NEW YORK STATE ASSEMBLY

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

PUBLIC HEARING

ON

COMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

State Office Building
Tuesday, September 22, 1987
10:00 a.m.

EN-DE REPORTING SERVICES, LTD.

Court Reporters - Notaries 132 Nassau Street New York City

WOrth 2-2961

116 ex *H

permitted this thing to go before the judge when they should have stopped it right in the beginning. There was no action in the court but the judges went right along with it and I called their attention it, but they kept going.

right, can you provide us -- I think it's impossible within the time we have here to examine the details of your case, but if you provide us with a copy of your file, we will review what action was taken and see whether we think it's appropriate. Did the Commission on Judicial Conduct get this matter before it?

MR. KUHNLE: Yes, and I have a letter here from Mr. Lawrence, and I don't think he appeared here today.

ASSEMBLYMAN KOPPELL: He didn't speak.

MR. KUHNLE: No, I don't know him by sight. Lo and behold, on July 24th I received a letter, "The State Commission on Judicial Conduct has reviewed your

letters of complaint concerning several judges." You might think this is the root of this complaint, but it's not. "The Commission has asked me to advise you that it has dismissed the complaint. Upon careful consideration, the Commission concluded that there was no indication of judicial misconduct upon which to base an investigation of the judges." In other words, they didn't do anything.

"The Commission is not a court of law and does not have appellate authority to review the merits of matters within the judge's discretion, such as the rulings and decisions in a particular case." I'm well aware of that.

ASSEMBLYMAN KOPPELL: I think what they're saying is that your complaint is really that the judge misapplied the law and the judge misapplying the law is not within the jurisdiction of the Commission.

MR. KUHNLE: That might be so, but you see, the case -- it should not have even been before the court, because,

ASSEMBLYMAN KOPPELL: But with all respects, and this is a complicated subject, but the proper redress is an appeal.

MR. KUHNLE: Of course, which will be followed. Now, I wrote a letter after receiving this letter from Mr.

Lawrence, I wrote a letter to him in Albany, having been up there and nobody was there, "Dear Mr. Lawrence, Received the enclosed letter from your office and I am hereby requesting the following information. I made a visit to your office on Thursday, July 30th, 1987, was informed that you were on vacation:

- 1. On what date did the Commission on Judicial Conduct meet to come to the conclusion expressed in your letter to me dated July 24, 1987.
- 2. At what location did this meeting take place and room designation.
- 3. Of the eleven members on this Commission, how many of them took part

2 in the evaluation of my complaints? 3 Please name the members of the Commission who physically took part and arrived at the conclusion expressed in 6 your letter of July 24th, 1987. 7 5. Were there any Commission 8 members who disagreed with the conclusion 9 expressed in your letter? 10 6. What members were on vacation and not present at the meeting, if 11 12 there was one? 13 7. What is the official 14 title of Mr. John McBridge, assigned to your office in Albany, New York." 15 Since then I found out Mr. 16 17 McBride is an investigator. 18 "Your prompt response will be 19 appreciated." I received a letter from Mr. 20 Lawrence, and that will be the end of my 21 presentation. It's dated August 27th. 22 "This is in response to your letter of 23 August 3rd, 1987, concerning the Commission's position of your complaint. 25

Your complaint was considered by the Commission on July 17, 1987 at its New York office. Mrs. Rowe, Mr. Bohwah and Mr. Bromberg did not participate. To the best of my knowledge, Mr. McBride was the investigator.

The Commission records are confidential pursuant to Section 45 of the Judiciary Law, and I am unable to provide any other information that you seek."

Now, before I finish, my

complaint was against a justice in the Town

of Liberty. I have my gut feeling about

him and I did a lot of research. I just

discovered he was not born in the U.S.A.

He didn't declare how he got his citizen
ship, if he ever has one, and he was

sitting on a bench of justice. That's

through an investigation by the County

Attorney up in Sullivan County.

One Supreme Court Judge, the resident judge of Sullivan County, has disqualified himself from sitting on any case that comes before him in the County of