TABLE OF CONTENTS

	ry Statement 1
Questions	Presented 3
Statement	of Facts 4
Point I	THE CROSS-ENDORSEMENTS CONTRACT IN ISSUE IS AN INVIDIOUS VIOLATION OF THE NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION, THE ELECTION LAW OF NEW YORK STATE, AND THE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND COURT RULES RELATIVE THERETO. AS SUCH, IT IS ILLEGAL, VOID, AND AGAINST PUBLIC POLICY
Point II.	THE LOWER COURT ERRONEOUSLY HELD AS A MATTER OF LAW THAT THE PETITION FAILS TO STATE A CAUSE OF ACTION
Point III.	THE PETITIONERS HAVE STANDING TO BRING THE INSTANT PROCEEDING
Point IV.	PETITIONERS HAVE JOINED ALL PARTIES NECESSARY FOR THE RELIEF THEY SEEK
Point V	THE RESPONDENT JUDICIAL NOMINEES, AS INTEGRAL PARTIES TO THIS ILLEGAL CONTRACT, SHOULD BE DISQUALIFIED AS JUDICIAL CANDIDATES IN THE UPCOMING ELECTION
Point VI	LACHES IS NOT A DEFENSE TO THE INSTANT PETITION
Conclusion	130