

10/21/88

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
APPELLATE DIVISION : SECOND JUDICIAL DEPT.

-----X
In the Matter of GEORGE SASSOWER, an
attorney and counselor-at-law, GRIEVANCE
COMMITTEE FOR THE SECOND AND ELEVENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICTS,

Petitioner,
-against-
GEORGE SASSOWER,
Respondent.

-----X
S I R S:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the annexed affidavit of GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq., dated October 21, 1988, and upon all the pleadings and proceedings had heretofore herein, the undersigned will move this Court at a Stated Term, held at the Courthouse thereof, 45 Monroe Place, Borough of Brooklyn, City and State of New York, on the 4th day of November, 1988, at 9:30 o'clock in the forenoon of that day or as soon thereafter as Counsel may be heard for an Order vacating the Order of this Court dated February 23, 1987, and declaring same null, void, and of no effect, nunc pro tunc, together with such other, further, and/or different relief as to this Court may seem just and proper in the premises.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that opposing papers,
if any, are to be served and filed in accordance with the rules
of this Court.

Dated: October 21, 1988

Yours, etc.,

GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq.
Attorney, pro se
16 Lake Street,
White Plains, N.Y. 10603
914-949-2169

To: Robert H. Straus, Esq.
 Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman, Esqs.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
APPELLATE DIVISION : SECOND JUDICIAL DEPT.

-----X
In the Matter of GEORGE SASSOWER, an
attorney and counselor-at-law, GRIEVANCE
COMMITTEE FOR THE SECOND AND ELEVENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICTS,

Petitioner,
-against-
GEORGE SASSOWER,
Respondent.

-----X
STATE OF NEW YORK)
) ss.:
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER)

GEORGE SASSOWER, Esq., an attorney (see Exhibit
"A"), first being duly sworn, deposes, and says:

This affidavit is made in support of a motion to
vacate the Order of this Court dated February 23, 1987 (Grievance
Committee v. Geo. Sassower, 125 A.D.2d 52, 512 N.Y.S.2d 203 [2d
Dept.], and to declare same null, void, and of no effect, nunc
pro tunc.

1a. Twenty (20) months after the aforementioned Order
was entered the "criminals with law degrees" have still not
accounted for the judicial trust assets of PUCCINI CLOTHES, LTD.
["Puccini"] -- nor has deponent succumbed in his insistence for
same.

b. Indeed, approaching two (2) years after such
disbarment event, these judicial thieves with their cadre of
corrupt judges and officials, having shot their last bullet,
consistently have been on the defensive.

c. As deponent has repeatedly stated, there is absolutely nothing that can compel your deponent to modify his unalterable position that an accounting for such judicial trust assets must be filed.

2a. The failure to account, more than eight (8) years after judicial dissolution of Puccini, dramatically confirms deponent's assertion that such judicial trust assets were made the subject of massive larceny and plundering, to the point where nothing tangible is left for its stockholders and creditors.

b. Deponent has a substantial judgment against Puccini, and other vested interests, which may not be impaired by the state or any state official (U.S. Constitution, Art. 1, §10 [1]), and the attempts by the judiciary, including this Court, to compel deponent to abandon those interests by in terrorem conduct, including disbarment, is both unlawful and actionable.

3a. In any event, over the past twenty (20) months the fraud and corruption which were part of such disciplinary proceedings have surfaced most dramatically.

b. To set them forth, even in summary fashion, would be a herculean task, and indeed needless, since this Court is well aware of the sham of such proceedings.

c. Since the disciplinary proceeding proliferates with judicial fraud and misconduct, it is a nullity, and even deponent, the victim, cannot give it legal vitality (Hazel-Atlas v. Hartford, 322 U.S. 238).

4a. Little need be stated about the three (3) trialess, manifestly unconstitutional, convictions, whose purpose was to extort silence and submission.

b. There has not been, nor can there be, any substantive opposition to a motion to vacate same.


5. The litigation, which this Court called "frivolous" and "vexatious" centers about an accounting. Once there is an accounting, the litigation is essentially over.

6. Deponent never disobeyed any lawful court orders, as more than twenty-five (25) vindications revealed.

7. Subsequently filed papers, including those by "the criminals with law degrees", reveals deponent did not disobey the wishes of deponent's client, and that deponent's client was compelled to perjure himself, or else go to jail.


8. Since the disbarment order is and will be made the subject to an action for damages (Dennis v. Sparks, 449 U.S. 24), prudence mandates that little more be said.

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully prayed that the relief requested herein be granted in all respects, with costs.



GEORGE SASSOWER

Sworn to before me this
21st day of October, 1988



ROBERT GOLDBERG
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 60-4515474 Westchester County
Commission Expires 4/30/89

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Eastern

DISTRICT OF New York

)))
SS.

I, Robert C. Heinemann, Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York

DO HEREBY CERTIFY That George Sassower

was

duly admitted to practice in said Court on

May 14

, 1953,

and is in good standing in said Court.

Dated at Brooklyn, NY

ROBERT C. HEINEMANN

Clerk

on May 20

, 19 88 .

By

Deputy Clerk

STATE OF NEW YORK)
) ss.:
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER)

MARY MANCUSO, first being duly sworn, deposes,
and says:

That on the 21st day of October, 1988, she served
a copy of the within Notice of Motion and Affidavit upon Robert
H. Straus, Esq. and Feltman, Karesh, Major & Farbman by mailing
true copies of same in a sealed properly stamped envelopes in a
Post Office Box maintained by the United States Postal
Department, to them at their last known addresses.

Mary Mancuso
MARY MANCUSO

Sworn to before me this
24th day of October, 1988

Mumel Goldberg

MUMEL GOLDBERG
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 60-4515474 Westchester County
Commission Expires 4/20/89