Center for Judicial Accountability

From: Sent: To:	Center for Judicial Accountability <elena@judgewatch.org> Tuesday, December 02, 2014 5:40 PM news-tips@nytimes.com; 'metro@nytimes.com'; executive-editor@nytimes.com; nythous@nytimes.com; 'dditarial@nytimes.com'; executive-editor@nytimes.com;</elena@judgewatch.org>
Cubicat	nytnews@nytimes.com; 'editorial@nytimes.com'; susanne.craig@nytimes.com; rashbaum@nytimes.com; kaplan@nytimes.com; dwyer@nytimes.com; 'Luo@nytimes.com''; 'weiser@nytimes.com'; 'mckinley@nytimes.com'
Subject:	GREAT NEWS! Court grants applications for audio-visual coverage of tomorrow's oral argument of Legislature's Declaratory Judgment Action vs the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption
Attachments:	10-2-14-letter-from-court.pdf

GREAT NEWS!! Justice Alice Schlesinger has granted applications for audio-visual coverage of tomorrow's oral argument!

PRESS RELEASE: SCOOP – NEWS TIP

<u>Oral Argument TOMORROW in the NYS Legislature's Declaratory Judgment Action vs the</u> <u>Commission to Investigate Public Corruption</u>

<u>Tomorrow, at 3 p.m., at the courthouse at 60 Centre Street in Manhattan</u>, New York Supreme Court Justice Alice Schlesinger will be holding oral argument in the declaratory judgment action allegedly brought by New York's Legislature against the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption. <u>If she is true to the law and her oath of office, her decision will clean up New York's corrupt government, once and for all.</u>

At issue is a motion to convert the case to one for judicial declarations, <u>on behalf of the People of the State of New York</u>, that will strike a constitutional blow against "three-men in the room" behind-closed-doors governance by the Governor and the Legislative Leaders – and expose the true facts about the collusion between them that gave rise to, and then ended, a commission that was itself corrupt.

Among the explosive threshold issues: that the Senate and Assembly NEVER authorized the declaratory judgment action and that there are NO Senate and Assembly contracts with ANY of the law firms for the litigation, NOR approvals by the Comptroller – the consequence of which is that the plaintiffs are not properly plaintiffs and that the untold hundreds of thousands of taxpayer dollars paid to the law firms for the litigation are <u>illegal</u>, <u>if not unconstitutional</u>, <u>expenditures</u>.

<u>This is a MAJOR STORY – whose ramifications reach to U.S. Attorneys Preet Bharara and Loretta Lynch – and, as to Ms. Lynch, establish her unfitness to be U.S. Attorney General to which President Obama nominated her.</u> The Commission to Investigate Public Corruption invited both these U.S. Attorneys to be its honored opening witnesses at its first public hearing – and then and thereafter covered up the documentary and testimonial evidence before it of their collusion in the systemic corruption of New York's government, involving the Governor, Legislative Leaders – and the highest levels of New York's Judiciary.

An expanded press release is posted on the Center for Judicial Accountability's website, <u>www.judgewatch.org</u>, accessible from the homepage by its prominent hyperlink: "THE PEOPLE FIGHT BACK: December 3rd Oral Argument – for a judicial declaration that the Commission to Investigate Public Corruption was

Unconstitutional — & Itself Corrupt". That is where contact information and the record of the case are also posted.

###

Elena Sassower, Director Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) 914-421-1200 elena@judgewatch.org