

GEORGE SASSOWER
Attorney-at-Law
10 Stewart Place
White Plains, NY 10603-3856
(914) 681-7196

February 15, 2011

New York State Judicial Institute
Ethics Commission
84 North Broadway,
White Plains, New York 10603

Re: Jonathan Lippman
Chief Administrative Judge, Office of Court Administration

Sir:

1. While your office was, as mandated by law, compelling all judges to report all their income, Chief Administrative Judge *Jonathan Lippman*, by all indications, was not reporting his income resulting from being *unconstitutionally* defended in the NY State & Federal courts by the NY State Attorney General, at *unconstitutional* NY State cost & expense, in manifest violation of Article XIII §7 of the *New York State Constitution* and Amendment XI of the *Constitution of the Constitution of the United States* (*Hans v. Louisiana*, 134 U.S. 1 [1890]) (see, Letter of January 18, 2011).

Yours,

GEORGE SASSOWER

cc: Chief Judge of the State of New York Jonathan Lippman
NYS Commission on on Judicial Conduct 61 Broadway, NY, NY 10006
U.S. Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC, 20224
NY State Department of Taxation & Finance, Investigation & Criminal Enforcement,
1740 Broadway, NY, NY 10019

GEORGE SASSOWER
Attorney-at-Law
10 Stewart Place
White Plains, NY 10603-3856
(914) 681-7196

January 18, 2011

Jonathan Lippman
Chief Judge, Court of Appeals
30 Eagle Street,
Albany, New York, 12207

For Public Distribution

Sir,

1. In the State & Federal Courts in White Plains, Westchester County, you were being sued & defended in your "*personal capacities*" by Assistant NY State Attorney Generals *Katherine E. Timon & Rachel Zaffrann* at *unconstitutional* NY State cost & expense, although prohibited by Article XIII §7 of the *New York State Constitution*.

In the Federal Court, such representation *also* violated Amendment XI of the *Constitution of the Constitution of the United States* (*Hans v. Louisiana*, 134 U.S. 1 [1890]).

Your *personal* obligation is to *reimburse* the *State of New York & County of Westchester* for such *unconstitutional* expenditures has never been questioned and is here publicly demanded!

2. This fraud on the NY State treasury was compounded by the fact that at *all* times, without *any* exception, Assistant NY State Attorney Generals *Katherine E. Timon & Rachel Zaffrann* comported themselves to serve & advance the interests of *Citibank, N.A.* and its entourage, which invariably were adverse to the interests to the *State of New York* and County of Westchester (see, *Citibank v. Geo. Sassower*, Supreme, Westchester Docket #4818-04).

3. The *undenied* Notice to Admit, dated & served on you & your attorney, on July 7, 2004, reads in full, as follows (*Geo. Sassower v. Westchester County Dept.*, Sup., West., Docket #0780-04):

"Part "A":

1. The defendant, ***Jonathan Lippman***, Esq. is aware that he is being sued in this action, in his personal capacity, for money damages, for conduct adverse to the legitimate interests of New York State and his official office.

2. The defendant, ***Jonathan Lippman*** Esq., is aware that in this personal capacity action, he is being defended by Assistant NY State Attorney General Rachel Zaffrann, at unauthorized NY State cost and expense.

3. The defendant, ***Jonathan Lippman*** Esq., is aware that the NY State Attorney General and his office was and is intending to "cook" their official books and records in order to conceal from NY State fiscal authorities, including the NY State legislature, that unlawful expenditures have been and are being made.

Part "B":

1. There is no "final accounting" for the judicial trust assets of ***Puccini Clothes, Ltd.***, an involuntarily dissolved New York corporation (*cf.* 22 *NYCRR* §202.52[e]).

2. There is no judgment or final order terminating the Puccini judicial trust proceedings.

3. There is no order discharging ***Lee Feltman***, Esq., the court-appointed receiver for Puccini.

4. There is no order discharging ***Fidelity & Deposit Company of Maryland***, Feltman's surety.

Part "C":

1. There are none of the mandatory *Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements by Acting NY Supreme Court, now NY Associate Appellate Justice, **David B. Saxe** of the NY Appellate Division, First Department, or by Special Referee **Donald Diamond** or by anyone else for the Puccini judicial trust.

2. Mr. Justice Saxe and Special Referee Diamond dissipated Puccini's judicial trust assets, and other assets, as "*bribes*" for judges, officials and others.

3. The compelled filing of *Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements by Judge Saxe and Referee Diamond would compel restitution to Puccini for these diverted assets.

4. Neither Chief Administrator **Jonathan Lippman**, nor any of his predecessors in office, have made any effort to compel the filings of *Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements by Judge Saxe or Referee Diamond.

Part "D":

1. There are none of the mandatory *Judiciary Law* §35-a Statements by Acting Surrogate, now Supreme Court Justice, **Burton Joseph** for awards made from the *Estate of Eugene Paul Kelly, deceased* whose almost exclusive beneficiaries were three (3) motherless infants.

2. The State of New York is the *parens patriae* for these three (3) motherless infants."

4A. The *undenied & uncontroverted* allegation of my complaint in *Geo. Sassower v. Starr* (338 B.R. 212 [SDNY - 2005])), reads as follows:

" 1. Plaintiff is a born American citizen, a battle-starred veteran of World War II, and is entitled to all rights, privileges and immunities provided in Constitution and Laws of the United States. ...

3A. Insofar as the defendants may be judges, officials and/or employees of state government, they are here being sued in their "personal", *not* "official", capacities.

B. There is nothing in this complaint which seeks to obtain any money damages, compensatory or punitive, against the state governments, or seeks to impose the cost of any defense representation on any state governments,

4. Insofar as the defendants may be New York State judges, officials and/or employees, in view of Amendment XI of the *Constitution of the United States*, as interpreted in *Hans v. Louisiana* (*Hans v. Louisiana*, 134 U.S. 1 [1890]), there can be no question that they are being sued in their "personal" capacities and must be defended by non-NY State attorneys, at non-NY State cost and expense."

B. Notwithstanding the aforementioned uncontroverted allegations, openly flaunted in "hard published print", the title page reads:

"Attorneys for State Defendants, *Eliot Spitzer, Francis T. Murphy*, and *Jonathan Lippman*: [by their attorney] *Katherine E. Timon*, Esq., Assistant Attorney General of the State of New York".

C. *Only* the most arrogant would dragoon NY State defense representation under the aforementioned allegations, and only the most incredibly stupid jurist would publish such fraud in "hard published print:

5. I expect, by return mail, an unequivocal commitment by you to expeditiously *reimburse* the *State of New York & County of Westchester*.

Yours,

GEORGE SASSOWER