State of New York, Court of Appeals

At a sessi	ion of the C	ourt,	held	at Co	ourt of
Appe	als Hall in	n the	City	of A	llbany
on the	t.en.t.h				day
of	Septem	ъer	A	. D.	19 _{9 1}

Present, HON. SOL WACHTLER, Chief Judge, presiding.

Mo. No. 890 In the Matter of Doris L. Sassower, An Attorney and Counselor-at-Law.

Grievance Committee for the Ninth Judicial District, Respondent,

Doris L. Sassower,

Appellant.

A motion for leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals and to seal records and for a stay in the above cause having heretofore been made upon the part of the appellant herein and papers having been submitted thereon and due deliberation having been thereupon had, it is

ORDERED, that the said motion for leave to appeal be and the same hereby is denied; and it is

ORDERED, that the said motion to seal records be and the same hereby is denied; and it is

ORDERED, that the said motion for a stay be and the same hereby is dismissed as academic.

> I would in . Theren Donald M. Sheraw Clerk of the Court

State of Acw York, Court of Appeals

At a session of the Court, held at Court of
Appeals Hall in the City of Albany
on the eighteenth day
of November A. D. 1992

Present, Hon. RICHARD D. SIMONS, Acting Chief Judge, presiding.

Mo. No. 1208 SSD 99
In the Matter of Doris L.
Sassower, a Suspended Attorney.
Grievance Committee for the Ninth
Judicial District,
Respondent,

Doris L. Sassower,

Appellant.

The appellant having filed notice of appeal in the above title and due consideration having been thereupon had, it is

ORDERED, that the appeal be and the same hereby is dismissed without costs, by the Court <u>sua sponte</u>, upon the ground that the order appealed from does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution.

Donald M. Sheraw Clerk of the Court

Ex "J-2"

State of New York, Court of Appeals

At a session of the Court,	held at Court of
Appeals Hall in the	City of Albany
on the	day
of	1994

Present, HON. JUDITH S. KAYE, Chief Judge, presiding.

Mo. No. 529 SSD In the Matter of Doris L. Sassower,

Appellant,

Guy James Mangano, &c., et al., Respondents.

The appellant having filed notice of appeal in the above title and due consideration having been thereupon had, it is

ORDERED, that the appeal, insofar as it is taken from that part of the Appellate Division order that denied petitioner's cross motion, be and the same hereby is dismissed without costs, by the Court sua sponte, upon the ground that that part of the order does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution; and it is

ORDERED, that the appeal, insofar as it is taken from the remainder of the Appellate Division order, be and the same hereby is dismissed without costs, by the Court sua sponte, upon the ground that no substantial constitutional question is directly involved.

Judges Levine and Ciparick took no part.

Clerk of the Court

State of New York, Court of Appeals

At a session of the Court, held at Court of Appeals Hall in the City of Albany on the day of....September

Present, HON. JUDITH S. KAYE, Chief Judge, presiding.

2-11 Mo. No. 993 In the Matter of Doris L. Sassower,

Appellant,

Guy James Mangano, &c., et al., Respondents.

A motion for reconsideration of this Court's May 12, 1994 order of dismissal of appeal and a motion for leave to appeal to the Court of Appeals &c. in the above cause having heretofore been made herein upon the part of the appellant, papers having been submitted thereon and due deliberation having been thereupon had, it is

ORDERED, that the said motion for reconsideration of this Court's May 12, 1994 order of dismissal be and the same hereby is denied; and it is

ORDERED, that the said motion, insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from so much of the Appellate Division order as denied petitioner's cross motion, be and the same hereby is dismissed upon the ground that that part of the order does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution; and it is

ORDERED, that the said motion for leave to appeal &c. otherwise be and the same hereby is denied. Judges Levine and Ciparick took no part.