


distortion of both fact and law, which – with Justice Cohalan’s complicity – succeeded in corrupting the judicial process, utterly.


### **CONCLUSION**

Satterlee’s opposition brief is frivolous and a fraud on the Court – triggering this Court’s mandatory disciplinary responsibilities under §100.3D of New York’s Chief Administrator’s Rules of Judicial Conduct and reinforcing what is obvious from the record on appeal: that appellants are entitled, *as a matter of law*, to summary judgment on their four causes of action, removal of the “**ACCURACY**” policy from respondent Journal News’ masthead, and all the other relief sought by their November 29, 2010 cross-motion and December 21, 2011 motion.



ELENA RUTH SASSOWER

Dated: October 19, 2012  
White Plains, New York



DORIS L. SASSOWER

Dated: July 4, 2013  
White Plains, New York

## CONCLUSION

Based on the record herein, this Court's constitutionally-mandated appellate and supervisory duty is to not only reverse, but to vacate, the appealed-from April 23, 2012 and September 22, 2011 short-form orders and to grant plaintiffs all the relief to which their December 21, 2011 motion and November 29, 2010 cross-motion entitled them, *as a matter of law* – including the summary judgment sought by the seventh branch of their cross-motion.

  
ELENA RUTH SASSOWER

Dated: August 20, 2012  
White Plains, New York

  
DORIS L. SASSOWER

Dated: July 4, 2012  
White Plains, New York