

GEORGE SASSOWER

16 LAKE STREET
WHITE PLAINS, N. Y. 10603

914-949-2169

January 8, 1990

Elaine B. Goldsmith, Esq.
Clerk, Court of Appeals: Second Circuit
Foley Square
New York, N.Y. 10007

Re: Charge of Judicial Misconduct
Circuit Judge George C. Pratt
Docket # 90-8560

Dear Ms. Goldsmith,

1a. I hereby petition the judicial council for review of the Order of Acting Chief Judge THOMAS J. MESKILL dated December 10, 1990 in the above matter.

b. Nothing herein should be construed as limiting the facts or assertions, conservatively set forth, in my filed complaint.

2a. The factual material, set forth in my documented complaint is clear, decisive and uncontroverted which simply cannot be dismissed by Judge Meskill or any other person, by the unadorned statement that they are "unfounded".

b. Since responsible members of the media and public interests groups have independently investigated and confirmed the facts asserted, the "unfounded" statement by Judge Meskill applies to them as well.

3a. If the factual matter set forth in my complaint, as recited in the Judge Pratt published opinion, were not false, contrived, fabricated and concocted, Judge Meskill should have been able to find a scintilla of support in the Record on Appeal -- he could not.

b. Before numerous tribunals and judges, Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs., have been challenged to produce any evidence, in or out, of the Record on Appeal, to support the Judge Pratt contrived assertions. In each and every instance, without exceptions, they could not.

c. Neither have members of media, who have examined the Record, found any support for the Judge Pratt concocted statements.

Elaine B. Goldsmith, Esq.

2

January 8, 1991

d. Needless to say, Magistrate Gershon, after a judicial admission by the Feltman firm, factually found to the contrary.

4a. Are the cancelled checks, annexed to my complaint, given by Hyman Raffae, in order not to be incarcerated under a mirrored Report by Referee Donald Diamond, also an "unfounded" assertion?

b. The payment of vast sums of monies to private persons, on a continuing basis, is a criminal abomination of the first magnitude. Judge Meskill's opinion and inaction compels the conclusion of his personal approval for the continuation of such extortion payments.

c. Inaction by the Judicial Council will irresistibly compel the conclusion that they also assent to the continuation of such extortion payments.

5a. The "final accounting" thereafter "approved" by Referee Donald Diamond for the judicial trust assets of Puccini Clothes, Ltd. did, and does, not exist.

b. The entourage of Judge Pratt unlawfully diverted all of Puccini's assets to themselves and towards that end corrupted members of the judiciary, state and federal.

c. Here again, the matter has been investigated by members of the media and responsible public interests groups, and the "unfounded" response, will not suffice.

6. Where I have been barred from access to the courts for relief, as stated by Judge Meskill, the normal adjudication process obviously does not exist. Consequently, In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct (685 F.2d 1226 [9th Cir.-1982]) is clearly inapplicable at bar.

7a. The charge that Judge Pratt "has employed his judicial exalted position to knowingly advance a criminal adventure involving the larceny of judicial trust assets, diversion of governmental assets to private pockets, criminal extortion and other racketeering crimes" has in no way been refuted by Judge Meskill.

b. The inescapable logic of Judge Meskill's opinion is that given the facts involved in the matter of Chief Judge Martin T. Manton, as presented in his criminal prosecution, would have resulted in a similar opinion by Judge Meskill.

Most Respectfully,

GEORGE SASSOWER