

**Subject: Your Warp-Speed Response**

**Date:** 7/6/2006, 12:17 PM

**From:** Elena Ruth Sassower <[judgewatchers@aol.com](mailto:judgewatchers@aol.com)>

**To:** [tomrosen@journalism.org](mailto:tomrosen@journalism.org)

**Organization:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.

Dear Tom,

I am at a loss to understand how you could have read my letter to you within seven minutes of its e-mail transmittal. Indeed, the content of your response does not reflect that you read it -- as my letter is explicitly based on the Project for Excellence in Journalism's stated methodology and mission, to which I both refer and quote.

I will call you at the end of next week -- hopefully after you have received and reviewed the copy of the verified complaint against The New York Times, which I mailed you yesterday. As summarized by my letter, the complaint

"not only exposes as myth The Times' supposed excellent, quality journalism, but reveals as sham The Times' purported soul-searching and reforms in the wake of the Jayson Blair scandal, including its establishment of the office of public editor..."

I look forward to discussing with you the substantiating *primary source* documents, annexed to the complaint as exhibits, as well as the other *primary source* documents posted on CJA's website, [www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org). -- as these evidentiarily establish a flagrant lack of journalistic standards by The Times and other media, betraying the public trust and imperiling our democracy. Surely, you would agree that research must be based on evidence.

Thank you.

Elena

Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
(914) 421-1200