Did You Yourself Actually Read CJA's June 17, 2004 Complaint -- and Review the Substantiating Cited Evidence

Subject: Did You Yourself Actually Read CJA's June 17, 2004 Complaint -- and Review the Substantiating Cited Evidence

Date: 6/22/2004, 9:32 AM

From: Elena Ruth Sassower < judgewatchers@aol.com>

To: <u>Daniel Okrent <public@nytimes.com></u>
Organization: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.

Dear Mr. Okrent,

Thank you for your prompt -- albeit incomprehensible -- June 21st e-mail, purporting that the "very serious" allegations of CJA's June 17, 2004 complaint lack "evidence".

Please confirm, by a <u>signed</u> letter, that you ACTUALLY READ the complaint, whose SECOND PARAGRAPH opens with the words: "In substantiation, enclosed is the Center for Judicial Accountability's May 24, 2004 memo to Gerry Mulaney, Deputy Metro Edito for Politics..."

Please also confirm that you ACTUALLY READ CJA's June 11, 2003 memorandum-complaint to the Editorial Board, as likewise CJA's June 19, 2003 letter to Allan Seigal and subsequent correspondence with Jill Abramson and Bill Keller based thereon — whose significance in establishing The Times multitudinous conflicts of interest" was summarized by ALL SIX PAGES of the June 17, 2004 complaint.

On June 19th, "hard copies" of the foregoing were hand-delivered to <u>The Times</u> to assist you. Did you receive that hand-delivery?

Finally, please confirm that you ACTUALLY REVIEWED the "Paper Trail" of politically-explosive *primary source* documents on which CJA's June 17, 2004 complaint and prior correspondence explicitly rest, conveniently posted on the homepage of CJA's website, *www.judgewatch.org*. Do you deny or dispute that these *primary source* documents evidentiarily establish the corruption of federal judicial selection/confirmation — and Senator Schumer's pivotal role therein — and that this warrants <u>Times'</u>

Thank you.

Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) (914) 421-1200