UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

GEORGE SASSOWER,
Petitioner-Appellee,
-against-
THE SHERIFF OF WESTCHESTER COUNTY,
Respondent,
-and-
LEE FELTMAN, Esq., as Receiver for Puccini Clothes, Ltd. and ROBERT ABRAMS, ATTORNEY GENERAL of the STATE OF NEW YORK,
Intervenors-Appellants.

#86-2458

RECUSAL AFFIRMATION

1. The affidavit of HYMAN RAFFE, verified June , 1987, dictated and prepared by FELTMAN, KARESH, MAJOR & FARBMAN, Esqs. (Exhibit "A-1), on a legal typewritten back of IRA POSTEL, Esq.(Exhibit "A-2"), which affirmant understands was also prepared by the Feltman firm; and the Feltman envelope, postmarked July 1, 1987 (Exhibit "A-3"), in which such affidavit was mailed to affirmant, manifestly confirms, as the Feltman firm, with KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C., have openly boasted over the years, that they control the actions of the state and federal courts, nisi prius and appellate, including this Court.

2a. For the purpose of the relief sought herein, relief which appellee has sought from the very outset, he makes no distinction between a "corrupt judge", a judge who attempts to conceal such corruption, and a judge who abdicates his responsibility by the simple expedient of closing his eyes, covering his ears, and holding his breath.

b. Employing that justifiable definition of "judicial corruption", about every judge on this Court is disqualified, and a change of venue should be granted (Young v. Vuitton, U.S. , 107 S.Ct. 2124; Rose v. Clark, 478 U.S. , 106 S.Ct. 3101; Aetna v. Lavoie, U.S. , 106 S.Ct 1580; Delaware v. Van Arsdall, 475 U.S. , 106 S.Ct. 1431; Vasquez v. Hillery, 474 U.S. 254; In re Murchison, 349 U.S. 133).

3a. Today, Independence Day, commences the eighty-fifth (85) month since PUCCINI CLOTHES, LTD. ["Puccini"], was involuntarily dissolved, its assets and affairs becoming custodia legis, under color of law, at that point in time and ever since.

b. Obviously, there was a great deal of active official and judicial corruption, state and federal, to avoid an accounting during such long period, particularly in view of the mandatory statutory provisions involved (Bus. Corp. Law §1216[a]; §1207[A][3]; 22 NYCRR §202.52[e], §202.53).

4a. Affirmant questions whether a single person taking the bar examination, later this month, does not know that absent a plea of guilty, one cannot be convicted of non-summary criminal contempt without a trial -- not one.

b. Everyone, but everyone, recognizes the judicial corruption involved, when your affirmant, HYMAN RAFFE ["Raffe"], and SAM POLUR ["Polur"] were repeatedly convicted, without benefit of a trial, including in the federal courts.

c. The judiciary, state and federal, fool no one, and disgraces no one, except themselves, by such tyrannical usurpation of constitutional limitations.

5a. Affirmant has argued hundreds of times at the Appellate Division, and as soon as he received the Notice of Appeal from FELTMAN, KARESH, MAJOR & FARBMAN, Esqs. ["FKM&F"] he recognized that there was a "fix" in that Court of depraved proportions (Barr v. Sassower, 121 A.D.2d 324, 503 N.Y.S.2d 392 [1st Dept.]).

b. What attorney, would have the temerity to justify, Referee DONALD DIAMOND's repeated statement that in a criminal case "a plea of not guilty, is tantamount to a general denial in a civil action, which raises no triable issues of fact"!

6a. FKM&F, having completely denuded Puccini of all its assets, at least all the assets that KREINDLER & RELKIN, P.C. ["K&R"] did not make the subject of larceny, the question in this appeal was who was paying the expenses for same on behalf of FKM&F?

b. Affirmant had known that Raffe had "paid-off" K&R and FKM&F, so that he would not be incarcerated under the trial-less order of Mr. Justice ALVIN F. KLEIN, and so that the mirrored Report of Referee DONALD DIAMOND would not be moved for confirmation, and so stated in his submitted papers.

c. Some scuttlebutt, which affirmant believed reliable, was to the effect that Raffe had ceased to make any further extortion payments. This information was augmented by the repeated perjurious affidavits by FKM&F that they had to bear the financial burden of this and other litigation involving your affirmant.

d. In any event, once this panel was advised that Raffe had paid monies so that the mirrored Report of Referee DONALD DIAMOND would not be confirmed, this panel had an affirmative to inquire (Universal v. Root, 328 U.S. 575; Hazel-Atlas v. Hartford, 322 U.S. 238) -- but it did not!

7a. On June 15, 1987, affirmant learned that Raffe was being "bled to death by FKM&F", which was confirmed on June 19, 1987, by Raffe himself, and the supportive documentation on the subject was received on June 22, 1987.

b. Immediately, this panel was advised of such fact, and of the tremendous amount that was being extorted, to wit., over one million dollars ($1,000,000), with more than one hundred eighty three thousand dollars ($183,000) during the last nine (9) months by FKM&F alone.

c. Approximately one hundred thousand dollars ($100,000) was paid by Raffe to FKM&F with respect to this instant proceeding, since affirmant filed his 28 U.S.C. §2254 writ application.

There is simply nothing in the present proceeding, or in the other proceedings, which Raffe is being billed, which inures to his benefit, or was so intended.

d. Any honest judge, with only a single honest cell in his body, would have immediately ordered a full inquiry -- but this panel did nothing, and so Raffe keeps paying extortion, because in his words "judges are corrupt".

e. Would any attorney extort money from Raffe, as FKM&F has done here, unless he had a "criminal mind" and knew that he was being protected?

8a. The irresistible conclusion that this panel is corrupt, is the aforementioned Raffe affidavit, which says in effect, that he does not desire the return of the monies extorted from him, and will continue paying.

b. No firm of attorneys, extorting substantial monies, would boldly prepare an affidavit from their victim, wherein the victim refuses the return of the extorted monies, unless he actually knew that they were acting with judicial impunity from a corrupt tribunal!

c. IRA POSTEL, Esq. ["Postel"], Raffe's purported attorney, but nothing better than the lap-dog of FKM&F and K&R, has made no submission, so that Raffe could have returned to him the monies extorted from him, because he knows of the corruption in the federal courts in this matter, and said so, on June 19, 1987.

d. What "vexatious litigation" is Raffe referring to in his FKM&F prepared affidavit?

Is this litigation, financially underwritten by Raffe by extravagant billings, "vexatious litigation" by affirmant or by FKM&F?

Is affirmant's bankruptcy proceeding, wherein Raffe has underwritten the billings of FKM&F, "vexatious litigation" by affirmant?

Are the proceedings before Hon. GERARD L. GOETTEL by affirmant's clients "vexatious litigation"?

e. Raffe, in the FKM&F prepared affidavit states that there exists a "settlement agreement", which was "so ordered" and which provides that he is to make certain payments to FKM&F.

Neither Postel, nor FKM&F have submitted such "so ordered" document, and as far as affirmant knows it is not on file in the County Clerk's Office, for it would take a demented jurist who would knowingly "so order" extortion payments, in exchange for Raffe not being incarcerated!

f. In short, this panel was mandated to immediately order full disclosure by all involved, and a full inquiry of all involved -- it has failed to do so!

9a. Affirmant has already commenced communicating with various officials, media representatives, and others, and has made some of his accusations public.

b. This Court has already convicted affirmant without benefit of a trial, has permitted fiduciaries such as FKM&F, RASHBA & POKART, and the Attorney General to consistently take positions adverse to their judicial trust, and in other ways abdicated its responsibility to give obedience to the Constitution of the United States, even those of ministerial nature.

c. If necessary, affirmant is prepared to pursue FKM&F and K&R -- "judicial mobsters" -- into the bowels of the earth in order to seek redress.

d. Full redress to Raffe, with or without his consent, is a non-negotiable issue with your affirmant, as it should be with every decent judicial tribunal.

10. The aforementioned is made under penalty of perjury, in good faith, and mandated by God, Country, and everything decent for a civilized non-corrupt world.

WHEREFORE, affirmant prays this application be granted in all respects.

Dated: July 4, 1987

GEORGE SASSOWER

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

--------------------------------------X

GEORGE SASSOWER,	:	
Petitioner-Appellee,	:	86-2458
-against-	:	AFFIDAVIT
THE SHERIFF OF WESTCHESTER COUNTY,	:	
Respondent,	:	
-and-	:	
LEE FELTMAN, Esq., as Receiver for Puccini Clothes, Ltd. and ROBERT ABRAMS, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,	:	
Intervenors-Appellants.	:	

--------------------------------------X

STATE OF NEW YORK)
: ss.:
COUNTY OF KINGS)

HYMAN RAFFE, being duly sworn, desposes and says:

1. Appellee George Sassower ("Sassower") has filed motions, dated June 21 and 23, 1987, seeking relief on my behalf on this appeal although I am not a party thereto. I make this Affidavit to apprise this Court that I have not authorized or consented to Sassower seeking any relief on my behalf or otherwise representing me in any matter and that I do not agree with the assertions made by Sassower concerning me.

Exhibit "A-1"

2. I am represented by Ira Postel, Esq. in connection with all litigation such as this relating to Puccini Clothes, Ltd. Mr. Postel also represented me in connection with a Settlement Agreement, which was "so ordered" by the New York Supreme Court, pursuant to which I have made certain payments to the law firm of Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman about which Sassower now complains. As part of an effort to implore Sassower to cease his continued vexatious litigation, I transmitted to Sassower copies of various checks and other documents to make him understand that his prior conduct while he was acting as my attorney financially damaged me. It is apparent to me that Sassower has no intention of voluntarily ceasing his deplorable litigation misconduct and that he sought copies of documents from me to use in yet another round of litigation.

HYMAN RAFFE

Sworn to before me this
day of June, 1987.

Barbara Tatesure
NOTARY PUBLIC

BARBARA TATESURE
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 24-4760746
Qualified in Kings County
Commission Expires Sept. 30, 1988

0322E

NOTICE OF ENTRY

Sir,—Please take notice that the within is a (certified) true copy of a

duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on 19

Dated,

Yours, etc.

Attorney for

Office and Post Office Address

To

Attorney(s) for

NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT

Sir,—Please take notice that an order

of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the Hon.

one of the judges of the within named Court, at

on 19

at M.

Dated,

Yours, etc.,

Attorney for

Office and Post Office Address

To

Attorney(s) for

86-2158

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

GEORGE SASSOWER,

Petitioner-Appellee,

-against-

THE SHERIFF OF WESTCHESTER COUNTY,

Respondent,

-and-

LEE FELTMAN, ESQ., as Receiver for Puccini Clothes, Ltd. and Robert Abrams, Attorney General of the State of New York,

Intervenors-Appellants

AFFIDAVIT

IRA POSTEL, ESQ.

Attorney for

Office and Post Office Address, Telephone

725 Fifth Avenue - 18 Fl.
New York, N.Y., 10022
(212) 319-4514

To

Attorney(s) for

Service of a copy of the within

is hereby admitted.

Dated,

Attorney(s) for

Exhibit "A-2"

FELTMAN, KARESH, MAJOR & FARBMAN
PARK AVENUE PLAZA
55 EAST 52ND STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10055

NEW YORK, NY 100
PM
1 JUL
1987

NEW YORK
JUL-1'87
N.Y.

INCLUDE YOUR
ART FOR
BETTER SERVI
U.S. POSTAGE
P.B. METER
2735030

George Sassower
51 Davis Avenue
White Plains, New York 10605

Exhibit "A-3"

is further

ORDERED, that upon the failure of Hyman Raffe, George Sassower, A.R. Fuels Inc., and Madison Heat Corp., or any of them, to appear for deposition on or before June 17, 1985, Movants may move for a further order directing the arrest of the contemnors by the United States Marshal and their confinement in the Metropolitan Correctional Center until their appearance for deposition, production of document and the payment of the fines fixed in this order, or until the contemnors be otherwise discharged pursuant to law; and it is further

ORDERED, that copies of this order shall be served upon each of the contemnors by hand delivery of same to their offices, 2125 Mill Avenue, Brooklyn, New York, on or before June 10, 1985.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
June 7, 1985

/s/ Eugene H. Nickerson
U.S.D.J.

.KIN, P.C.