

86-2458

To be argued by:
GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

-----x
GEORGE SASSOWER,

Petitioner-Appellee,

-against-

THE SHERIFF OF WESTCHESTER COUNTY,

Respondent,

-and-

LEE FELTMAN, Esq., as Receiver for
Puccini Clothes, Ltd. and ROBERT ABRAMS,
Attorney General of the State of New York,
Intervenors-Appellants.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x
MEMORANDUM OF PETITIONER-APPELLEE

GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq.
Attorney for petitioner-
Appellee-pro se.
51 Davis Avenue,
White Plains, N.Y. 10605
914-949-2169

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

-----x
GEORGE SASSOWER,

Petitioner-Appellee,

-against-

#86-2458

THE SHERIFF OF WESTCHESTER COUNTY,
Respondent,

-and-

LEE FELTMAN, Esq., as Receiver for
Puccini Clothes, Ltd. and ROBERT ABRAMS,
ATTORNEY GENERAL of the STATE OF NEW YORK,
Intervenors-Appellants.
-----x

APPELLEE'S MEMORANDUM

(IN A NUTSHELL)

1a. In Young v. U.S. ex rel. Vuitton (55 USLW 4676), the Chief Justice (1); Associate Justice Marshall (2), Associate Justice Blackmun (3), Associate Justice Powell (4), Associate Justice Stevens (5), and Associate Justice O'Connor (6), concurred in Parts I, II, IIIA, and IV of the opinion of Associate Justice Brennan (7).

b. The Chief Justice (1), and Associate Justice O'Connor (2), agreed with Associate Justice Powell (3), that appointing an adversarial attorney to be the prosecuting attorney was subject to a harmless-error analysis, and thus disagreed with the plurality opinion in Part IIIB, who believed issue to be "fundamental and pervasive, without regard to the facts or circumstances of the particular case" (p. 4683).

c. Without expressly disclaiming that the reversal should be based on constitutional considerations, the Court triggered its less dramatic "supervisory power" instead.

2. At bar, the self-styled prosecutors were never judicially appointed to act as prosecutors, they simply usurped that public position by self-help and self-proclamation, for their own pecuniary and criminal benefit (cf. Maddox v. Lord, F2d. [2d Cir., 5/18/87]).

3a. Indeed, these self-proclaimed public prosecutors, as shown by appellee's motion of June 17, 1987, are nothing less than depraved criminals engaged in the larceny and plundering of judicial trust assets, wherein they hold the position of judicial fiduciaries (cf. Meinhard v. Salmon, 249 N.Y. 458; Beatty v. Guggenheim, 225 N.Y. 380; Wendt v. Fisher, 243 N.Y. 439)

b. As further shown by appellee's motion of June 21, 1987, they employ these sham, trial-less convictions to criminally "extort" and "blackmail", and enrich themselves, not the government, who generally should be the beneficiary of contempt penalties.

c. They are aided and abetted in this criminal adventure by, inter alia, the Attorney General of the State of New York, Hon. ROBERT ABRAMS, and members of his office, who are supposed to be the statutory watchdog of such trust (e.g., Bus. Corp. Law §1214, §1216[a], §1207[A][3]).

d. FELTMAN, KARESH, MAJOR & FARBMAN, Esqs. and KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C. -- "the criminals with law degrees", falsely believe that because of their power to corrupt members of the state and federal judiciary to the point where they can repeatedly convict and incarcerate their adversaries, especially appellee, without benefit of trial, that they can bring the judicial ship "Titanic" safely into port.

e. Appellee is the "Iceberg", not impressed by their power of corruption, and whether he is incarcerated again, and incarcerated a hundred (100) times more thereafter, is irrelevant, their "ship of corrupt fools" will be sunk!


f. Those who wish to survive, should disembark now!

g. The issue of criminal prosecutions by private, self-proclaimed, prosecutors, was asserted by appellee, in all his papers, state and federal, from the inception of this and other proceedings.

h. To say more, on this or related issues, would be supererogatory.

Dated: June 21, 1987

Respectfully submitted,




GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq.
Attorney, pro-se.

GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq., affirms the following to be true under the penalty of perjury.

On June 21, 1987, I served copies of the within Memorandum upon Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs. and Hon. Robert Abrams by mailing a copy of same in a post-paid wrapper addressed to him at their last known addresses.

Dated: June 21, 1987



GEORGE SASSOWER