

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewidth.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 9, 2025 12:53 PM
To: 'kpoole@nypost.com'; 'leustachewich@nypost.com';
'cunningham@nypost.com'
Cc: 'abrodsky@nypost.com'; 'mlinge@nypost.com'; 'mfischetti@nypost.com';
'hfierick@nypost.com'; 'vgolden@nypost.com'; 'cmccarthy@nypost.com';
'ccampanile@nypost.com'; 'azilber@nypost.com'; 'rcalder@nypost.com';
'tips@nypost.com'; 'online@nypost.com'; 'letters@nypost.com'
Subject: **Federal Lawsuit Suing the NY Post for Corruption-Abetting, Election-Rigging,
"Journalistic Fraud" -- & specifically pertaining to the 2025 NYC mayoral &
other top races**
Attachments: cja-complaint-oct-8-2025.pdf

TO: [NEW YORK POST](#)
Editor-in-Chief Keith Poole
Managing Editor Lia Eustachewich
Executive Editorial Page Editor Mark Cunningham

As I received no response to my below September 22nd e-mail entitled "NOTICE TO NY POST LEGAL COUNSEL: Your NYC election journalism, RIGGING Mamdani's mayoral win -- & other top races in NYC & NYS", I yesterday filed the above-attached and [here-linked](#) federal complaint, suing the [NY Post](#) and other press for corruption-abetting, election-rigging, "journalistic fraud" and specifically pertaining to the 2025 NYC mayoral and other top races.

Pursuant to [Rule 4\(d\) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure](#), you have "a duty to avoid unnecessary expenses of serving the summons" and I, as plaintiff, may request that you "waive service of [the] summons", which I am required to do by mail, enclosing a copy of the complaint, two copies of the waiver form and a stamped return envelope.

As a benefit of your timely return of the waiver, you get 60 days from the date of my mailed request within which to answer the complaint, rather than 21 days from the date of physical/personal service – and your waiving service of the summons does not waive any objection to personal jurisdiction or to venue. If, "without good cause", you fail to sign and return the waiver, "the court must impose" upon you "the expenses later incurred in making service" and "the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, of any motion required to collect expenses". "'Good cause' does not include a belief that the lawsuit is groundless, or that it has been brought in an improper venue, or that the court has no jurisdiction over this matter or over [you]".

What is the [NY Post's](#) mailing address for purposes of my written request for its waiver of service of the summons? I cannot find any physical or mailing address for the [NY Post](#) on your website. [Wikipedia](#) lists your headquarters as 1211 Avenue of the Americas, NYC 10036 – the same address as your [News Corp](#) owner. Presumably, its legal counsel is yours.

Please furnish me with the names of the relevant counsel and their e-mail addresses and phone numbers for purposes of service and so that they can take the appropriate and IMMEDIATE steps mandated by the First Amendment, journalism codes, the [NY Post's](#) own assertions about its journalism – and that of News Corp – and their own professional responsibilities.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2025 3:11 PM
To: 'kpoole@nypost.com' <kpoole@nypost.com>; 'leustachewich@nypost.com' <leustachewich@nypost.com>; 'cunningham@nypost.com' <cunningham@nypost.com>
Cc: 'abrodsky@nypost.com' <abrodsky@nypost.com>; 'mlinge@nypost.com' <mlinge@nypost.com>; 'mfischetti@nypost.com' <mfischetti@nypost.com>; 'hfierick@nypost.com' <hfierick@nypost.com>; 'vgolden@nypost.com' <vgolden@nypost.com>; 'cmccarthy@nypost.com' <cmccarthy@nypost.com>; 'ccampanile@nypost.com' <ccampanile@nypost.com>; 'azilber@nypost.com' <azilber@nypost.com>; 'rcalder@nypost.com' <rcalder@nypost.com>; 'tips@nypost.com' <tips@nypost.com>; 'online@nypost.com' <online@nypost.com>; 'letters@nypost.com' <letters@nypost.com>

Subject: NOTICE TO NY POST LEGAL COUNSEL: Your NYC election journalism, RIGGING Mamdani's mayoral win -- & other top races in NYC & NYS

TO: **NEW YORK POST**

Editor-in-Chief Keith Poole
Managing Editor Lia Eustachewich
Executive Editorial Page Editor Mark Cunningham

I have received no response to my below July 22nd e-mail to you entitled “Ousting Mamdani is EASY. All it requires is reporting on the EVIDENCE of his corruption as a NYS legislator, for which he must be indicted & will be convicted, starting with his pocketing of pay raises for himself that he knew to be fraudulent”, nor to the subsequent e-mails I sent you, on [July 26th](#), [August 3rd](#), and [August 15th](#). Nor have you reported on ANY of the EVIDENCE.

Why is that? Was there anything in those e-mails, each attaching my March 14th e-mail to Mamdani “ELECTIONS ARE A TIME OF ACCOUNTABILITY...”, that did not check out 100%?

To further assist you in discharging your First Amendment responsibilities and your journalistic duties, consistent with your posted “[Editorial Standards](#)”, I am additionally attaching and here-linking two comparable summaries of the open-and-shut, *prima facie* EVIDENCE of Mamdani’s corruption as a NYS assemblyman, reflecting what you have had, but not reported – with knowledge that it is dispositive that Mamdani cannot be elected NYC mayor because he must be indicted and will be convicted, with similar consequences for other candidates on the 2025 ballots for top NYC and NYS offices:

- **NEWS TIP/ALERT**: “Mamdani Can’t Be Elected NYC Mayor, Because He Must Be Indicted & Will Be Convicted of Corruption, as a NYS Assemblyman” (Sept 4th);
- **“MOBILIZING NEW YORK’S JEWISH, PRO-ISRAEL & OTHER COMMUNITIES” announcement**: “SPREAD THE WORD – Mamdani’s Win as NYC Mayor is being

Rigged by the NYT & Other NY Press, Concealing his Corruption as a NYS Assemblyman – A Scandal He Cannot Survive” (August 24th).

Take your pick.

Suffice to note that re-election-seeking Brooklyn D.A. Gonzalez, who faced NO primary challenges for the Democratic and Working Families party lines on which he is running, and who has NO opponent in the general election, has already “won” re-election – and this scenario, which you have not reported, resembles a great many others in NYC and throughout NYS, thanks to the corruption of NYS governance, especially by the NYS Legislature, which does NOT operate at any constitutional level, remotely. In that connection, D.A. Gonzalez has been “sitting on” my [June 9, 2020 grand jury/public corruption complaint against Brooklyn state legislators](#) – materially-identical to my [June 9, 2020 grand jury/public corruption complaint against Queens state legislators](#) that Queens D.A. Katz has been “sitting on” – the SOLE attachment to my March 14th e-mail to Mamdani. On top of this, both D.A.s Gonzalez and Katz, along with their 60 fellow D.A.s of NYS’ 60 other counties – re-election-seeking Manhattan D.A. Bragg, among them – have been “sitting on” my [July 18, 2024 grand jury/public corruption complaint against ALL state legislators](#).

You have reported none of this, nor my further grand jury/public corruption complaints to D.A.s Gonzalez and Bragg, my [March 3, 2025 grand jury/public corruption complaint](#), which, by my [March 27, 2025 grand jury/public corruption complaint](#), I expanded to include ALL state legislators – and which they have been “sitting on”, as well. Needless to say, the most electorally-significant of these “ALL state legislators” are Mamdani and NYS Senator Hoylman-Sigal, who has already “won” election as Manhattan borough president, on [Democratic and Working Families lines](#), as the opposition [Republican candidate](#) and so-called [Unity Party candidate](#) are sham.

If you are not going to report on this EVIDENCE – and the foregoing grand jury/public corruption complaints are an excellent place to start, including because it brings down re-election-seeking incumbent NYC Public Advocate Jumaane Williams, running on [Democratic and Working Families lines](#) – please IMMEDIATELY forward this e-mail to your legal counsel, which I assume is [News Corp’s Executive VP & General Counsel David Pitofsky](#), whose e-mail address and phone number I request.

As always, I am available to answer your questions.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
cell: 646-220-7987
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 10:52 AM
To: 'leustachewich@nypost.com' <leustachewich@nypost.com>; 'kpoole@nypost.com' <kpoole@nypost.com>; 'cunningham@nypost.com' <cunningham@nypost.com>; 'tips@nypost.com' <tips@nypost.com>; 'online@nypost.com' <online@nypost.com>; 'letters@nypost.com'

<letters@nypost.com>; 'mfischetti@nypost.com' <mfischetti@nypost.com>; 'hfierick@nypost.com' <hfierick@nypost.com>; 'vgolden@nypost.com' <vgolden@nypost.com>; 'cmccarthy@nypost.com' <cmccarthy@nypost.com>; 'ccampanile@nypost.com' <ccampanile@nypost.com>
Cc: 'sgreene@holtzmanvogel.com' <sgreene@holtzmanvogel.com>

Subject: Ousting Mamdani is EASY. All it requires is reporting on the EVIDENCE of his corruption as a NYS legislator, for which he must be indicted & will be convicted, starting with his pocketing of pay raises for himself that he knew to be fraudulent

TO: [New York Post](#)

A propos of your yesterday's

- (1) editorial "[Enough fence-riding: Dem leaders need to take a stand on Mamdani](#)",
- (2) article "[New York Young Republican Club pushing Congress to disqualify Zohran Mamdani from becoming NYC mayor](#)" (Matthew Fischetti),
- (3) guest column "[Zohran Mamdani's disdain for the law is disqualifying — and dangerous](#)" (Susan Greene, Esq.).

will you report on the EVIDENCE of Mamdani's corruption as a NYS assemblyman, for which he must be indicted and will be convicted – the unreported story underlying your July 11th article "[Zohran Mamdani's campaign persona called into question by vote to give himself raise: critics](#)" (Hannah Fierick), about which Mamdani did not respond to your request for comment.

By my below July 13th e-mail to The New York Times, I asked what it thought about your July 11th article and whether it would obtain the comment from Mamdani that you had not. The Times did not respond.

As stated by my above-attached [March 14th e-mail to Mamdani](#):

"Elections are a time of accountability. Based on your on-the-job performance as a state assemblyman, why do you believe yourself fit to be mayor of New York City – or for any office of public trust?"

Mamdani did not respond to this March 14th e-mail – and I sent it to him repeatedly, as recounted by my July 11th to The Times, also below.

Consistent with your exemplary "[Editorial Standards](#)", will you investigate and report on the EVIDENCE furnished by my plainly dispositive March 14th e-mail to Mamdani and its reinforcing July 11th and July 13th e-mails to The Times?

I am available to answer questions.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org

914-421-1200
cell: 646-220-7987
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, July 13, 2025 12:40 PM
To: 'pdh@nytimes.com' <pdh@nytimes.com>
Cc: 'grace.ashford@nytimes.com' <grace.ashford@nytimes.com>; 'ben.oreskes@nytimes.com' <ben.oreskes@nytimes.com>; 'jay.root@nytimes.com' <jay.root@nytimes.com>; 'metro@nytimes.com' <metro@nytimes.com>; 'editorial@nytimes.com' <editorial@nytimes.com>; 'nytnews@nytimes.com' <nytnews@nytimes.com>; 'letters@nytimes.com' <letters@nytimes.com>; 'benjamin.mullin@nytimes.com' <benjamin.mullin@nytimes.com>

Subject: AGAIN -- The Times' "News Value" Standard & Confirmation "Through Direct Sources" -- MAMDANI, etc.

TO: [New York Times Assistant Managing Editor Patrick Healy/Standards & Trust](#)

Eight hours after sending you my below July 11th e-mail – whose several typos in Mamdani’s name I have now corrected – the New York Post published “[Zohran Mamdani’s campaign persona called into question by vote to give himself raise: critics](#)”, identifying that “Mamdani did not respond to The Post’s request for comment.”

Apparently, The Post saw “news value” in its July 11th story. Do you? Will The Times be following up by requesting Mamdani’s comment to The Post article – the tiniest sliver of Mamdani’s hypocrisy and corruption that my below linked March 14th, March 17th, March 18th, and March 20th e-mails to him establish. Have you not yet determined their “news value”?

By the way, is The Times’ May 28th article “[Mamdani Has a Thin Legislative Record but Is a Forceful Voice in Albany](#)” the only article The Times published about his record as an assemblyman – so puny that it had to be bolstered by comments from other legislators, ALL Democrats, as to his “forceful voice” “to move the ideological center of the Assembly to the left”, interspiced with The Times’ usual anti-Israel slanting and slandering, consistent with Mamdani’s lies as, for instance, in stating: “Mr. Mamdani stands firm in his defense of Palestinians in Gaza, where over [53,000 people have been killed](#) by Israeli forces” – when revealed by the hyperlink is that this figure is “according to Palestinian health officials, who do not distinguish between civilians and combatants” – in other words, it is according to Hamas.

Suffice to say, this May 28th article, by two of your three Albany reporters – recipients of my March 18th and March 20th e-mails and of my May 18th letter to Publisher Sulzberger – concealed the ENTIRETY of what the e-mails particularized, with evidence, and falsely made it appear as if there is nothing irregular in how the Legislature operates, including pertaining to the budget and this fiscal year, and the inclusion of policy – indeed, concluding, as follows:

“Perhaps the most telling evidence of Mr. Mamdani’s evolution came earlier this month, when the budget bills came up for a vote. As in years past, they contained scores of policy changes, not all to Mr. Mamdani’s liking. Mr. Mamdani voted yes.”

For that “yes” vote alone, Mamdani cannot be elected NYC’s next mayor, because – based on my e-mails to him – he must be indicted and will be convicted of corruption. If you have any doubt of this, you should “confirm it through direct sources”, such as [former Assistant U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of New York Jim Walden](#), running for NYC mayor as an independent, with an [anti-corruption policy platform featured on his campaign website](#).

Tellingly, [Mamdani’s campaign website contains no anti-corruption platform](#) – and none is reflected in The Times’ July 9th guest essay “[If Zohran Mamdani Wins, Then What?](#)” by Ross Barkan, with its required disclosure: “In 2018, when I ran for state senator in New York City, Mr. Mamdani was my campaign manager”. Barkan, a left-wing, agenda-driven journalist, is an accomplice to the corruption of lawful, constitutional state governance involving the Legislature, the budget, and pay raises. [As far back as May 2013](#), I furnished him with the evidence of what was going on, including my [April 15, 2013 complaint to then U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York Preet Bharara](#) – sending him e-mail, after e-mail, to which he never responded. This includes when he was campaigning for the Senate, such as my [October 4, 2017](#) and [January 17, 2018](#) e-mails to him reflecting my entreaties that he could easily win election and, simultaneously, propel non-partisan, good-government reform if he would “blow the whistle” on the corruption of the Senate incumbent – entreaties I would repeat in my e-mails in 2020 to Mamdani, running against an Assembly incumbent. In any event, nothing could be more obscene than Barkan’s sentence, in his essay, “There cannot be any whiff of self-dealing or graft in the Mamdani administration”, considering that “self-dealing” and “graft” are precisely how Mamdani became and conducted himself as an assemblyman, in collusion with his fellow self-dealing, grafting legislators, who would then endorse and support his mayoral candidacy.

Please advise by no later than noon tomorrow, July 14th, whether you will be confirming from Mamdani the obvious truth of my e-mails to him, etc.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Friday, July 11, 2025 10:27 AM
To: 'pdh@nytimes.com' <pdh@nytimes.com>
Cc: 'grace.ashford@nytimes.com' <grace.ashford@nytimes.com>; 'ben.oreskes@nytimes.com' <ben.oreskes@nytimes.com>; 'jay.root@nytimes.com' <jay.root@nytimes.com>; 'metro@nytimes.com' <metro@nytimes.com>; 'editorial@nytimes.com' <editorial@nytimes.com>; 'nytnews@nytimes.com' <nytnews@nytimes.com>; 'letters@nytimes.com' <letters@nytimes.com>; 'benjamin.mullin@nytimes.com' <benjamin.mullin@nytimes.com>

Subject: The Times' "News Value" Standard & Confirmation "Through Direct Sources" -- The "On-The-Job Performance" of Mamdani & Hoylman-Sigal as State Legislators, Corrupting Lawful, Constitutional State Governance & Irreparably Injuring the People of New York

TO: [New York Times Assistant Managing Editor Patrick Healy/Standards & Trust](#)

The Times' July 4th article "[Mamdani Once Claimed to Be Asian and African American. Should It Matter?](#)" quotes [your statement](#):

"When we hear anything of news value, we try to confirm it through direct sources. Mr. Mamdani confirmed this information in an interview with The Times."

Are you aware of my [March 14th e-mail](#) to Mamdani entitled "ELECTIONS ARE A TIME OF ACCOUNTABILITY...", whose supplement I sent him on [March 17th](#) – thereafter sending both to him again when I cc'd him on my [March 18th e-mail](#) to the roster of Legislative Correspondents Association reporters – The Times' three Albany reporters among them – and then, again, when I cc'd him on my [March 20th e-mail](#) to The Times, [New York Post](#), [Daily News](#), [New York Law Journal](#), [Wall Street Journal](#), and [Associated Press](#), with the five [Times](#) e-mail addresses being Metro and Editorial in addition to the three Albany reporters.

The March 20th e-mail I would then feature as "Example #1", at page 1, of my mailed [May 18th letter to Publisher A.G. Sulzberger](#), e-mailed to eight [Times](#) e-mail addresses, including Editorial, Metro, and the three Albany reporters, on [May 18th](#) and [May 19th](#).

As the "news value" of my e-mails to Mamdani is obvious, did [The Times](#) not ask him to confirm their equally obvious truth? And if not, why not – and will it do so now that he has won the Democratic primary to be New York City mayor?

Also, and plainly reinforcing, was the obvious "news value" of my [March 16th e-mail](#) to Senator Brad Hoylman-Sigal, also entitled "ELECTIONS ARE A TIME OF ACCOUNTABILITY...", and additionally sent to him, twice, when I cc'd him on the March 18th and March 20th e-mails of which it was part. Did [The Times](#) not ask Hoylman-Sigal to confirm the equally obvious truth of these e-mails to him? If not, why not – and will it do so now that he has won [the Democratic primary to be Manhattan borough president?](#)

Please advise – including as to when you would like to interview me with respect to the foregoing election-upending EVIDENCE of the "on-the-job performance" of Mamdani and Hoylman-Sigal as state legislators, corrupting lawful, constitutional state governance and irreparably injuring the People of New York.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org