

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Friday, June 21, 2024 3:00 PM
To: 'felder@nysenate.gov'; 'sterns@nyassembly.gov'; 'skoufis@nysenate.gov'; 'ashby@nysenate.gov'; 'goodella@nyassembly.gov'
Cc: 'rulemaking@nysenate.gov'; 'rulemaking@nyassembly.gov'; 'Michael.Simons@cpc.ny.gov'; 'Regulations@cpc.ny.gov'; 'info@cpc.ny.gov'; 'littellj@nyassembly.gov'
Subject: **The Commission on Prosecutorial Conduct's April 10, 2024 "Proposed Operating Rules and Procedures", the April 24, 2024 NYS Register -- & FOIL**

TO: Administrative Regulations Review Commission

Senate Co-Chair Felder, Senator Skoufis and Senator Ashby
Assembly Co-Chair Stern, Assemblyman Goodell, & ?

Following up my phone messages and conversations with your staff earlier today – including conversations with the legislative directors of Senate Co-Chair Felder, Assembly Co-Chair Stern, and Senator Ashby – advising that there is a problem with the Administrative Regulations Review Commission's Senate and Assembly offices, whose e-mail addresses are not indicated by the [New York State Register](#) and whose staff does not pick up calls to their indicated phone numbers, 518-455-5091, 518-455-2731, during normal business hours, nor, apparently, return voice messages indicating urgency, below is my above-entitled yesterday's e-mail to Commission on Prosecutorial Conduct Chair Michael Simons, to which the only response I received was from the Department of State.

I will have more to say in my formal comment to the Commission on Prosecutorial Conduct's proposed "Operating Rules and Procedures", to be e-mailed prior to expiration of the comment period, which, because it falls on June 23rd, a Sunday, kicks over to Monday, June 24th, as indicated by the [NYS Register](#) and reflected by the [Commission on Prosecutorial Conduct's website](#).

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)
www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org

From: Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2024 2:06 PM

To: 'Michael.Simons@cpc.ny.gov' <Michael.Simons@cpc.ny.gov>; 'Regulations@cpc.ny.gov' <Regulations@cpc.ny.gov>; 'info@cpc.ny.gov' <info@cpc.ny.gov>

Cc: 'dos.dl.inetcounsel@dos.ny.gov' <dos.dl.inetcounsel@dos.ny.gov>; 'shanice.helena@dos.ny.gov' <shanice.helena@dos.ny.gov>

Subject: The Commission on Prosecutorial Conduct's April 10, 2024 "Proposed Operating Rules and Procedures", the April 24, 2024 NYS Register -- & FOIL

TO: Michael A. Simons, Chair, New York State Commission on Prosecutorial Conduct

The [April 24, 2024 New York State Register](#) states (at p. 14), in bold and italicized (at p. 14), with respect to the Commission's proposed "Operating Rules and Procedures", "***Text of proposed rule and any required statements and analyses may be obtained from:*** Michael A. Simons, Chair, New York State Commission on Prosecutorial Conduct...".

Are there "***any required statements and analyses***" beyond what is printed in the [New York State Register](#) at pp. 13-14 – and, if so, I request same.

Also, who wrote what is printed at pp. 13-14 about the Commission's proposed rules – and, specifically, the "Regulatory Impact Statement" purporting that "The proposed rules are consistent with the above statutory authority", *to wit*, "sections 499-a through 499-j of the Judiciary Law" ([Judiciary Law Article 15-A](#)).

Additionally, who drafted the [April 10, 2024 "Proposed Operating Rules and Procedures", Sections 10400.1 - 10400.12](#), posted on the [Commission's website](#)? Were the Commission on Judicial Conduct's "[Operating Procedures and Rules](#)" (22 NYCRR Part 7000) used as a model, in particular:

- [its §7000.1\(k\)](#) – "*Initial review and inquiry*", part of its section entitled "Definitions";
- [its §7000.1\(l\)](#) – "*Investigation*", part of its section entitled "Definitions";
- [its §§7000.3 \(a\) and \(b\)](#), part of its section entitled "Investigations and dispositions".

The Commission on Prosecutorial Conduct's comparable proposed rules are:

- [Section 10400.1\(k\)](#) – "*Initial review and inquiry*", part of its section entitled "Definitions";
- [Section 10400.1\(l\)](#) – "*Investigation*", part of its section entitled "Definitions";
- [Sections 10400.2\(c\) and \(d\)](#), part of its section entitled "Processing of complaints";
- [Sections 10400.5\(b\)](#), part of its section entitled "Investigation Procedures".

Did the Commission members approve the final draft at any meeting by a vote preceded by discussion? If so, was it recorded or were minutes taken – and may I obtain same? Was the vote unanimous?

By the way, I have confirmed today with Senior Attorney Christen Smith of the Committee on Open Government (518-474-2518) that, pursuant to [Public Officers Law §87.1\(b\)](#), accessible from its [website](#), the Commission on Prosecutorial Conduct is required to promulgate rules for public access to records (FOIL). The Commission on Judicial Conduct's website posts its rules for public access to records (22 NYCRR Part 7001) on the same webpage as its 22 NYCRR Part 7000 "Operating Procedures and Rules", [here](#).

The statute establishing the Commission on Judicial Conduct is [Judiciary Law Article 2-A](#).

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)

www.judgewatch.org
914-421-1200
elena@judgewatch.org