CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

P.O. Box 69, Gedney Station
White Plains, New York 10605-0069

Tel. (914) 421-1200 Fax (914) 428-4994 E-Mail: judgewatch@aol.com Web site: www.judgewatch.org

Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator

BY FAX: 518-436-7109 (17 pages)

June 19, 2001

James C. McKinley, Jr.

<u>The New York Times</u>

Albany Bureau

RE: <u>Tomorrow's Confirmation "Hearing" for Court of Claims Judge William A.</u> Wetzel, Scheduled for Tomorrow, 10 a.m.

A case study of the Senate Judiciary Committee's sham confirmation "hearings", covering up Governor Pataki's demonstrably fraudulent "judicial selection process", as well as the readily-verifiable corruption of the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct

Dear Mr. McKinley:

Congratulations again on your very fine article, "In Albany, It's Not Begun Till It's Over", which provided an important portrait of "the Big Ugly". This, except that it omitted how the Senate – and the Senate Judiciary Committee – will be ramming through 14 confirmations to the lower state courts, covering up the readily-verifiable proof of both Governor Pataki's manipulation of "judicial selection process" and the corruption of the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct.

As discussed, enclosed is CJA's June 17th letter to the Senate Judiciary Committee, setting forth document-supported opposition to Governor Pataki's reappointment of Court of Claims Judge William Wetzel and requesting that I be permitted to testify at the Committee's confirmation "hearing", based on direct, first-hand experience. Although the Senate Judiciary Committee received the letter at 11:47 a.m. yesterday, it has still NOT contacted me, either as to the substance of CJA's opposition pertaining to Judge Wetzel's verifiably fraudulent judicial decision "throwing" a public interest lawsuit against the NYS Commission on Judicial Conduct or about my request to testify. This, notwithstanding its confirmation "hearing" is scheduled for tomorrow at 10 a.m.

Please note that it is my belief that two other individuals have requested to testify in opposition to Judge Wetzel's confirmation, each based on their *direct*, *first-hand* experience with him. They are the individuals who, in 1999, each filed judicial misconduct complaints against Judge Wetzel with