

C

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available.

United States District Court, S.D. New York.
UNITED STATES of America for the benefit of George
Sassower, and George
Sassower, Plaintiff,

v.

Jeffrey L. SAPIR, Harold Jones, Howard Schwartzberg, Ira
Postel, Kreindler &
Relkin, P.C., Jerome H. Barr, Citibank, N.A., Lee Feltman,
Feltman, Karesh,
Major & Farbman, "Z Surety Company," and "Y Surety
Company," names fictitious
but intended to be those who have executed bonds to insure
the faithful
performances of Jeffrey L. Sapir and Harold Jones, and
Hon. Edwin Meese, III,
as Attorney General of the United States, Defendant.
No. 87 Civ. 7135 (CSH).

Nov. 25, 1987.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER
HAIGHT, District Judge:

*1 I currently have before me in this action four motions made by plaintiff George Sassower: (1) to compel Bankruptcy Judge Howard Schwartzberg and Jeffrey L. Sapir, Esq. "to give obedience to 18 U.S.C. § 3057(a)," dated October 8, 1987; (2) to compel defendants Sapir and Harold Jones "to disclose the identity of their fidelity companies," etc., dated October 24, 1987; (3) to compel defendant Sapir "to liquidate the judgment ... in favor of plaintiff against Puccini Clothes, Ltd.," etc., dated November 4, 1987; and (4) for a writ of mandamus, etc., dated November 15, 1987. I also have before me, under date of November 12, 1987, a letter from plaintiff requesting that Motion Number 3 be held in abeyance pending the outcome of certain events in the bankruptcy court.

Defendants have also submitted motions. Defendants Kreindler & Relkin, P.C., Jerome H. Barr, Citibank, N.A., Lee Feltman, and Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman have all joined in a motion to dismiss, dated October 26, 1987.

Defendant Ira Postel has joined that motion by filing a separate Notice of Motion dated November 2, 1987. Defendant Jeffrey L. Sapir has filed a separate motion to dismiss dated October 26, 1987.

Among other things the motion papers so far filed by defendants allege that plaintiff's action was served and filed in violation of a permanent injunction issued by District Judge Conner in Raffe v. Doe, 619 F.Supp. 891, 898 (S.D.N.Y.1985), *app. dismissed*, Nos. 85-7963, 7965, 7967, 7969 (2d Cir. March 18, 1986). On the face of it, Judge Conner's injunction appears to enjoin plaintiff from initiating or otherwise participating in any litigation against certain defendants "in any federal court or tribunal."

If Judge Conner's injunction does in fact bar the prosecution of this suit by plaintiff, there is no purpose in requiring defendants to respond to plaintiff's motions before a final determination can be made on their motions to dismiss. It is therefore

ORDERED, that all motions filed to date by plaintiff Sassower are hereby held in abeyance until a final determination on defendants' motions to dismiss is made by this Court; and it is further

ORDERED, that plaintiff is hereby enjoined from filing any further motions in this proceeding until a final determination on defendants' motions to dismiss is made; and it is further

ORDERED, that any defendants who have not filed motions to dismiss but who wish to be heard on issues raised by motions currently filed by other defendants must file papers by December 1, 1987; and it is further

ORDERED, that the return date for plaintiff's response to defendants' motions to dismiss is hereby extended from November 18, 1987 to December 1, 1987.

The foregoing is SO ORDERED.

Not Reported in F.Supp., 1987 WL 26596 (S.D.N.Y.)

END OF DOCUMENT