	D.	 UBLIC HE		וו די	CHE	MATTER OF
		ION OF T				DISCIPLINARY PROCESS
						Senate Hearing Room
						250 Broadway 19th Floor
						New York, N.Y.
		•				September 24, 2009 Thursday
						10 a.m.
	BEFORE:	Senator Chair	John	Samp	son	,
		Judicia	ry Co	mmitt	ee	
		Senator Chair	Bill	Perk	ins	
			tions	, Aut	hor	rities & Commissions
		Senator	Geor	ge D.	Ma	ziarz
		Senator	Eric	Adam	ıs	
		Senator	Rube	n Dia	. Z	
	OTHER STAFF	MEMBERS	:			
	OTHER STAFF					
		Shelly Mayer Majority Counsel				
		Lisa La Counsel	shley			
		COULISET				

1	INDE	X OF	SPEAKERS		
2	RICHARD KUSE	7			
3	CATHERINE WILSON	21			
4	VICTOR KOVNER	90			
5	DOUGLAS HIGBEE	147			
6	JUDITH HERSKOWITZ	52			
7	ANDREA WILKINSON	67			
8	MARIA GKANIOS	98			
9	REGINA FELTON	126			
10	KATHRYN MALARKEY	155			
11	NORA RENZULI	158			
12	STEPHANIE KLEIN	165			
13	IKE ARUTI	180			
14	TERRENCE FINNAN	194			
15	GICELLA WEISSHAUS	198			
16	ELIOT BERNSTEIN	206			
17	SUSAN McCORMICK	234			
18	PATRICK HANDLEY	237			
19					
20					
21	•				
22					
23					
24					

1	Thank you very much.
2	MS. GKANIOS: Senators, thank you.
3	SENATOR SAMPSON: Regina Felton. Is
4	she around?
5	MS. FELTON: Yes, I am here, right.
6	SENATOR SAMPSON: Ms. Felton, you
7	have ten minutes. The clock is running.
8	Mr. Higbee, are you ready?
9	MR. HIGBEE: Yes.
10	SENATOR SAMPSON: Okay.
11	MS. FELTON: Thank you, Senator
12	Sampson.
13	My name is Regina Felton, I have been
14	practicing in Brooklyn, New York in the
15	Bedford-Stuyvesant area since 1990.
16	Prior to that I was I worked in the
17	Manhattan D.A.'s office, I was senior
18	counsel to the American Stock Exchange,
19	Senior Special Counsel to the New York Stock
20	Exchange, Assistant General Counsel to a
21	securities firm on Wall Street and also head
22	of market surveillance for that particular
23	firm.
24	When I left and went to

Bedford-Stuyvesant I did so with the express 1 desire to bring a kind of service to indigent people who lived in the area and 3 who were underrepresented, since I had honed 4 my skills. 5 So you can imagine when I encountered a 6 judge whose name is Arthur M. Schack who 7 sits in Kings County and who I had three 8 cases before and wound up as a solo 9 practitioner in Bedford-Stuyvesant on the 10 front page of the Law Journal on three 11 successive occasions. 12 And I point out to you that in the July 13 8th, 2008 Law Journal, lawyer ordered to pay 14 fees after pursuing frivolous suit. 15 In the August 12, 2008 New York Law 16 Journal, jail fines given to solo who 17 withheld downpayment. 18 19

In the August 14th section of The New York Times, court sanctions attorney \$10,000 over \$40,000 in costs for failing to return

So the million SENATOR SAMPSON: dollar question is did that occur?

downpayment.

20

2.1

22

23

What the judge is indicating that you 1 did, did you withhold the downpayments, or what did you do to warrant, I guess, the actions that the judge took? 4 To give you the short 5 MS. FELTON: answer, and I wrote a letter to the Law 6 Journal, Judge Schack actually was under a 7 restraining notice not to adjudicate the 8 9 particular case. I have had three cases before him and 10 my first encounter with him was in 2003 and 11 while I thought it was somewhat strange 12 having practiced, I quess, at that time more 13 than 20 years, I just believed that -- well, 14 if this was a foreclosure, my three clients 15 were over the age of 80, two of them were 16 closer to 90. 17 The houses all were located in 18 Bedford-Stuyvesant, with one exception in 19 Clinton hill, and the seniors were under 20 educated and also indigent, that's two. 21 One of them I represented pro bono and 22 incurred the ire of the judge when I 23

24

resisted a foreclosure, that's how I got on

1 his radar.

I went to the Appellate Division when for this foreclosure he had issued something called a Writ of Assistance which is inappropriate for a person who is still intitle, if there had been a foreclosure and a Writ of Assistance had been issued to remove that person so that the new owner could take possession, the Writ of Assistance would have been appropriate.

But there were some other anomalies as well and those anomalies were that the same person was appointed by this judge as both the referee and the receiver.

I objected --

SENATOR SAMPSON: When you say the same person appointed.

MS. FELTON: The judge appointed an individual, both as the receiver and as the referee.

SENATOR SAMPSON: Is that odd?

MS. FELTON: That's a conflict of interest.

And so when I asked him -- well, when I

1 pointed this out --As the referee and SENATOR SAMPSON: 3 the --Receiver, the receiver 4 MS. FELTON: is the person who collects the rent. 5 SENATOR SAMPSON: And the referee is 6 7 the one who sells the property. Exactly. In effect what 8 MS. FELTON: 9 he had done is he had given title to this property to this one individual and had 10 11 given him the right to collect the rents and 12 then had directed that my client, who was 13 still in title and the foreclosure, a 14 judgment of foreclosure had not been issued, and he had directed that she pay rent. 15 Now, she's in title, she's the owner of 16 the property and so I was doing this pro 17 bono and had an obligation to run back and 18 forth to the Appellate Division. 19 SENATOR SAMPSON: This is the 20 O'Therry case. 21 MS. FELTON: This is the 2003 and 22 this is how I first became acquainted with 23 24 Judge Arthur M. Schack.

He wrote some pretty bizarre opinions in that particular case, but in any event I was going back and forth with the Appellate Division and I was successful.

At some point when I couldn't handle in

At some point when I couldn't handle it any more because I was doing it -- pro bono legal services took over.

My next case with Judge Schack had to do with a senior citizen who was close to 90 years old and whose deed had twice been forged.

The first time the deed was forged another attorney handled the case and got a -- and had gotten a judgment cancelling title in the company who had forged the deed.

The company was directed to -- all equitable interests that that company had, the company was United Equities, was cancelled.

The company nevertheless transferred title again under a second forged deed.

They removed -- the person, the person who then had title, forged title, removed

all of my client's personal possessions from the house, changed the locks and brought eviction actions against him.

Now, this company was served by the Secretary of State.

The judge wrote the decision saying that I had served the wrong company, notwithstanding the fact that the company had been served by the Secretary of State and my client, the senior citizen who again was elderly, the only asset he owned was this house, and he was mortified by the fact that he had been removed from the property and the police had been called to remove him as a trespasser.

And I, because I'm in the Bedford-Stuyvesant community, attempted to represent him.

Now the issue here is, as far as I am concerned, is an obligation that I feel that we have, if we can, to represent the elderly and the indigent, and it was based on the decisions written by Judge Schack, he was removing my right to represent the senior

citizen.

Now, the issues that I brought to the Commission on Judicial Conduct were as follows; first I indicated that this judge had an underground of writing decisions that were never filed and therefore not appealable.

Now what I do I mean by that? Where a judge issues an order, the order obviously must be followed. However if the judge does not file the order, then you cannot appeal. You cannot file a Notice of Appeal, you cannot go to the Appellate Division. If he's retaining that file in his chambers, then you are either forced to do exactly what the judge says, or you can write to the Commission on Judicial Conduct, which I did.

Now I listened very carefully to when Robert Tabeckian spoke on June 8th, 2009, and he articulated the fact that the commission's objective was not only to investigate complaints, but also to make sure that the commission maintains an independent judiciary.

I don't see how it is that the 1 2 commission can take on two competing tasks, because it is a conflict of interest. 3 The commission then becomes or aligns 4 5 itself with the judges and gives up it's 6 duty to the public to investigate and keep 7 the courts unbiased. SENATOR SAMPSON: Some judges would 8 9 disagree with that. MS. FELTON: So A, in writing to the 10 Commission on Judicial Conduct where I 11 12 explained my history with this judge, B, 13 with respect to this one senior, that the judge completely misstated the facts, now 14 you're an attorney, Senator Sampson, and we 15 do know that the judge has a prerogative to 16 17 interpret the facts, but he has no judicial prerogative to create new facts in order to 18 get a certain result. 19 In the cases that I had before --20 SENATOR SAMPSON: That's called 21 judicial activism. 22 Well, all right. MS. FELTON: 23 cases that I had before Justice Schack, he

actually created new facts, he created a fact pattern that I was able to disprove, and notwithstanding, I wind up on the front page of the paper without the opportunity to respond and without the opportunity to continue representing clients in that community without having them look askance at me because my pristine reputation had been sullied.

secondly, I had a second case with -the third case with Judge Schack, again he
exercised his judicial prerogative to create
and misstate the facts, and at the time he
adjudicated a case where my client suffered
-- his family had brought a petition
questioning his mental health and the judge
sitting in the mental health part issued a
restraining notice.

That restraining notice forbade any adjudication of that case.

Notwithstanding the fact that this was called to his attention, Justice Schack adjudicated the case.

This was a case again involving

1.8

lucrative property of a person who was almost 90 years old, and now a person who's mental capacity was being challenged by the family and where there was a restraining notice in effect directing that nothing happen until the mental status of my client was determined.

1.7

I again found myself on the front page of the paper with more than \$40,000 in costs and fees ascribed to me, notwithstanding the fact that there was a restraining notice.

The court issued orders in this case as well, and in this particular case a motion was made where the Plaintiff's attorney and my client and I were both named as Defendants, I was named because I was the escrow agent holding the downpayment in my escrow account, and my client, obviously, also was named.

The attorney who represented Plaintiff wanted to amend the complaint.

Somehow I was not served, notwithstanding even though I did not appear, a default judgment could have been

entered, though Justice Schack issued an order which never was filed in which he directed that the Sheriff pick me up and take whatever action was necessary in order to bring me to the court.

Now, in addition when I learned of the order I attempted to file a Notice of Appeal, the order had never been filed.

SENATOR SAMPSON: That's right, you can't file a Notice of Appeal if the order hasn't been filed.

MS. FELTON: That's right, I attempted to bring a Writ of Mandamus directing the judge to file the order so that I could appeal and get a stay.

Well, what happened is I went to the court, I notified the State Attorney
General's office, the assistant State
Attorney General appeared, the Appellate
Division on the spot filed the Notice of
Appeal, which is highly unusual, you do not
file in the Appellate Division, you don't
file the Notice of Appeal in the Appellate
Division, but rather you file it in the

State Supreme Court, the Appellate Division filed the Notice of Appeal to two orders on the spot, revised my order by hand, which is also something highly unusual, where the Court Clerk is revising an attorney's papers, and I was told that I didn't get the stay but I should appear in the Judge's part for a hearing.

Now, the judge had his law secretary call me on approximately -- well, actually four occasions, and I was so concerned about the nature of the conversation that I was having with the Judge's principal law secretary, I placed the phone on the speaker, turned on my dictaphone and tape recorded each of the four conversations which I then settled on notice with the Court Clerk so that he could revise the transcript and I sent a copy to my adversary, no one objected to the content or the accuracy of the conversations.

The law clerk scheduled a conference in each of those four conversations.

When I appeared and without notice I

was subjected to a contempt hearing. 1 Now mind you there was a restraining notice in this case, so the judge is 3 continuing to adjudicate the case 4 5 notwithstanding the restraining notice. I show up unprepared for a contempt 6 7 hearing without notice under the New York State judiciary law that is supposed to say 8 warning, you could go to jail and all of 9 10 that. SENATOR SAMPSON: Correct. 11 MS. FELTON: And he finds me in 12 contempt, directs me to pay the money from 13 my escrow account and a certain sum of money 14 as a penalty for not having turned the money 15 over earlier, notwithstanding the 16 restraining notice. 17 When I appeared in court, I had a 18 certified check from my escrow account, but 19 I did not have the check that he had 20 ascribed as a penalty. 21 SENATOR SAMPSON: How much was that? 22 MS. FELTON: \$6,678. I indicated to 23

the judge that I had filed an appeal, the

Appellate Division had taken in the Notices of Appeal.

I perfected the appeal, I advised the judge that I had perfected the appeal and he said that I had an hour within which to turn over this \$6,700 and would I do that?

When I said no, that I would not, he placed me in handcuffs, I have the transcript, and I was taken to the court officer's lounge, I guess that's what it was, where I was handcuffed to a chair, a Sheriff came and picked me up, I spent 11 days on Riker's Island.

THE AUDIENCE: Remove that judge, please.

MS. FELTON: I spent 11 days on Riker's Island, a friend of mine learned of my incarceration and went to the judge to negotiate my release.

The judge indicated that my friend, a retired police officer, had to go to Riker's Island and get the \$30,000 check that I had in court on the day of my arrest and take that check with his check to the home of my

adversary's lawyer before he would issue an order to release me.

So some 300 miles driving to Riker's, driving out to Long Island to this attorney's house, going back out to Riker's, from 9:00 that morning I was released at 10:00 that evening.

I didn't know that the judge had, after I had been removed from the courtroom, had found that I was in contempt and had also given me another fine of \$500 -- sorry \$500.

In addition to that about three months after I had been released the judge issued an Order to Show Cause sua sponte where he determined that I should pay a sanction of \$10,000 for having violated his order in the first place, notwithstanding the fact that when he issued the order there was a restraining notice.

The Order to Show Cause is supposed to be served in a certain kind of way with the warnings and personal service, it was not.

The order also indicated and the order happened to have been published in the New

York Law Journal, something I have never 1 seen in all of my years of practice. 2 And so again I wind up in the New York Law Journal. 4 5 I was told -- or the order, the Order to Show Cause indicated that I either appear 6 7 under threat of arrest or make the \$10,000 payment under the threat of arrest. 8 9 SENATOR SAMPSON: So what happened? MS. FELTON: 10 I went to court, I submitted papers, I tendered a cashiers 11 check for \$10,000 to the Lawyers Fund for 12 Client Protection. 13 Now, the Grievance Committee examined 14 my escrow account, there was nothing wrong 15 with it. 16 The only entries, the only entries in 17 the account were those of that accrued 18 interest. 19 I haven't been found to have engaged in 20 any kind of wrongdoing whatsoever. 21 This judge, for whatever reason, has 22 23 targeted me. 24 Now, I understand that judges are

supposed to be given some kind of leeway in terms of the way that they run their court.

1.7

But there is no question A, that this judge lied in his decisions, and B, that I have been singled out for whatever reason, and C, that the Commission on Judicial Conduct has done absolutely nothing.

Now I have not written to the Commission on Judicial Conduct one time, but I believe more than half a dozen times.

What bothers me is not only the fact
that the order of arrest and the payment of
the fines and the issuance of the Orders to
Show Cause do not appear as entries in the
unified court system and it's supposed to,
but this judge seems to have deliberately
tried to prevent me from representing
indigent seniors in my community when I have
chosen to do so to protect the only asset
that they had, which was the house in which
they lived.

Now the --

SENATOR SAMPSON: The question is, because we have to end in a minute because

1	time has extended, you wrote to the judicial
2	conduct committee?
3	MS. FELTON: Many times.
4	SENATOR SAMPSON: Specifically about
5	the incident that occurred, correct?
6	MS. FELTON: Yes.
7	SENATOR SAMPSON: Have you gotten any
8	response?
9	MS. FELTON: Oh, yes, I did.
10	SENATOR SAMPSON: What has the
11	response been?
12	MS. FELTON: There was no indication
13	of wrongdoing.
14	SENATOR SAMPSON: Senator Perkins.
15	SENATOR PERKINS: You said you sent
16	letters to the commission, can we get copies
17	of those?
18	MS. FELTON: I gave you a package
19	with reference to one of those letters, and
20	I understand from Mr. Spotts that he scanned
21	it into your I guess your computer, I
22	have additional hard copies here, if you
23	would like to have one.
24	This is only one of the cases and this

was the most egregious, because I wound up 1 in Riker's Island. 2 But I have all of the -- I have all of 3 the complaints which I have written, I have 4 all of their responses in which they said 5 that there was no wrongdoing. 6 SENATOR PERKINS: Did they indicate 7 the basis upon which they came to their 8 conclusion? 9 MS. FELTON: Well, I wrote, in fact I 10 was so dumbfounded by the fact that there 11 12 was no investigation that I knew of, because no one called me back, I actually called the 13 author of the letter and asked her what was 14 the basis for closing the case, and I was 15 told they had -- that I had to do it in 16 writing, I then wrote and then I was told 17 that it was confidential and I wasn't 18 entitled to know. 19 SENATOR SAMPSON: So, Ms. Felton, one 20 thing you can rest assured I will talk --21 Senator Maziarz, do you have any questions? 22 SENATOR MAZIARZ: No. 23 SENATOR SAMPSON: One thing, you can 24

rest assured that I will follow-up with respect to this and I guess have a conversation with the Commission on Judicial Conduct, especially when something of this magnitude seems to be very important that you can't just receive a letter, and I can understand it being confidential, because it's true, certain issues and procedures are confidential. But I will -- this is why we are having hearings like this. Well, thank you very MS. FELTON:

MS. FELTON: Well, thank you very much, I appreciate that, but I also would like you to weigh the fact that my reputation has been tarnished to a degree that I don't ever think that I can recover it.

I have never heard of a solo practitioner, my office is on the corner of Fulton and Marcy in the heart of Bedford-Stuyvesant.

When is it that a solo practitioner winds up repeatedly on the front page of the New York Law Journal in such disparaging

terms? 1 I have never heard of it. And the attorney Mark Dwyer, the one who went to 3 Canada and forged all those papers, he got 4 less press than I did. 5 Thank you very SENATOR SAMPSON: 6 much, Ms. Felton, thank you. Mr. Higbee. 7 MR. HIGBEE: Yes. 8 SENATOR SAMPSON: Mr. Higbee, ten 9 minutes. 10 MR. HIGBEE: Senator Sampson, thank 11 you for holding these hearings. My name is 12 Douglas Higbee, I have been embroiled in a 13 matrimonial and then some since 1944. 14 I am going to fast forward up to where 15 my wife's sister, an attorney here 16 practicing in New York and Connecticut using 17 her power beyond that was employed by the 18 Office of Attorney General right up the 19 street. 20 And at which time thought that she 21 didn't -- and being part of a fraud sexual 22

abuse allegation of me in January 1997,

subsequently leading to my arrest, March 20

23