CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

(914) 421-1200 • Fax (914) 684-6554 E-Mail: probono@delphi.com

Box 69, Gedney Station White Plains, New York 10605

Jonathan Rosenberg, Esq.

Deputy Chief, Criminal Div

U.S. Attorney, Southern Div

U.S. Courthouse Annex

1 St. Andrew's Plaza

New York, New York 10017

RE: Abandonment

adjudication

state

adjudication is state court pragencies and of.

erg:

ure to finally he properties the properties and the properties are properties. itive itate

Dear Mr. Rosenberg:

It was a pleasure to finally ha with you.

As discussed, I enclose papers from our two most recent Article 78 proceedings, reflecting the utter perversion of the Article 78 remedy by the New York Attorney General's office and the New York State courts when what is being challenged are politically power indges and the system that protects them.

In the first proceeding, Sassower v. Mangano, et al., charging the justices of Appellate Division, Second Department with using their judicial offices for ulterior, retaliatory purposes, the New York Attorney General permitted the very judges who were the subject of the Article 78 challenge to decide their own case and to grant their own attorney's legally insufficient and factually perjurious dismissal motion. The State Attorney General then argued, successfully, against review by the New York Court of Appeals of his clients' self-interested decision in their own favor.

A copy of our cert petition to the U.S. Supreme Court describing the travesty that took place in the New York courts is enclosed12 -as is the Attorney General's scanty opposition and our reply.

A '5.2"

The deficient and sanctionable litigation practice of the New York Attorney General in the Article 78 proceeding is particularized at pp. 8-13 of the cert petition and pp. 4-5 of the reply.