

U.S. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

-----x
In re:

Docket No.

GEORGE SASSOWER,
Petitioner,
For A Writ of Prohibition.
-----x

Petitioner, as and for his petition herein, prays that
this Honorable Court enter an Order:

1. Prohibiting (a) the U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO; (b) U.S. District Court Judge WALTER
H. RICE; and (c) U.S. Magistrate Judge MICHAEL R. MERZ--
hereinafter the "respondents", from recognizing the
representation of U.S. Attorney D. MICHAEL CRITES ["Crites"] or
any federal attorney, representing five (5) corrupt federal
jurists, at federal cost and expense, whether with or without an
Attorney General's "scope" certificate.

2a. These five (5) federal jurists, James L. Oakes,
George C. Pratt, Charles L. Brieant, Eugene H. Nickerson, William
C. Conner and Gerard L. Goettel, are involved in a criminal
racketeering activities, contrary to federal sovereign
interests, which activities include the larceny of judicial
trust assets; diverting monies payable "to the federal court" to
private pockets; extortion; obstruction of justice; defrauding
the federal government and other racketeering activities.

b. On Monday, January 27, 1992, without affording
petitioner any due process, U.S. Magistrate Judge MICHAEL R. MERZ
["Merz"], approved an application of Friday, January 24, 1992, by
the office of U.S. Attorney Crites.

c. Such application expressly acknowledged that the aforementioned jurists are being "sued in their individual capacities".

3. This matter is clearly one of public and taxpayer concern, consequently copies of this petition, with additional information, is being distributed to the media and public interest groups.

4a. Some of these serious charges against the aforementioned corrupt federal jurists can be easily be confirmed by requesting the Clerk of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, Elaine B. Goldsmith, Esq., to produce the Record and related filed papers in Sassower v. Sheriff (824 F.2d 184 [2d Cir.-1987]) and/or demand that the "final accounting" that was "approved" by Referee DONALD DIAMOND ["Diamond"] be produced.

b. A request was made, under pains of contempt, in petitioner's petition of January 3, 1992, for the production of the record of Sassower v. Sheriff (supra), and as far as affirmant knows such request has not been honored.

5. There are a number of sub-enterprises employed by these corrupt jurists in their criminal racketeering adventure.

6. Under Docket No. CCA6-92-8301, which is incorporated in this proceeding by reference, it is manifestly obvious that these corrupt jurists have employed the publishing facilities of WEST PUBLISHING COMPANY ["West"] and MEAD DATA CENTRAL, INC. ["Lexis"] to conceal and advance their criminal activities.

7a(1) Another aspect, or sub-enterprise, of the criminal activities of these corrupt federal judges has been to dragoon the services of federal attorneys, at federal expense, to defend them in civil litigation.

(2) Dragooning federal attorneys to represent them in the mirrored civil tort litigation effectively immunizes them from criminal sanctions.

(3) Certainly, federal attorneys are not going to indict for criminal conduct, the clients that they are defending in civil litigation.

b(1) Federal representation at federal cost and expense is dependent on activities "within the scope of his office or employment at the time of the incident out of which the claim arose" (28 U.S.C. 2679[d]).

(2) Federal judges who are engaged in diverting monies payable "to the federal court" to the private pockets of their cronies, who are also engaged in the larceny of judicial trust assets, is not "scope" activities.

(3) The American taxpayer is entitled to be outraged when federal attorneys, at federal cost and expense, represent judges who are diverting monies payable to the federal government.

8a. To say more would be supererogatory.

b. Affirmant declares under penalty of perjury that the aforementioned is true and correct.

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully prayed the foregoing
petition be granted in all respects.

Dated: January 31, 1992




GEORGE SASSOWER [GS-0512]
Petitioner, pro se.
16 Lake Street,
White Plains, N.Y. 10603
914-949-2169

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

On February 1, 1992 I served a true copy of this Petition by mailing same in a sealed envelope, first class, with proper postage thereon, addressed to U.S. District Judge Walter H. Rice and U.S. Magistrate Michael R. Merz, U.S. District Court Southern District of Ohio, 200 West 2nd Street, P.O. Box 970, Mid-City Station, Dayton, Ohio 45402; James L. Oakes, Box 696, Brattleboro, Vermont 05301; George C. Pratt, U.S. Courthouse, Uniondale, N.Y. 11553; William C. Conner, U.S. District Court, Foley Square, New York, N.Y. 10007; Charles L. Brieant and Gerard L. Goettel, U.S. District Court, 101 East Post Road, White Plains, N.Y. 10601; U.S. Attorney D. Michael Crites, U.S. Courthouse, 85 Marconi Blvd., Columbus, Ohio 43215; Thompson, Hine and Flory, Esqs., 2000 Courthouse Plaza N.E., P.O. Box 8801, Dayton, Ohio 45401-8801; Kreindler & Relkin, P.C., 350 Fifth Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10118; Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs., 152 West 57th Street, New York, New York 10019; Francis T. Murphy, Appellate Division, First Department, 25th Street & Madison Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10010; Lawrence J. Glynn, Esq., 2 William Street, White Plains, N.Y. 10603; Young & Alexander, Co. L.P.A., P.O. Box 668 Mid-City Station, 367 West Second Street, Dayton, Ohio 45402-0666; Bogin & Patterson, Esqs., 131 North Ludlow Street, Dayton, Ohio 4502-1737; and Ass't N.Y. State Atty. Gen. David B. Robert, The Capitol, Albany, New York 12224, that being their last known addresses.

Dated: February 1, 1992



GEORGE SASSOWER [GS-0521]
Plaintiff, pro se
16 Lake Street,
White Plains, N.Y. 10603