14 of you sitting in the jury box. And many of you probably know that only 12 of you will retire to deliberate the case.

The reason that we have 14 jurors is because two of you are occupying alternate juror seats in the event that a regular juror becomes unavailable for some emergency or unexpected reason during the trial.

In some courtrooms, the alternate jurors are seated in the last two seats, 13 and 14 in the second

row. These are automatically alternates and everybody knows it from the beginning of the trial. I do it differently.

At the beginning of the trial, I randomly select alternate seats from among all of the jury seats so that any two seats might turn out to be alternates.

I will not disclose who the jurors in the alternate seats are until the end of the trial just before you retire to begin deliberations.

It is important that all of you think of yourselves as regular jurors in the case and that all of you give this case your fullest and your most careful attention.

Let me talk with you a little bit about this case, about some of the procedures that we will use and about some of the rules of law that will be important.

As you already know, this is a criminal case. It was begun by the United States, and the defendant in this case, Ms. Sassower, is charged in what is called an information. I'm going to read the information to you in a minute.

But before you I do that, I want you to understand what an information is and what it's not. You must understand that the information in this case is not evidence.

An information is only a legal word that is used for a formal document to charge a person with a crime in order to bring that person to trial.

· 10

You must not think of the information as any evidence of the guilt of the defendant and you may not draw any inference of guilt because the defendant has been formally charged.

Now let me tell you what the information charges in this case. It charges that on or about May 22nd, 2003, within the District of Columbia, Elena Sassower, willfully and knowingly engaged in disorderly and disruptive conduct upon the United States Capitol grounds or within any of the Capitol buildings with the intent to impede, disrupt and disturb the orderly conduct of a session of the Congress and either house thereof or the orderly conduct within any such building or hearing before and deliberations of a committee or subcommittee of the Congress and either house thereof.

Ladies and gentlemen, I want to talk with you about the essential elements of the offense charged, each of which the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt in this case.

I'll be talking with you in more detail at the end of the trial about the offense. But for now, I just want you to understand the essential elements that the

government is required to prove beyond a reasonable doubt.

They are: that the defendant uttered loud, threatening or abusive language or engaged in disorderly and disruptive conduct within any of the United States Capitol buildings.

and that at the time that she did so, she had the intent to impede or disrupt or disturb the orderly conduct of any session of Congress or either house thereof or the orderly conduct within any such Building of any hearing before or any deliberations of any committee or subcommittee of the Congress or either house thereof.

In telling you how this trial will proceed, I will be referring to the government and to the defendant.

When I refer to the government in this case, I am referring to Assistant United States Attorney, Aaron Mendelsohn or Jessie Liu. When I refer to the defendant in this case I'm referring to Ms. Sassower.

Now as I told you at the outset, Ms. Sassower has chosen to represent herself and that Mr. Goldstone is here to be of assistance to her.

I'll reiterate the status of that association.
Under the law, the defendant has the right after having

been advised of her right to counsel and after having been offered the assistance of counsel, to choose, to choose not to have counsel and to represent herself with the help of an attorney adviser.

Upon making that decision, the defendant is bound by the same rules applicable to attorneys. I am instructing you now that you are to draw no inference whatsoever from Ms. Sassower's decision to represent herself.

As the first step in the trial of this case, the government and the defendant will have the opportunities to make an opening statement. If the government makes an opening statement, it must do so at the beginning of its case.

The defendant may make an opening statement right after the opening statement of the government or the defendant may decide not to make an opening statement until the beginning of her case. Or, she may not make an opening statement at all, if that is her choice.

The opening statements of the lawyers and of Ms. Sassower, if she chooses to make one, are not evidence and they are not supposed to be arguments of the facts or law.

They simply are statements of what the lawyers

expect the evidence to be, and they are intended to help 1 you to understand the evidence that will be introduced. 2 After the opening statements, the government will introduce evidence in support of the charge 4 contained in the information. 5 6 After the government presents its evidence, Ms. Sassower may present evidence but she is not required to 7 do so because the law does not require a defendant to 8 prove her innocence or to produce any evidence. 9 During the testimony of witnesses, you 10 sometimes may hear a lawyer ask a question that contains 11 an assertion of fact. Let me give you an example. 12 Suppose a lawyer says the car was going 90 13 miles an hour, wasn't it, Mr. Smith? And the lawyer 14 states the question in such a way that you know the 15 lawyer believes the car was going 90 miles an hour. 16 17 Please remember that the lawyer's question, no matter how convince, convincing the lawyer sounds, is 18 not evidence in the case. It is only Mr. Smith's answer 19 that is the evidence. 20 21 So, if in response to the question the car was going 90 miles an hour, wasn't it, Mr. Smith? 22 Smith says no, there is no evidence that the car was going 90 miles an hour. And you cannot from any

inference from the way the lawyer asks the question that

23

24

25

there is such evidence.

Always remember this. It's the witness's answers that are the evidence. It is not the lawyer's questions. Let me explain one thing further about that kind of question because it will come up frequently during a trial.

When a lawyer poses a question in such a way as to tell the witness the answer that the lawyer wants, that question is called a leading question. Sometimes you will hear leading questions during the trial and I will sustain objections to them.

Other times you will hear leading questions without any objection. The reason is because sometimes the law permits leading questions, sometimes it does not.

A lawyer is not permitted to ask a leading question of a witness called by that same lawyer, because the law presumes that that witness will be favorably disposed toward that lawyer. And the lawyer therefore should not be able to suggest to the witness the answer the lawyer wants.

On the other hand, the law does permit a lawyer to ask a leading question when the lawyer is cross-examining a witness called by an opposing party, because the law presumes that such a witness will not be

predisposed to give the lawyer the answer the lawyer wants. And leading questions can speed up the trial.

At the end of all of the evidence, each party will have a chance to make oral arguments in support of its case.

The statements of the lawyers in their closing arguments, just as in their questions and in their opening statements, are not evidence in the case. They are only intended to help you understand the evidence and what each party claims that the evidence shows.

Finally, at the end of all of the evidence and the arguments for both sides, I will instruct you once more on the rules of law that you are to apply in your deliberations. Your verdict must be unanimous.

Now let me talk with you briefly about your job and my job, that is the functions of the jury and functions of the judge.

It is my job to conduct the trial of this case in an orderly, fair and efficient manner, to rule on questions of law that come up during the trial and to instruct you on the law that applies to this case.

It is your duty to accept the law as I state it to you and it is your job to determine the facts. You and only you, not me, are the judges of the facts.

You alone determine the weight, the effect and

the value of the evidence as well as the credibility of the witnesses. That is whether the witnesses are telling the truth.

You must consider and weigh the testimony of all of the witnesses who appeared before you in this case.

And you must pay very careful attention to that testimony because you will not have any transcripts or testimony available to you during deliberations.

You will have to rely on your memory and you will have the notes that you have taken during court. I want to emphasize that so that now you will remember it during the trial.

You alone are to decide whether to believe any witness and the extent to which any witness should be believed.

It is your job to resolve any conflicts in the testimony that might arise during the trial and to decide where that truth lies.

My actions during this trial in ruling on motions or objections by the lawyers, in comments to the lawyers, in questions to the witnesses, or in setting forth the law in these instructions are not to be taken by you as suggesting any opinion that I might have about how you should decide the facts.

Indeed, I try not to have an opinion about the facts in these matters. But if I should slip up or if one of you come to think that I have slipped up during the trial or that I have somehow hinted to you or suggested to you any opinion I might have as to the facts in this case, you should entirely disregard that. What the verdict shall be in this case is your sole and exclusive responsibility.

In this regard, let me say that there will frequently be occasions during this trial when lawyers will come up to the bench. You saw some of that earlier today. Either I'll ask them to come to the bench or they will ask to come to the bench.

And when they do so we'll have the husher on.

We will be talking up here quietly. And, of course, the reason that we have the husher on is because you are not supposed to hear what we're talking about. We're talking about the legal issues that are unrelated to your job.

Nevertheless, the, the acoustics being what they are in this courtroom, if you try, you'll be able to hear what's going on. So I will ask that you not try to hear what's going on during my bench conferences.

And, in fact, what I will suggest to you, if it is your preference to do so, is when I'm having a bench

conference talk among yourselves.

And when the lawyers step back to the table and we're ready to begin anew, you'll notice that. You can cease discussions and we can proceed.

The other thing that might happen while we're at the bench is that even if you're not listening, you will have your eyes open. You'll see, you'll see what's happening and you, and you will undoubtedly see me looking at one lawyer or the other.

You'll be able to tell from the expression on my face that I view quite favorably or unfavorably what one lawyer or the other is telling me about the matter we're discussing.

Please do not draw any inferences from what you perceive to be my reactions to those discussions.

Remember, at the bench we're only discussing legal issues. We're not discussing your job.

And so, just because I might disagree or agree with a particular lawyer on a particular legal issue, that should not be construed by you as suggesting any opinion that I might have about the facts of this case.

Now, you may consider only the evidence that is properly admitted in this case. That evidence undoubtedly will include the sworn testimony of witnesses. It will very likely include exhibits that

are received in evidence.

And in addition, it may include stipulations or matters about which the Court take judicial notice. If it includes those matters, I will define what those terms mean for you at the appropriate time during the trial.

If there is any reference by me or by the lawyers in the case to the evidence and that reference does not coincide with your memory of the evidence, again, it is your memory that should control during the deliberations.

Now during the trial, ladies and gentlemen, a lawyer on one side may object when the other lawyer offers argument, or evidence, or testimony that the objecting lawyer believes is not admissible.

You must understand that it is the job of a lawyer to object to testimony or evidence or argument. And that, you know, if she believes such evidence is improper or not admissible, you must not be prejudiced in anyway against that lawyer who makes objections.

The same holds true for any objection that might be made by Ms. Sassower, in that she represents herself.

Indeed, in these circumstances, if a lawyer or Ms. Sassower did not object to argument or evidence that

the lawyer thought to be improper, the lawyers, in this case, Ms. Liu and Mr. Mendelsohn, and Ms. Sassower, would not be doing their job.

And if during the trial I sustain an objection by one lawyer to a question asked by the other lawyer, then you are to disregard the question and you must not speculate or guess as to what the answer would have been.

Every defendant in a criminal case is presumed to be innocent. And this presumption of innocence remains with the defendant throughout the trial unless and until she is proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

The burden is on the government to prove the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and that burden of proof never shifts throughout the trial. The law doesn't require that a defendant prove her innocence or to produce any evidence.

If you find that the government has proved beyond a reasonable doubt every element of an offense with which the defendant is charged, then it is your duty to find the defendant guilty of that offense.

On the other hand, if you find that the government has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt any element of an offense with which the defendant is

charged, then you must find the defendant not guilty of 1 that offense. I specifically caution you not to permit the character of the charge itself in this case to affect your minds in arriving at your verdict. In the final analysis, it is your job to decide this case without prejudice, without fear, without sympathy and without favor. You decide this case based only on a fair consideration of the evidence presented here in the courtroom. It is for that reason that you must completely disregard any press, television or radio reports that you might read, see or hear about this matter. And if any such reports should come to your attention, it is your sworn duty as a juror to put them aside and to direct your attention elsewhere. I will follow-up on that. I would appreciate and would expect that you would not view local news for the period of time that you are sitting in this case. I would also expect that you not read local newspapers while you are sitting in this case. We will make available to you in the juryroom New York Times,

2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this area.

But due to the fact that there may be some

USA Today, those newspapers that are not local to this,

media account of this event and its potential for prejudice, I'm asking you not to avail yourself of local news broadcast, whether television or radio or the local newspapers.

It is also for this reason of potential prejudice that you not discuss this case with anyone until it is submitted to you at the end of my final instructions.

You must not discuss it with the parties to the case, with the witnesses to the case, with the lawyers, with Ms. Sassower or with anyone connected with the case.

You can't even talk with your fellow jurors, friends or members of your family about this matter. And while the trial is in progress, it is a good idea from even having any communication with the lawyers, the parties and the witnesses about anything because then you can avoid even the appearance that you might be discussing something improper.

Let me just tell you that you will see, during the course of your comings and goings, you will see me walking the corridors. You will see Mr. Mendelsohn, Ms. Liu, Ms. Sassower, Mr. Goldstone.

Under any other circumstance, you might give a greeting, you might exchange pleasantries about the day.

While you're sitting as a juror, you are to engage in none of that.

That way, no one can ever say that you were improperly exchanging information with any parties in the case. And that includes me as well.

I will see you coming and going. And unfortunately during the course of this case, I will not be able to communicate with you in anyway except when you're in this room.

So please be mindful of that. No one means you any ill feeling or ill will, that is simply the way that it has to be during the pendency of the trial.

After the case is submitted to you, you may discuss it only when I so instruct you and only in the juryroom and in the presence of all of your fellow jurors.

I cannot overemphasize how important this instruction is about not talking about the case. If it's brought to my attention during the trial that any juror has discussed this case with either other jurors or with other persons, then I have no choice but to end the trial at that point and to start it all over again with an entirely new jury.

Now, if at anytime during the trial anyone tries to talk with you about this case, you simply

"CORRECTED PAGE"

refuse to do so and let me know immediately. Either by directly telling me or by telling my courtroom clerk whom you've already met, Ms. Karen Franklin.

Do not tell your fellow jurors or anyone else if anyone tries to talk with you about this case. Just let Ms. Franklin or me know as soon as possible.

In addition, and again because you're required to judge this case based only on the evidence presented in the courtroom, at no time should any of you ever attempt to visit the location of any of the events that are described in the testimony in this case.

Finally, at the beginning of jury selection there were names of witnesses that were given to you.

I want to emphasize to you that if at any time during this trial you suddenly think that you recognize or might know a party, a witness, a lawyer, someone referred to in the testimony or the evidence, or anyone connected with this case in any way, you should not tell any other member of the jury.

However, you should immediately let Ms.

Franklin know about it as soon as you realize it. If it happens while testimony is occurring, you should raise your hand immediately so that I can have you come to the bench and we can discuss it.

Ladies and gentlemen, it is important that you

1	keep an open mind during this trial. It's important
2	that you not decide any issue in this case until the
3	entire case is submitted to you with my final
4	instructions.
5	I appreciate your attention, your patience for
6	these lengthy remarks. And I hope you find that they
7	had been helpful as you now listen to the rest of the
8	case commencing tomorrow morning.
9	I would like to have everyone here and ready to
10	go by 9:45. And we should begin at that time with
11	opening statements and then the government's case. Very
12	well.
13	(Thereupon, the jury was excused at 4:35 p.m.
14	THE COURT: Very well. Any other matters
15	before we, any other matters before we adjourn for the
16	day?
17	MS. LIU: Your Honor, one very brief matter,
18	which is
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	MS. LIU: that when you read the information
21	to the jury, I believe you read the unamended version of
22	the information,.
23	THE COURT: Why don't you draft, set forth for
24	me in writing the, the information as amended, and
25	tomorrow morning before we begin I'll read that to them.

1	MS. LIU: Very well, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right. Anything further? Very
3	well, we are adjourned until tomorrow . I've asked them
4	to be here 9:45. I think that your witnesses coming in
5	at 10 is, is fine because we're gonna have to have
6	openings.
7	And it's been my experience that if you tell
8	them 9:45 and not everyone is here at that time, so
9	we'll start a little bit after that. So be ready to go
10	tomorrow morning. Very well, thank you.
11	MS. LIU: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	(Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at 4:40
13	p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	