## **Center for Judicial Accountability**

From: Sent: Center for Judicial Accountability <elena@judgewatch.org>

Monday, March 28, 2016 10:33 AM

To:

jemcki@nytimes.com; 'metro@nytimes.com'; 'editorial@nytimes.com';

'susan\_arbetter@wcny.org'; 'liz.benjamin@twcnews.com'; 'gblain@nydailynews.com'; cbragg@timesunion.com; 'wbrunelle@politico.com'; 'jcampbell1@gannett.com';

dan.clark@twcnews.com; 'kclukey@politico.com'; kconley@nypost.com;

acutler@wten.com; 'kdewitt@wxxi.org'; 'fud31@aol.com'; ny.tips@chalkbeat.org; 'zack.fink@ny1news.com'; jvielkind@capitalnewyork.com; 'cseiler@timesunion.com';

'efmnews@aol.com'; news@cbs6albany.com; 'michael.gormley@newsday.com'; mhamilton@timesunion.com; 'khughes@nysnys.com'; ahupfl@cityandstateny.com;

'rkarlin@timesunion.com'; shaneking@statewatch.com; info@gothamgazette.com; 'dking@gothamgazette.com'; dklepper@ap.org; david@statewatch.com;

'klnynews@aol.com'; 'bmahoney@politico.com'; 'mmcandrew@syracuse.com';

Erica.Orden@wsj.com; 'nperreault@wutr.tv'; 'Alyssa\_Plock@wcny.org';

mikep@statewatch.com; 'tprecious@buffnews.com'; 'nick.reisman@twcnews.com';

drobinson@bizjournals.com; krouse@wnyc.org; 'yancey.roy@newsday.com'; 'mryan@wmht.org'; 'jspector@gannett.com'; 'joelstashenko@aol.com';

'jvalasquez@politico.com'; hviccaro@dailygazette.net; jvielkind@capitalnewyork.com;

'mvirtanen@ap.org'; 'swaldman@politico.com'; vivian.yee@nytimes.com;

mike.vilensky@wsj.com

Subject:

NEWS ALERT: Lawsuit challenging NY's 3-men-in-a-room budget deal-making (1st

ever?!)

## [E]ven more opaque" this year than in previous years.

That is how yesterday's <u>Wall Street Journal</u> describes New York's behind-closed-doors "'three men in a room' process of negotiating the roughly \$154 billion budget" – with quotes from Senate Minority Leader Andrea Stewart-Cousins:

"This year's budget process has been nothing but a series of covert meetings and phone calls shielded from the press and public"

and from Senate Finance Committee Ranking Member Liz Krueger that:

"[the secrecy] has never been acceptable but never seems to change".

(http://www.wsj.com/articles/new-york-state-budget-set-to-omit-tighter-rules-on-ethics-1459127730 -- "New York State Budget Set to Omit Tighter Rules on Ethics", Erica Orden, Mike Vilensky)

Apparently, no one has ever bought a court challenge to the constitutionality and lawfulness of "three men in a room" budget deal-making, let alone its exclusion of legislators, the public, and the press – until now.

Last Wednesday, March 23<sup>rd</sup>, the non-partisan, non-profit citizens' organization, Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA), filed lawsuit papers for a declaration that New York's three-men-in-a-room budget deal-making is unconstitutional and unlawful – as likewise the whole of the executive budget for fiscal year 2016-2017 resting thereon and upon a mountain of other constitutional, statutory and rule violations.

The court papers are posted on CJA's website, www.judgewatch.org, accessible from the prominent homepage link:

"CJA's Citizen-Taxpayer Action to End NYS' Corrupt Budget 'Process' & Unconstitutional 'Three Men in a Room' Governance". The direct link to the webpage for the March 23<sup>rd</sup> order to show cause and verified second supplemental complaint is here: <a href="http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-nys/budget/3-23-16-osc-2nd-supp-complaint.htm">http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-nys/budget/3-23-16-osc-2nd-supp-complaint.htm</a>

The verified second supplemental complaint is a paper-trail of the corruption of the state budget, focused on the slush-fund \$4 billion legislative/judiciary budget bill and the "force of law" judicial salary increases recommended by the Commission on Legislative, Judicial and Executive Compensation whose \$27-plus million dollar cost for fiscal year 2016-2017 will take effect, automatically, on April 1<sup>st</sup> unless overridden by the Legislature before then. Most germane to these – and to the whole of the executive budget – are the following:

- (1) the 12<sup>th</sup> cause of action (at pp. 36-53) "Nothing Lawful or Constitutional Can Emerge From a Legislative Process that Violates its Own Statutory & Rule Safeguards and the Constitution";
- (2) the 13<sup>th</sup> cause of action (at pp. 53-67)"Chapter 60, Part E of the Laws of 2015 [creating the Commission on Legislative, Judicial and Executive Compensation] is Unconstitutional, *As Written...*", particularly its Parts D & E (at pp. 60-67); and
- (3) the 16<sup>th</sup> cause of action (at pp. 80-85): "Three-Men-in-a-Room Budget Deal-Making is Unconstitutional, as Unwritten and as Applied".

I am available to be interviewed and to assist you, to the max. Call anytime, day or night.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) 914-421-1200 Cell/text: 646-220-7987

www.judgewatch.org