

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
GEORGE SASSOWER,

Petitioner-Plaintiff,

-against-

Hon. A. FRANKLIN MAHONEY, as Presiding Justice;
WILFRED FEINBERG; EUGENE H. NICKERSON; WILLIAM
C. CONNER; FRANCIS T. MURPHY; MILTON MOLLEN;
XAVIER C. RICCOBONO; ALVIN F. KLEIN; DAVID S. SAXE;
IRA GAMMERMAN; Hon. ALLAN L. WINICK; DENIS
DILLON; ROBERT ABRAMS; ANTHONY MASTROIANNI;
and The DISTRICT COURT OF NASSAU COUNTY.

Respondents-Defendants.

88-Civ-0563

[TJM]

ORDER

-----X
On reading & filing the annexed affirmation of *Geo. Sassower*, Esq., dated May 22, 2012, with notice and opportunity, by June 6, 2012, to deny, controvert, or explain the allegations made herein and/or to oppose the relief requested; and it:

APPEARS, in this proceeding-action, which revolves around "*The Citibank Bribes for Total Immunity Enterprise*" [*"The Enterprise"*], the federal money damage tort defendants, *Wilfred Feinberg*, *Eugene H. Nickerson* & *William C. Conner*, all Article III federal jurists, were represented, in their own names, in their "*personal capacities*" and where no 28 U.S.C. §2675[a] "notice of claim" existed by U.S. Attorney *Frederick J. Scullin, Jr.*, at *unauthorized* federal cost & expense; and it further

APPEARS that the New York State money damage tort defendants were represented in their "*personal capacities*" by New York State Attorney General *Robert Abrams* at *unconstitutional* New York State cost & expense; and it further

APPEARS, that at all times, under every circumstance, U.S. Attorney *Frederick J. Scullin, Jr.* & New York State Attorney General *Robert Abrams* always comported themselves to serve the desired interests of "*The Enterprise*", although invariably adverse to the legitimate interests of their "exclusive" clients, the United States & State of New York; and it further

APPEARS that neither Associate Supreme Court Justices *Antonin Scalia* nor *Anthony M. Kennedy*, although challenged, showed, or could show, that the dispositions made in the U.S. District Court, in this action, *to wit.*, *Geo. Sassower v. Mahoney* (88 Civ 0563 [NDNY-CGC]) was valid; and it further

APPEARS, that Chief Justice of the United States, *John G. Roberts* although also challenged, produced a single jurist of the Supreme Court of the United States, past or present, who showed, or could show, that the dispositions made in the U.S. District Court in *Geo. Sassower v. Mahoney* (*supra*) was valid; and it further

APPEARS that the challenge is here publicly made that there is not a single Article III federal jurist in the United States who can show that *Geo. Sassower v. Mahoney* (*supra*) is valid; and due deliberation having been had thereon, it is

ORDERED all proceedings in this Court, in this proceeding & action, on & after the *unauthorized & unconstitutional* appearances of U.S. Attorney *Frederick J. Scullin, Jr.* and/or the New York State Attorney General *Robert Abrams*, shall be deemed to be “null & void”; and it is further

ORDERED, that U.S. Attorney, now U.S. District Court Judge, *Frederick J. Scullin, Jr.*, shall forthwith “reimburse” the United States for the *unauthorized* services and disbursements made by him & his office on behalf of the three (3) Article III federal jurists he purported to represent; and it is further

ORDERED, that [former] New York State Attorney General *Robert Abrams* shall forthwith “reimburse” the State of New York for the *unconstitutional* services and disbursements made by him & his office on behalf of the New York State federal jurists & officials he purported to represent, and it is

The failure of a judge, absent an articulated written explanation, shall not affect the relief requested herein..

SO ORDERED

Dated: , New York
 June , 2012

ENTER

U.S.D.J.

Certificate of Service

George Sassower, Esq., affirms that on May 23, 2012, he caused to be mailed in properly addressed stamped envelopes the foregoing Notice to: *Committee on Professional Standards*, 40 Steuben Street, Suite 502, Albany, New York 12207-2109; *Attorney Grievance Committee*, 224 Harrison Street, Suite 408, Syracuse, NY 13202-3066; Presiding Justice *Anthony V. Cardova*, Albany County Courthouse, 412 Eagle Street, Albany, New York 12207; U.S. District Court Judge *Thomas J. McAvoy*, 15 Henry Street, Binghamton, NY 13901; U.S. District Court Judge *Frederick J. Scullin, Jr.*, U.S. Courthouse, 100 Clinton Street, Syracuse, NY 13261-7255; Chief U.S. District Court Judge *Norman A. Mordue*, P.O.B. 7336, Syracuse, New York, 13261-7336; U.S. Circuit Court Judge *Jon O. Newman*, U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals, 450 Main Street, Hartford, Connecticut 06103; U.S. Attorney *Richard S. Hartunian*, 100 S. Clinton Street, P.O.B. 7198, Syracuse, New York 13261-7198; *Eric T. Schneiderman*, NY State Attorney General, The Capitol, Albany, NY, 12224-0341; Chief Justice *John G. Roberts*, Associate Justices *Antonin Scalia & Anthony M. Kennedy*, U.S. Supreme Court Bldg., 1 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20543; *A. Gail Prudenti*, Esq., Office of Court Administration, Agency Bldg. 4, Suite 2001, 4 ESP. Empire State Plaza, Albany, New York 12223-1450; *Kathleen M. Rice*, District Attorney, County Courthouse, 262 Old Country Road Tineola, N.Y. 11501; *Christine Malafi*, Esq., Suffolk County Attorney, 100 Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge, NY 11788

Dated: White Plains, NY
 May 23, 2012

GEORGE SASSOWER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
GEORGE SASSOWER,

Petitioner-Plaintiff,

-against-

Hon. A. FRANKLIN MAHONEY, as Presiding Justice;
WILFRED FEINBERG; EUGENE H. NICKERSON; WILLIAM
C. CONNER; FRANCIS T. MURPHY; MILTON MOLLEN;
XAVIER C. RICCOBONO; ALVIN F. KLEIN; DAVID S. SAXE;
IRA GAMMERMAN; Hon. ALLAN L. WINICK; DENIS
DILLON; ROBERT ABRAMS; ANTHONY MASTROIANNI;
and The DISTRICT COURT OF NASSAU COUNTY.

Respondents-Defendants.

88-Civ-0563

[TJM]


Affirmation

-----X
George Sassower, Esq., an attorney, in support
of the relief requested in the annexed proposed Order, affirms the
following to be true, under penalty of perjury:

1. Affirmant affirms that all statements made in the annexed Proposed Order are true.
2. The failure of a jurist to execute the annexed Order, absent an articulated explanation, shall not prevent recognition of the relief requested. For example, Congress has "exclusive" control of the federal purse, and no jurist has the "power" to authorize an unauthorized expenditure of federal funds or excuse "*reimbursement*" in favor of the United States.

WHEREFORE, it is prayed that the relief requested be granted.

Dated: White Plains, NY
May 23, 2012



GEORGE SASSOWER