## Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

From: Sent: Edward Carey <ecarey@nycourts.gov>

Monday, October 22, 2018 4:18 PM

To:

elena@judgewatch.org

Subject:

527081 - Center for Judicial Accountability v Cuomo

**Attachments:** 

img20181022\_15555917.pdf

Ms. Sassower: Your proposed order to show cause in the above-referenced matter was submitted to a Justice of this Court, who declined to sign it. I have attached to this email a copy of the proposed order with the Justice's notation on page 3 thereof.

Your money order (No. 25306690803) in the amount of \$45 will be returned to you by mail.

## Edward J. Carey, Esq.

Chief Motion Attorney
Appellate Division, Third Judicial Department
PO Box 7288, Capitol Station
Albany, New York 12224-0288

Ar the Appellate Division, Third Department of the State of New York, located at the Robert Abrams Building for Law and Justice on State Street, Albany, New York 12223, on the day of October, 2018.

N

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION, THIRD DEPARTMENT

CHNFER FOR JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

and ELENA RUTH SASSOWER, individually and
as Director of the Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.,
acting in their own behalf and on behalf of the People
of the State of New York & the Public Interest,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE (#3) to Strike Respondents' Brief, to Declare the Attorney General's Appellate Representation of Respondents Unlawful, & for Other Relief

-against-

App. Div. 3rd Dept. Docket #527081 Albany Co. Index #5122-16

ANDREW M. CUOMO, in his official capacity as Governor of the State of New York, JOHN J. FLANAGAN in his official capacity as Temporary Senate President, THE NEW YORK.

STATE SENATE, CARL E. HEASTIE, in his official capacity as Assembly Speaker, THE NEW YORK STATE ASSEMBLY, ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of New York, THOMAS P. DINAPOLL, in his official capacity as Comptroller of the State of New York, and JANET M. DIFIORE, in her official capacity as Chief Judge of the State of New York and chief Judicial officer of the Unified Court System,

| Defendants-Respondent | 3. |
|-----------------------|----|
|-----------------------|----|

Upon the annexed affidavit of the unrepresented individual plaintiff-appellant ELENA RUTH SASSOWER, sworn to on October 18, 2018, the exhibits annexed thereto, plaintiffs-appellants' perfected appeal, and upon all the papers and proceedings heretofore had,

LET defendants-respondents show cause before this Court at the Robert Abrams Building for

Law and Justice on State Street, Albany, New York 12223, on the day of 2018 at 10:00 a.m. or as soon thereafter as the parties or their counsel may be heard, why an order

- striking the "Brief for Respondents" signed by Assistant Solicitor General Prederick Brodie, on behalf of Attorney General Barbara Underwood, and bearing the name of Assistant Solicitor General Victor Paladino, as "a fraud on the court", including by its pretense that the attorney general could properly represent respondents;
- declaring Attorney General Underwood's appellate representation of respondents unlawful for lack of any evidence or even a claim that it is based on a determination pursuant to Executive Law §63.1 that such is in "the interest of the state", with a further declaration that such is in "the interest of the state", with a further declaration that such teaches to fine specialisms:
- parauant to 22 NYCRR \$130-1.1 et see, imposing maximum costs and sanctions against Assistant Solicitor General Brodle, Attorney General Underwood, and such other supervisory/managerial attorneys as Assistant Solicitor General such other supervisory/managerial attorneys as Assistant Solicitor General Paladino based on their frivolous and fraudulent respondents' brief.
- parament to Judiciary Law \$487(1), making such determination as would afford appellants treble damages against Assistant Solicitor General Paladino in a civil action based on their frivolous and Assistant Solicitor General Paladino in a civil action based on their frivolous and fraudulent respondents' brieft

+

3°

should not issue:

- pursuant to 22 NYCRR \$100.3D(2) referring Assistant Solicitor General Brodie, Attorney General Underwood, and such other supervisory/managerial attorneys as Assistant Solicitor General Paladino to:
- (a) appropriate disciplinary authorities for their knowing and deliberate violations of New York's Rules of Professional Conduct for Attorneys and, specifically, Rule 3.1 "Non-Meritorious Claims and Contentions"; Rule 3.1 "Responsibilities of Law Firms, Faule 8.4 "Menagers and Supervisory Lawyers"; and Kule 3.2 "Responsibilities of Law Firms, Partners, of a Subordinate Lawyers"; and Kule 3.2 "Responsibilities of a Subordinate Lawyers"; and Kule 3.2 "Responsibilities of a Subordinate Lawyer";
- (b) appropriate criminal authorities for their knowing and deliberate violations of penal laws, including, Penal Law 8496 "corrupting the government"; Penal Law 8195 "official misconduct"; Penal Law 8195 "official misconduct";

\$175.35 "offering a false instrument for filing in the first degree"; Penal Law \$195.20 "defineding the government"; Penal Law \$195.42. "grand larceny in the first degree"; Penal Law \$155.42. "grand larceny in the first degree"; Penal Law \$105.15 "conspiracy in the second degree; Penal Law \$20 "criminal liability for conduct of another";

6. granting such other and further relief as may be just and proper, including \$100 motion costs pursuant to CPLR \$8202.

|             | LET SERVICE of this order to show cause, together with the papers on which it is based, be        |
|-------------|---|
| m           | de on or before the day of October 2018 upon counsel for the defendants-respondents               |
| he          | ein, by e-mail and first-class mail, be deemed good and sufficient service.                       |
|             | ANSWERING PAPERS, if any, are to be served by defendants-respondents, via e-mail and              |
| fir         | t-class mail, at least days prior to the return date of this order to show cause, to wit,         |
| -           | October, 2018 – thereby affording plaintiffs-appellants a reasonable opportunity to               |
| 18          | ky thereto.   |
|             | AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion brought on by this order to show cause                  |
| sh          | ll not be omily argued unless counsel and the unrepresented plaintiffs-appellants are notified to |
| the         | contrary by the Clerk of the Court.   |
| to show can | Associate Justice Appellate Division, Third Department ON. SHARON A.M. AARONS associate Justice   |

DATED: October 22, 2018