

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewidth.org>
Sent: Tuesday, December 27, 2022 3:14 PM

To: 'aabramov@buffalo.edu'; 'Sudha.Setty@law.cuny.edu';
'deansoffice@law.cuny.edu'; 'aouel@albanylaw.edu';
'michael.cahill@brooklaw.edu'; 'glester@law.columbia.edu';
'law.dean@cornell.edu'; 'DeanMatthewDiller@law.fordham.edu';
'lawdean@hofstra.edu'; 'mckenzie@exchange.law.nyu.edu';
'DeansOffice@mercury.law.nyu.edu'; 'handerson@law.pace.edu';
'simonsm@stjohns.edu'; 'cmboise@syr.edu'; 'vaottman@syr.edu';
'elangan@tourolaw.edu'; 'deansofficecardozo@yu.edu';
'dortega3@buffalo.edu'; 'delaney1@buffalo.edu'; 'mcook@buffalo.edu'

Cc: 'anthony.crowell@nyls.edu'; 'info@irc.ny.gov'; 'Help@whittinghamlaw.com'

Subject: **Your unanimous 15-0 vote approving Governor Hochul's formal nomination of Kaylin Whittingham, ESQ. to the Commission on Ethics & Lobbying in Government -- & your on-going ethical, professional, & civic responsibilities pertaining to CJA v. JCOPE, et al.**

Attachments: [6-12-22-ltr-to-independent-review-committee.pdf](#); [11-30-2022-thank-you.jpg](#)

TO: CELG Independent Review Committee Law School Deans (IRC)

SUNY-Buffalo Law School Dean Aviva Abramovsky
CUNY-Queens College Law School Dean Sudha Setty
Albany Law School Dean Alicia Ouellette
Brooklyn Law School Dean Michael T. Cahill
Columbia University Law School Dean Gillian Lester
Cornell University Law School Dean Jens David Ohlin
Fordham Law School Dean Matthew Diller
Hofstra Law School Dean Gail Prudenti
New York University Law School Dean Troy McKenzie
Pace University Law School Dean Horace E. Anderson, Jr.
St. John's University Law School Dean Michael A. Simons
Syracuse University Law School Dean Craig M. Boise
Touro College Law School Elena B. Langan
Yeshiva University Cardozo Law School Dean Melanie Leslie

cc: Chair, New York Law School Dean Anthony Crowell
IRC Records Access Officer Matthew Gewolb

According to [your IRC website](#), on December 16, 2022, you sent a determination letter to Governor Hochul, confirming the Governor's December 7, 2022 "formal nomination" of lawyer Kaylin Whittingham as a member of the Commission on Ethics and Lobbying in Government (CELG), which you publicly announced by [a December 20, 2022 news release](#), identifying such confirmation as having been "unanimous", "15-0" – and the product of review that was "careful and rigorous".

[IRC procedures](#), which you publicly announced by a [June 15, 2022 news release](#), state:

“When making a formal nomination, each Selection Member shall provide to the IRC:...any written comments received by mail or electronically in support of or in opposition to the nomination...”.

Is that what Governor Hochul did? Did the Governor provide the IRC with the written comment I electronically sent, at 2:21 a.m. on November 30, 2022, *via* her [web portal](#), in opposition to lawyer Whittingham – a portal which limited comment to 250 words.

My written comment was:

“Kaylin Whittingham, ESQ. is unworthy of any position of public trust – having colluded, since June, in covering up the prima facie, open-and-shut EVIDENCE of governmental corruption involving the New York State budget that enabled JCOPE to be replaced, unconstitutionally and by fraud, by the statutorily-inferior Commission on Ethics and Lobbying in Government (CELG), to which Governor Hochul has now appointed her. The proof are the e-mails I sent Ms. Whittingham, as a member of the Executive Committee of the New York State Bar Association, about the lawsuit Center for Judicial Accountability, et al. v. JCOPE, et al. – expressly brought on behalf of the People of the State of New York, suing Governor Hochul as a named respondent/defendant, along with CELG’s other ‘selection members’ – Temporary Senate President Stewart-Cousins, Assembly Speaker Heastie, Attorney General James, and Comptroller DiNapoli. These are dated June 14th, June 16th, July 3rd, and September 25th. Will you ask Ms. Whittingham – a lawyer described by the Governor’s press announcement as ‘specializing in the laws of professional responsibility for lawyers’ – what she did, consistent with those laws, upon receiving my e-mails? What findings of fact and conclusions of law did she make, or insist be made by her fellow State Bar leadership, starting with the constitutionality and lawfulness of the enactment of the ‘ethics commission reform act of 2022’, via the budget – the sixth cause of action of the lawsuit? I am available to give testimony, publicly and under oath. Is she? 914-421-1200”.

Immediately upon my pressing the send/submit button, an acknowledgment popped up on the Governor’s web portal, reading: "Thank you! Your submission has been sent". Above attached is a photo. This was the first and last I heard from the Governor’s office, replicating my experience with the Governor’s office in July, upon sending my written comment in opposition to the Governor’s proposed nomination of lawyer Michael Cardozo.

Nor did I hear from anyone else, including the IRC, about my written comment in opposition to lawyer Whittingham. No one called to interview me or to request my referred-to four e-mails, these being:

- [my June 14, 2022 e-mail](#) entitled “EMERGENCY ACTION REQUIRED, consistent with ethical, professional & civic responsibilities: Lawsuit to VOID the ‘ethics commission reform act of 2022’ and for TRO -- CJA, et al. v. JCOPE, et al. (Albany Co. #904235-22)”;
- [my June 16, 2022 e-mail](#) entitled “NOTICE OF CANCELLATION of tomorrow's oral argument on TRO, hopefully to be rescheduled to Wed. June 22nd -- CJA, et al v. JCOPE, et al (Albany Co. #904235-22)”;

- [my July 3, 2022 e-mail](#) entitled “TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE -- TRO/Preliminary Injunction: ‘ethics commission reform act of 2022’ -- CJA, et al. v. JCOPE, et al. (Albany Co. #904235-22”); and
- [my September 25, 2022 e-mail](#) entitled “WANTED: your scholarship, expertise, & independent expert opinion as to the state of the record in CJA v. JCOPE, et al -- starting with the 6th cause of action to VOID the ‘ethics commission reform act of 2022’”.

Therefore, in keeping with the “transparency” to which you purport to adhere, please advise:

- (1) whether Governor Hochul transmitted my November 30, 2022 written comment to the IRC and, with it, the results of any investigation thereof, including lawyer Whittingham’s response thereto;
- (2) whether my written comment and any investigative results were received by IRC Chair Crowell;
- (3) whether Chair Crowell transmitted my written comment and investigative results to you – and alerted you that he suffered from conflict of interest disqualifications;
- (4) whether you disclosed your conflict of interest disqualifications;
- (5) whether you determined that neither Chair Crowell nor yourselves should be disqualified or recused;
- (5) whether you required lawyer Whittingham’s response to my written comment.

Presumably, you have records reflecting the foregoing – and I request their production, pursuant to FOIL and [Executive Law §94.3\(k\)](#), the latter stating:

“...applicable records pertaining to the review and selection process for a member’s seat shall be subject to disclosure pursuant to article six of the public officers law only after an individual member is appointed to the commission. Requests for such records shall be made to, and processed by, the commission’s records access officer.”

While you’re at it, I also request production of IRC records pertaining to your approvals of all other nominees to CELG – starting with lawyer Cardozo, lawyer Seymour James, and lawyer Nancy Groenwegen and including the written comments about them which their “selection members” furnished you, these being, respectively, , Governor Hochul, Temporary Senate President Stewart Cousins, and Comptroller DiNapoli. According to [your website](#), you sent approval letters to those “selection members” on August 17th, August 19th, and August 31st, respectively, unaccompanied by press releases. This was long after [my August 4th e-mail to you](#), attaching [my August 4th letter](#) entitled: “Violation of Vetting Rules & Investigative Protocols by Selection Members & the Independent Review Committee – Born of Conflicts of Interest”, wherein I furnished you with the written comments I had electronically sent to those three “selection members” opposing their respective three nominees. That was the same August 4th letter as also

furnished you with my written comment opposing lawyer Gary Lavine that I had electronically sent to his “selection member”, Senate Minority Leader Ortt, to whom you sent a rejection letter on September 1st, unaccompanied by a press release.

By the way, below is the last of the four e-mails I sent to lawyer Whittingham — attaching, as two of my prior e-mails to her had, [my June 12th letter to you](#) – and setting forth the “happy news” that CJA had now made a motion for summary judgment on all ten of the lawsuit’s causes of action.

What examination did lawyer Whittingham make of [the lawsuit](#) upon receipt of my e-mails – and what actions did she take to ensure that the New York State Bar Association, on whose [Executive Committee](#) she serves, would be making findings of fact and conclusions of law, starting with the sixth cause of action to VOID the “ethics commission reform act of 2022”?

Coincidentally, on November 23rd – the same day as [Governor Hochul’s announcement of lawyer Whittingham’s \(proposed\) nomination](#) – Ulster County Supreme Court Justice Gandin, to whom the lawsuit had been transferred from Albany County, upended ALL cognizable adjudicative standards in a “Decision, Order and Judgment” ([#111](#)) that dismissed all ten causes of action. Coincidentally, too, on December 16th – the same day as [you unanimously voted to approve the Governor’s nomination of lawyer Whittingham](#) – CJA fought back against what Justice Gandin had done by filing a reargument/vacatur motion ([#119](#), [#120](#)), and, additionally, a notice of appeal ([#122](#)). Both rest on my 31-page, single-spaced “legal autopsy”/analysis of the “Decision, Order and Judgment” ([#121](#)), demonstrating it to be “so totally devoid of evidentiary support as to render [it] unconstitutional under the Due Process Clause” of the United States Constitution and New York State Constitution, and [] a criminal act, violating a succession of provisions of New York’s Penal Law”.

Just as [my June 12th letter to you and subsequent correspondence](#) sought your discharge of ethical, professional, and civic responsibilities with respect to the *CJA v. JCOPE, et al.* lawsuit, so I seek it again now, by this e-mail. The assistant attorney general handling the case for respondent Attorney General James requested my consent to a two-week adjournment of the return date – to which I [e-mailed back](#) that I had “no objection, so long as [he] furnish[es] the reargument/vacatur motion to [his] superiors – starting at the top with respondent Attorney General James – so that appropriate, if way belated, steps are taken consistent with professional and ethical responsibilities”. He thereafter filed a December 23rd letter to Justice Gandin for an agreed-to adjournment of the motion to January 20, 2023 ([#124](#)), which I anticipate will be granted.

Consequently, you have ample time to examine the December 16th reargument/vacatur motion so as to furnish Justice Gandin with an *amicus curiae* brief or other presentation, as to what must happen, going forward – and to take other appropriate actions. As I pointed out in my June 12th letter (at p. 3), if you have any doubts as to what actions are appropriate, indeed mandated by rules of professional and ethical responsibilities, you should obtain the guidance of your faculty that instructs your law students on such subjects.

If I do not hear back from you by Wednesday, January 4, 2023, I will e-mail such relevant faculty listed on your law school websites, myself.

Meantime, I am cc’ing lawyer Whittingham so that, as [an expert in lawyer and judicial ethics who makes her living from such expertise](#), she may be heard with respect to the foregoing.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>

Sent: Sunday, September 25, 2022 10:51 AM

To: sherry@slevinesq.com; rlewis@hkh.com; domenick@napoletanolaw.com;
garensen@kaplanfox.com; lsharkey@cswwlawfirm.com; info@davidforbk.com; orin@orincohenlaw.com;
Michael@mamarinacciolaw.com; attorney@mampc.net; tmaroney@maroneyoconnorllp.com;
mmay@lgtlegal.com; mcnamara@sewkis.com; mmoretti@phillipslytle.com;
Christopher.Riano@hkllaw.com; adamseiden2002@aol.com; OFCCP-NE@dol.gov; ksweet@gmclaw.com;
pyeung@gylawny.com; rminkoff@fkks.com; mirna@girlsrulethelaw.org;
Help@whittinghamlaw.com; cbopst@aol.com; ciparickc@gtlaw.com; sagata@pbanys.org;
malcott@paulweiss.com; cbucki@phillipslytle.com; lclark@barclaydamon.com; hfernandez@bsk.com;
mfinerty@getnicklaw.com; glaserm@gtlaw.com; greenbergh@gtlaw.com; jlebowitz@abramslaw.com;
atlevin@msek.com; blieb@albanylaw.edu; contact@lyons-mcgovern.com; nmar@lsnyc.org;
davidevanmarkus@gmail.com; ameyer@golawllp.com; john.nonna@squirepb.com;
kpeters@ebglaw.com; acrendo@rendolaw.com; rrifk@albanylaw.edu; nrobinson@law.pace.edu;
erosenthal@elderlawflg.com; jarrodsmithlaw@gmail.com; justinteff@kirkandteff.com; it@treulaw.com;
spyounger@foleyhoag.com

Cc: pmcdevitt@nysba.org; gmcavey@nysba.org; dmiranda@nysba.org; kbaxter@nysba.org;
trichards@nysba.org; hfi@jochmansconsulting.com; cburke@nysba.org; blee@alm.com;
ccharnosky@alm.com

Subject: **WANTED: your scholarship, expertise, & independent expert opinion as to the state of the record in CJA v. JCOPE, et al -- starting with the 6th cause of action to VOID the "ethics commission reform act of 2022"**

TO: **[New York State Bar Association Officers, Executive Committee Members](#)**
& **[Members of the Committee on the New York State Constitution](#)**

On this, what would have been the 90th birthday of CJA's co-founder, my beloved mother [Doris L. Sassower, Esq., a leader of the bar](#) who took her leadership and attorney responsibilities seriously, I share with you the happy news that [CJA has made a motion for summary judgment](#) on all ten causes of action of the [June 6, 2022 verified petition/complaint](#) in its *pro bono*, public interest lawsuit [CJA v. JCOPE, et al.](#), as to which I sought your intervention, *amicus curiae* support, scholarship, and other assistance, first by a [June 14th e-mail](#), and then by a [July 3rd e-mail](#), particularly with regard to its sixth cause of action to void the "ethics commission reform act of 2022".

I received not a single response to either e-mail – and last week, with the above attachment, sent the below e-mail to Bennett Liebman, Esq., a member of your Committee on the New York State Constitution, who, as "Government Lawyer in Residence" at Albany Law School's Government Law Center, wrote what purports to be an "explainer" entitled "[Explaining the Ethics Commission Reform Act of 2022](#)," making no

mention of the *CJA v. JCOPE, et al.* lawsuit or any of the issues therein. My e-mail to him inquired whether, following receipt of my June 14th and July 3rd e-mails by members of the Committee on the New York State Constitution, there was NO discussion about them and NO communications with the State Bar's officers and Executive Committee. I requested Mr. Liebman's response before today, but have received nothing. It is therefore appropriate that you read my serious and substantial September 21st e-mail to him so that you may know what is happening – and not happening – on the scholarship front, *vis-à-vis* the *CJA v. JCOPE, et al.* lawsuit. Is this acceptable to you?

Please advise what scholarship and other appropriate action you will be taking with respect to the *CJA v. JCOPE, et al.* lawsuit – and, specifically, whether, with so many litigation experts and experts on constitutional and ethics issues among you, you will provide me with independent expert opinion that I can submit to the Court before the October 6th return date of the summary judgment motion – including as to the branches relating to Attorney General James' disqualification, Justice Gandin's disqualification, and transfer/removal to federal court.

Inasmuch as I do not have e-mail addresses for everyone, I ask, as I have previously, that State Bar President Wallach ensure that this e-mail is forwarded to all State Bar officers, Executive Committee members, and members of the Committee on the New York State Constitution, including those disqualified for interest, who – pursuant to ethical rules – are mandated to step aside from any determination herein, and, absent their doing so, to make disclosure to their colleagues who then can address the situation appropriately.

I am available to answer questions – and would welcome the opportunity to do so.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2022 3:45 PM
To: 'blieb@albanylaw.edu' <blieb@albanylaw.edu>

Subject: EXPLANATION REQUIRED: Your Sept. 15th "Explaining the Ethics Commission Reform Act of 2022" -- & the foreseeability of "What comes next in New York State's pursuit of ethics reform"

TO: [Bennett Liebman, Esq./Albany Law School adjunct professor](#)
[and "Government Lawyer in Residence" of its Government Law Center](#)

Explanation is required for your "[Explaining the Ethics Commission Reform Act of 2022](#)" with its conclusion: "It is not possible to predict with any certainty the life cycle of the [Commission on Ethics and Lobbying in Government (CELG)]...What comes next in New York State's pursuit of ethics reform is not foreseeable."

As you make NO mention of CJA's *pro bono*, public interest lawsuit to void the "ethics commission reform act of 2022" – from which "the life cycle" and "what comes next" are eminently "foreseeable" – is it possible you are unaware of it?

By the below June 14, 2022 e-mail I alerted the New York State Bar Association's officers, its Executive Committee members, and the members of its Committee on the New York State Constitution, yourself among them, to the lawsuit, then already publicized by a [front page, above-the-fold June 13th New York Law Journal article](#). With it, I furnished the above-attached June 12th letter that I had sent to the "independent review committee" of New York's 15 law school deans, including Albany Law School Dean Ouellette.

The e-mail described the "ethics commission reform act of 2022" as "a regressive statute enacted, unconstitutionally, *via* the state budget, to 'protect' complained-against public officers from accountability by stripping complainants and the public of rights enforceable by mandamus". Had you examined [the lawsuit](#), it would have been obvious to you that there was NO defense to it and that CJA had a *matter of law* entitlement to the granting of summary judgment to void the "ethics commission reform act of 2022", thereby ending CELG. Indeed, on September 15th – the same day as your "explainer" was publicized by a [news release](#) – CJA made just such a summary judgment motion ([#93](#)).

Tellingly, your "explainer" skirts everything presented by my June 14th e-mail, its attached June 12th letter, and the linked-to lawsuit. Thus, you not only make no assessment of the constitutionality of the enactment of the "ethics commission reform act of 2022", *via* the budget, but you conceal that there is any issue concerning same – uncritically reciting, at the outset: "The legislation was passed on April 8, 2022, as part of the Governor's overall budget legislation, the same day the Governor issued messages of necessity to each house to advance the legislation."

You also explain away, as if not itself an indicia of unconstitutionality and unlawfulness, the absence of "Particularly Pertinent Legislative Background Materials", stating:

...Given the swiftness with which the ECRA was passed, there are no significant legal materials explaining the legislation. There is also no sponsor's memo for Chapter 56. However, in announcing the 2022 budget agreement, Governor Hochul issued a press release, stating: 'The Budget will improve ethics and restore New Yorkers' trust in state government by creating a new entity, the "Commission on Ethics and Lobbying in Government," to replace the broken Joint Commission on Public Ethics. Nominees for the 11-member Commission will be put forward by the Governor, Senate, Assembly, Comptroller, and Attorney General and then reviewed by law school deans for approval or denial. ..."

Although my June 14th e-mail furnished information and evidence with which to rebut the Governor's press release, you allow it to stand, unchallenged. Where is your comparison of the changes made by the "ethics commission reform act of 2022" to how the public's ethics complaints are to be handled? And why have you not explored how the law school deans came to be part of the "ethics commission reform act of 2022" or how they have discharged their vetting responsibilities – the latter relevant to the conflict-of-interest information you have relegated to your footnote 2:

"The vetting ... is intended to ensure the nominees have demonstrated an ability to be impartial, independent, fair and able to 'decide matters based solely on the law and facts presented.'" Brendan J. Lyons, *Law School Deans Unveil New York's New Ethics System*,

Times Union (June 15, 2022), <https://www.timesunion.com/state/article/New-York-s-new-ethics-system-unveiled-17243646.php>. It should be noted that law schools are not totally independent. The universities that operate law schools and the independent law schools (e.g. New York, Albany, and Brooklyn) are members of the Commission on Independent Colleges and Universities (CICU), which regularly lobbies the legislature, the Governor, and executive agencies on behalf of higher education interests. The public law schools (e.g. CUNY and Buffalo) have their funding tied to government budgets. Most of the universities operating law schools, besides utilizing CICU as a lobbyist, also employ their own separate lobbyists. Besides that, law schools are regularly searching for grants from the legislature and from executive agencies. See Kevin Tampone, *Tech Law Center Aims for Permanent Funding*, Central N.Y. Bus. J. (November 9, 2012), <https://www.cnybj.com/tech-law-center-aims-for-permanent-funding>; Larry Rulison, *Albany Law School to Start Securities Arbitration Center*, Times Union (December 14, 2006), <https://blog.timesunion.com/business/albany-law-school-to-start-securities-arbitration-center/556>; Ann Davis, *To Some, Santa Has a New Name: Spitzer*, Wall St. J. (December 24, 2003), <https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB107222416260644200>."

And what is it to be made of your unexplained assertion:

"While there was significant media coverage about the workings of JCOPE during its decade of existence, there have been few legal reviews. ... In sum, there are surprisingly few legal discussions of New York's ethics code."

Implicit from its placement immediately following the reference to JCOPE's "broken[ness]" in the Governor's press release is that scholarly assessment of JCOPE is a predicate for assessment of the "ethics commission reform act of 2022". But you offer no explanation for the "surprising" dearth of scholarship – even though the most obvious explanation is the multitude of conflicts of interest that NY's 15 law schools and countless universities, colleges, and academic institutes have in scholarly examination of JCOPE. Indeed, do you have any explanation, other than conflicts of interest, for why Albany Law School's [Government Law Center](#), of which you have been "Government Lawyer in Residence" for years, has not engaged in scholarly examination of JCOPE – or of NY's ethics entities most pertinent to the judiciary and lawyers: the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct and the court-controlled attorney grievance committees – or pertaining to the "force of law" commission statutes through which the constitutional officers of NY's three government branches have gotten pay raises, or as to the state budget and the Court of Appeals' 2004 decision in *Pataki v. Assembly/Silver v. Pataki*, 4 N.Y.3d 75 – all of which are embraced in the *CJA v. JCOPE, et al.* verified petition/complaint, whose [Exhibit D-3](#) is CJA's February 7, 2021 complaint to the Commission on Judicial Conduct against judges including then Court of Appeals Judge Leslie Stein, the [now director of the Government Law Center](#), arising from these issues.

And aren't conflicts of interest the only explanation for why, with respect to the [NYS Law Revision Commission](#) whose purpose is such scholarly examination – and which is housed at Albany Law School – you yourself have undertaken no follow-up scholarship since [your July 11, 2016 article "Defunding the New York State Law Revision Commission"](#), notwithstanding the e-mails I sent you on [May 21, 2021](#) and [December 1, 2021](#) on the subject of its funding and operations, including as relates to Albany Law School, its [Government Law Center](#), its [Center for Judicial Process](#), and its [Justice Center](#).

Certainly, is there any explanation, other than conflicts of interest, for your referring to “the New York Ethics Review Commission report of 2015” as the “Most significant” legal review of JCOPE. Are you unaware that two of the eight members of the JCOPE/LEC Review Commission responsible for the report were law school deans – New York Law School Dean Anthony Crowell, the now chair of the “independent review committee”, and [Patricia Salkin](#), the then-dean of Touro Law School, who, before taking that position, had been professor and associate dean at Albany Law School and director of the Government Law Center, a position you would fill in 2016 on an interim basis. And are you unaware of the superficiality and fraud of the report – even without the benefit of the evidence pertaining thereto embodied by CJA’s December 17, 2021 complaint to JCOPE and November 2, 2021 complaint to the NYS Inspector General – [Exhibit B](#) and [Exhibit I \(eye\)](#) to the [verified petition/complaint](#). I assume you are unaware of [my August 4, 2022 letter to the “independent review committee”](#), with particulars as to Dean Crowell, former Dean Salkin, and the 2015 report, posted on [CJA’s webpage for the “independent review committee” and how it has been operating](#).

Please advise before September 25th with respect to the foregoing – and also advise as to whether there was NO discussion among the members of the State Bar’s Committee on the New York State Constitution and NO communications with the State Bar’s officers and Executive Committee following receipt of my June 14th e-mail. By the way, on July 3rd, I sent a second [e-mail](#), inadvertently not including you. Were you unaware of it – and unaware of the July 6th order to show cause I brought for a TRO/preliminary injunction ([#66](#), [#67](#)), to which my June 12th letter and that July 3rd e-mail were exhibits ([#69](#), [#72](#)), along with my July 2nd e-mail to the “independent review committee” of law school deans ([#68](#)).

TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE. [CJA’s September 15th motion for summary judgment is returnable on October 6th](#). Who, among Albany Law School’s scholars or at the State Bar, will be furnishing the Court with expert opinion on the issues before it, determinative of CELG’s “life cycle” and the future of this state’s ethics enforcement? Will you?

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2022 3:42 PM

To: sherry@slevinesq.com; rlewis@hkh.com; domenick@napoletanolaw.com; garensen@kaplanfox.com; lsharkey@cswlawfirm.com; info@davidlouiscohenlaw.com; orin@orincohenlaw.com; Michael@mamarinacciolaw.com; attorney@mampc.net; tmaroney@maroneyoconnorllp.com; mmay@lgtlegal.com; mcnamara@sewkis.com; mmoretti@phillipslytle.com; Christopher.Riano@hklaw.com; adamseiden2002@aol.com; OFCCP-NE@dol.gov; ksweet@gmclaw.com; pyeung@gylawny.com; rminkoff@fkks.com; mirna@girlsrulethelaw.com; Help@whittinghamlaw.com; cbopst@aol.com; ciparick@gtlaw.com; sagata@jenner.com; malcott@paulweiss.com; cbucki@phillipslytle.com; lclark@barclaydamon.com;

hfernandez@bsk.com; mfinerty@getnicklaw.com; glaserm@gtlaw.com; greenbergh@gtlaw.com; jlebowitz@abramslaw.com; atlevin@msek.com; blieb@albanylaw.edu; contact@lyons-mcgovern.com; nmar@lsnyc.org; davidevanmarkus@gmail.com; ameyer@golawllp.com; john.nonna@squirepb.com; kpeters@ebglaw.com; acrendo@rendolaw.com; rrifk@albanylaw.edu; nrobinson@law.pace.edu; erosenthal@elderlawflg.com; jarrodsmithlaw@gmail.com; justinteff@kirkandteff.com; it@treulaw.com; spyounger@foleyhoag.com

Cc: pmcdevitt@nysba.org; gmcavey@nysba.org; dmiranda@nysba.org; kbaxter@nysba.org; trichards@nysba.org; hfi@jochmansconsulting.com; cburke@nysba.org; blee@alm.com

Subject: EMERGENCY ACTION REQUIRED, consistent with ethical, professional & civic responsibilities: Lawsuit to VOID the "ethics commission reform act of 2022" and for TRO -- CJA, et al. v. JCOPE, et al. (Albany Co. #904235-22)

TO: [New York State Bar Association Officers, Executive Committee Members](#) & [Members of the Committee on the New York State Constitution](#)

This is to request the New York State Bar Association's intervention, *amicus curiae*, and other assistance, including a lawyer to represent the presently unrepresented corporate petitioner/plaintiff Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) in its *pro bono*, public interest lawsuit to VOID the "ethics commission reform act of 2022", a regressive statute enacted, unconstitutionally, *via* the state budget, to "protect" complained-against public officers from accountability by stripping complainants and the public of rights enforceable by mandamus. This Friday, June 17th, at 2 p.m., I, as the individual petitioner/plaintiff will be arguing for a TRO before Albany County Supreme Court Justice Peter Lynch. **TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE.**

The verified petition/complaint, the OSC, and my moving affidavit in support of a preliminary injunction/TRO are accessible *via* NYSCEF, [here](#).

I respectfully request that each of you "eyeball" the case – as once you do, you will know, for a certainty, that, consistent with ethical, professional, and civic responsibilities, the State Bar must take IMMEDIATE ACTION. At minimum this must be – and I here request – endorsing my above June 12th letter to New York's 15 law school deans comprising the "independent review committee" of the "ethics commission reform act of 2022".

Below is the e-mail I sent yesterday to the 15 law school deans, with the above-attached June 12th letter, here also [linked](#), cc'ing Justice Lynch, the respondents/defendants, and the [New York Law Journal](#).

As I do not have e-mail addresses for everyone, I ask State Bar President Sherry Levin Wallach to ensure that this e-mail is forwarded to all State Bar officers, Executive Committee members, and members of the Committee on the New York State Constitution, including those disqualified for interest, who – pursuant to ethical rules – are mandated to step aside from any determination herein, and, absent their doing so, to make disclosure to their colleagues who then can address the situation appropriately.

I am available to answer questions – and would welcome the opportunity to do so.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director

Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2022 9:50 AM

To: 'aabramov@buffalo.edu' <aabramov@buffalo.edu>; 'deansoffice@law.cuny.edu' <deansoffice@law.cuny.edu>; 'eduardo.capulong@law.cuny.edu' <eduardo.capulong@law.cuny.edu>; 'aouel@albanylaw.edu' <aouel@albanylaw.edu>; 'michael.cahill@brooklaw.edu' <michael.cahill@brooklaw.edu>; 'glester@law.columbia.edu' <glester@law.columbia.edu>; 'law.dean@cornell.edu' <law.dean@cornell.edu>; 'DeanMatthewDiller@law.fordham.edu' <DeanMatthewDiller@law.fordham.edu>; 'lawdean@hofstra.edu' <lawdean@hofstra.edu>; 'anthony.crowell@nyls.edu' <anthony.crowell@nyls.edu>; 'mckenzie@exchange.law.nyu.edu' <mckenzie@exchange.law.nyu.edu>; 'DeansOffice@mercury.law.nyu.edu' <DeansOffice@mercury.law.nyu.edu>; 'handerson@law.pace.edu' <handerson@law.pace.edu>; 'simonsm@stjohns.edu' <simonsm@stjohns.edu>; 'cmboise@syr.edu' <cmboise@syr.edu>; 'vaottman@syr.edu' <vaottman@syr.edu>; 'elangan@tourolaw.edu' <elangan@tourolaw.edu>; 'deansofficecardozo@yu.edu' <deansofficecardozo@yu.edu>

Cc: 'shetman@nycourts.gov' <shetman@nycourts.gov>; 'jcope@jcope.ny.gov' <jcope@jcope.ny.gov>; 'Emily.Logue@jcope.ny.gov' <Emily.Logue@jcope.ny.gov>; 'jose.nieveslaw@gmail.com' <jose.nieveslaw@gmail.com>; 'glavine@bhlawpllc.com' <glavine@bhlawpllc.com>; 'sgerstman@magavern.com' <sgerstman@magavern.com>; 'marvin.jacob@retired.weil.com' <marvin.jacob@retired.weil.com>; 'dmcnamara@phillipslytle.com' <dmcnamara@phillipslytle.com>; 'Lisa Reid' <lreid@nysenate.gov>; 'inspector.general@ig.ny.gov' <inspector.general@ig.ny.gov>; 'INTAKEUNIT' <emailreply@ig.ny.gov>; 'records.access@exec.ny.gov' <records.access@exec.ny.gov>; 'josephj@nysenate.gov' <josephj@nysenate.gov>; 'haakb@nyassembly.gov' <haakb@nyassembly.gov>; 'NYAG.Pressoffice@ag.ny.gov' <NYAG.Pressoffice@ag.ny.gov>; 'Aujla, Andy' <Andy.Aujla@ag.ny.gov>; 'mkogut@osc.ny.gov' <mkogut@osc.ny.gov>; 'blee@alm.com' <blee@alm.com>

Subject: Lawsuit to VOID the "ethics commission reform act of 2022", TRO to stay the statute from taking effect on July 8th -- & your ethical, professional, & civic responsibilities with respect thereto -- CJA, et al. v. JCOPE, et al. (Albany Co. #904235-22)

TO: The "independent review committee" of the "ethics commission reform act of 2022"

SUNY-Buffalo Law School Dean Aviva Abramovsky
CUNY-Queens College Law School Interim Dean Eduardo R.C. Capulong
Incoming Dean Sudha Setty (July 1, 2022)
Albany Law School Dean Alicia Ouellette
Brooklyn Law School Dean Michael T. Cahill
Columbia University Law School Dean Gillian Lester
Cornell University Law School Dean Jens David Ohlin
Fordham Law School Dean Matthew Diller
Hofstra Law School Dean Gail Prudenti
New York Law School Dean Anthony Crowell

New York University Law School Dean Troy McKenzie
Pace University Law School Dean Horace E. Anderson, Jr.
St. John's University Law School Dean Michael A. Simons
Syracuse University Law School Dean Craig M. Boise
Touro College Law School Elena B. Langan
Yeshiva University Cardozo Law School Dean Melanie Leslie

Following up my yesterday's e-mailing to you of the above attached, [here](#) & [here](#), inadvertently omitting SUNY-Buffalo Law School Dean Abramovsky, I am sending it again to you this morning – Monday, June 13th – to further ensure that it is not overlooked, again sending it to the other cc's, from which I yesterday also inadvertently omitted Albany County Supreme Court Justice Lynch.

Below is my June 9th e-mail giving notice to respondents/defendants of the Friday, June 17th oral argument before Justice Lynch on the TRO.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, individual petitioner/plaintiff *pro se*
Director-Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Thursday, June 9, 2022 11:35 AM
To: 'jcope@jcope.ny.gov' <jcope@jcope.ny.gov>; 'Emily.Logue@jcope.ny.gov' <Emily.Logue@jcope.ny.gov>; 'jose.nieveslaw@gmail.com' <jose.nieveslaw@gmail.com>; 'glavine@bhlawpllc.com' <glavine@bhlawpllc.com>; 'sgerstman@magavern.com' <sgerstman@magavern.com>; 'marvin.jacob@retired.weil.com' <marvin.jacob@retired.weil.com>; 'dmcnamara@phillipslytle.com' <dmcnamara@phillipslytle.com>; 'lreid@nysenate.gov' <lreid@nysenate.gov>; 'inspector.general@ig.ny.gov' <inspector.general@ig.ny.gov>; 'INTAKEUNIT' <emailreply@ig.ny.gov>; 'records.access@exec.ny.gov' <records.access@exec.ny.gov>; 'josephj@nysenate.gov' <josephj@nysenate.gov>; 'haakb@nyassembly.gov' <haakb@nyassembly.gov>; 'NYAG.Pressoffice@ag.ny.gov' <NYAG.Pressoffice@ag.ny.gov>; 'andy.aujla@ag.ny.gov' <andy.aujla@ag.ny.gov>; 'mkogut@osc.ny.gov' <mkogut@osc.ny.gov>

Cc: 'shetman@nycourts.gov' <shetman@nycourts.gov>

Subject: June 17, 2022 oral argument on TRO -- CJA, et al. v. JCOPE, et al. (#904235-22/Albany County)

TO: **New York State Joint Commission on Public Ethics (JCOPE) –**
ATT: Sanford Berland/Executive Director
Emily Logue/Director of Investigations & Enforcement
Chair Jose Nieves
Commissioners: Gary Lavine, Sharon Gerstman,
Marvin Jacob, David McNamara
Legislative Ethics Commission (LEC) – ATT: Lisa Reid/Executive Director

New York State Inspector General (NYS-IG) – ATT: Lucy Lang/NYS-IG
Governor Kathy Hochul – ATT: [FOIL officer](#)
Temporary Senate President Andrea Stewart-Cousins & Senate –
ATT: Jellisa Joseph/Counsel to Secretary of the Senate
Assembly Speaker Carl Heastie & Assembly – ATT: Brian Haak/Counsel
Attorney General Letitia James – ATT: [AG-press](#); [Westchester Bureau Chief Andy Aujla](#)
Comptroller Thomas DiNapoli – ATT: Mike Kogut/Associate Counsel

This follows my phone and e-mail communications with you and/or your offices on Tuesday, Wednesday, and today concerning the lawsuit against you, *Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc., et al. v. New York State Joint Commission on Public Ethics, et al.*, filed in Albany County (#904235-22).

Next Friday, June 17th, at 2 p.m., oral argument will be had, in Albany County Supreme Court at 16 Eagle Street, before Justice Peter Lynch, on petitioners/plaintiffs' request for a TRO to stay the "ethics commission reform act of 2022" – Part QQ of Education, Labor, Housing, and Family Assistance Budget Bill #S.8006-C/A.9006-C – from taking effect on July 8, 2022 and to enjoin JCOPE from closing, pending final determination of the lawsuit's June 6, 2022 verified petition/complaint and its accompanying order to show cause. At issue is the sixth cause of action (¶¶78-85) that Part QQ was enacted unconstitutionally, unlawfully, and by fraud.

On June 17th, I will serve you with hard copies of the papers. In the meantime, you will not be hampered in the slightest in preparing, **fully**, for the oral argument, as all the papers are available electronically, *via* NYSCEF (New York State Courts Electronic Filing). The direct link to the electronic docket of the case is [here](#). You can also access the verified petition/complaint, the order to show cause, and my accompanying affidavit from CJA's website, www.judgewatch.org – and the direct link is [here](#). Indeed, accessing the case *via* NYSCEF and CJA's website will enable you to access the scores, if not hundreds, of substantiating links petitioners/plaintiffs' papers contain, not accessible from the hard copies.

So that I might be guided accordingly in the number of copies to reproduce – a not inconsiderable expense – please advise if you will waive service of the hard copies, as superfluous.

Justice Lynch's principal law clerk, Stehle Hetman-Mika, is herewith cc'd, as discussed with her.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, individual petitioner/plaintiff *pro se*
Director-Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org