SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

ELLEN P. SURLAK,

NOTICE OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, -against-

INDEX. NO. 19283/78

JOSEPH E. SURLAK,

Defendant. "

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the affidavit of MORTON N. WEKSTEIN, ANDREW O'ROURKE, Esq., the summons, complaint and answer heretofore served herein, a motion pursuant to: CPLR \$3212 will be if made at Special Term Part V of this Court to be held at the Courthouse thereof located at 11 Grove Street, White Plains, New York, on the 22nd day of November 1978, at 9:30 o'clock in the forenoon of that day or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard for an order striking out with the defendant's answer and defenses contained therein and directing the entry of summary judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for the relief demanded in the complaint upon the grounds with ed that there is no defenses to the cause of action alleged in the complaint and for such other and further relief as may be just, proper and equitable.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that pursuant to CPLR, \$2214(b). answering affidavits if any, are required to be served upon the undersigned at least 5 days before the return date of this motion. and date

Dated: November 2, 1978

Yours, etc., of the name attorney,

TO: THEODORE L. MALATESTA, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant P. O. Box 450 Dobbs Ferry, New York 10522 WEKSTEIN & FULFREE WEKS WEKS WEKS Attorneys for Plaintiff 55 Pondfield Road Bronxville, New York 10708

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER

ELLEN P. SURLAK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

JOSEPH E. SURLAK,

AFFIDAVIT

1880

Defendant.

STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER)

ANDREW P. O'ROURKE, being duly sworn, says:

I am an attorney-at-law, duly admitted before the Courts of this State, maintaining law offices at 99 Court Street, White Plaint, New York and make this affidavit in order to set forth the details of my legal representation of ELLEN P. SURLAK during the year 1973.

My records on this case show that ELLEN P. SURLAK contacted my office, then in Bronxville, in late April 1973, concerning marital problems. Due to diverse difficulties, she and her husband were thinking of legally separating.

After discussing the situation at length, on May 7, 1973, I wrote to JOSEPH SURLAK, the husband, and informed him that I represented his wife and asked him to contact me.

After the above letter was sent, I received a telephone call

COMMA OF MEDICARSAILS

from Mrs. Surlak, informing me that her husband would come to my office to discuss the matter and that they had arrived at an amicable settlement. She told me the details of this settlement which I incorporated into a draft Separation Agreement. I never negotiated any part of the Separation Agreement with Mr. Surlak but had merely reduced their own negotiated terms to writing.

In the second week of May 1973, both parties came to my office to discuss the Separation Agreement. During this conference, I specifically informed Mr. Surlak of his right to have counsel. My recollection is that he told me that he had an attorney who would look over whatever I had prepared. During this conference, the Separation Agreement was thoroughly explained to Mrs. Surlak and its legal implications discussed. Mr. Surlak did not sign the Agreement at that time, but took a copy with him to study, indicating to me that he would have it reviewed by an attorney.

Thereafter, I was contacted by Mrs. Surlak who told me that her husband was ready to sign the Agreement and set up an appointment for the execution thereof. The meeting was set for May 22, 1973, at which time both parties again came to my office.

At the meeting on the 22nd of May 1973, I asked Mr. Surlak if the contents were satisfactory to him and he indicated that they were. The parties then signed duplicate originals and left my office, each taking a signed copy.

On the next day, May 23, 1973, Mr. Surlak called my office and spoke to my secretary, saying that he had lost his copy, and requesting another. A second copy was sent to him. I never heard from Mr. Surlak thereafter.

The original Separation Agreement was filed in the Office of the County Clerk, Westchester County, on May 23, 1973 under index number 6291/1973.

In summary, I respectfully point out to the Court that:

- (A) Mr. Surlak was advised of his rights to counsel;
- (B) Mr. Surlak had sufficient time to exercise that right;
- (C) That the terms of the Agreement were made by the parties themselves, based on their personal negotiations;
- (D) Neither Mr. Surlak, nor any attorney representing him, has ever contacted me, to date, to complain of the Separation Agreement or any terms therein.

It is my belief that, on the basis of my notes concerning Mr. Surlak's income and resources in 1973, the terms were fair and equitable.

ANDREW P. O'ROURKE

Sworn to before me this

day of November, 1978.

BARBARA S. FREES
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 4669680
Qualified in Westchester County
Term Expires March 30, 1080